

ESS10 - 2020 COUNTRY DOCUMENTATION REPORT

THE ESS DATA ARCHIVE

Edition 3.0





| Introduction | 5 |
|-----------------|-----|
| Austria | 6 |
| Belgium | 11 |
| Bulgaria | 16 |
| Croatia | 21 |
| Cyprus | 26 |
| Czechia | 30 |
| Estonia | 35 |
| Finland | 40 |
| France | 46 |
| Germany | 51 |
| Greece | 56 |
| Hungary | 61 |
| Iceland | 66 |
| Ireland | 71 |
| Israel | 77 |
| Italy | 82 |
| Latvia | 87 |
| Lithuania | 91 |
| Montenegro | 96 |
| Netherlands | 101 |
| North Macedonia | 106 |
| Norway | 111 |
| Poland | 116 |
| Portugal | 122 |
| Serbia | 127 |
| Slovakia | 131 |
| Slovenia | 136 |
| Spain | 141 |
| Sweden | 145 |
| Switzerland | 150 |
| United Kingdom | 155 |

Appendices, separate documents

A1 Education ESS10 - 2020

A2 Income ESS10 - 2020

A3 Political Parties ESS10 - 2020

A4 Legal marital and relationship status ESS10 - 2020

A5 Population statistics ESS10 - 2020

A6 Classifications and coding standards ESS10 - 2020

A7 Codebook ESS10 - 2020

A8 Ancestry ESS10 - 2020

A9 Justice and Fairness ESS10 - 2020

Version Notes

ESS10 - 2020 Country Documentation Report, edition 3.0 (published 11.05.23): Changes from previous edition:

Additional countries:

Belgium, Cyprus, Ireland, Israel, Latvia, United Kingdom.

ESS10 - 2020 Country Documentation Report, edition 2.0 (published 07.12.22): Changes from previous edition:

Additional countries:

Austria, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Italy, Montenegro, Netherlands, North Macedonia, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Serbia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland.

Introduction

The ESS10-2020 Country Documentation Report contains Country reports, providing country specific information on data collector, funding agencies, sampling procedures, fieldwork procedures and other country specific study related materials in this round of The European Social Survey.

The report has eight Appendices available as separate documents. Appendix A1 contains documentation of the measurement of educational attainment, Appendix A2 documentation of the income measure, Appendix A3 documentation of political parties, and Appendix A4 documentation of legal marital and relationship status. Appendix A5 contains country by country population statistics, Appendix A6 contains documentation of classifications and standards used in the ESS10-2020 and Appendix A7 contains the ESS10 Codebook. Appendix A8 contains general information on the ESS Ancestry measure, as well as country specific information on showcards and mapping to the harmonised code frame.

The ESS Data Team at Sikt



Austria

| 1 Data Deposi | itor |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: |
| depositing the data | Institute for Advanced Studies (IHS) |
| | 1.2 Website address: |
| | www.ihs.ac.at |
| | |
| 2 Funding | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research Austrian Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs, Health and Consumer Protection |
| | 2.2 Website address: |
| | www.bmbwf.at |
| | www.sozialministerium.at |
| Grant number(s) connected to the | 2.3 Grant number(s) |
| funding agency/ies | No grant number |
| 3 Main Data C | Collection |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: IFES Institut für empirische Sozialforschung |
| | 3.2 Website address: www.ifes.at |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) |
| | From: 30.08.21 To: 06.12.21 |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection |
| | Postal recruitment: |
| | Fieldworker-assisted data collection: |
| | If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used: |
| | 3.5 Fieldworker tasks |
| | If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed: |
| | 3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire) 4 |
| | 3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included? Final reminder |
| | 3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted? Yes |
| | 3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: German |
| | 3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents? |
| | 3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach? |

| | 3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes: No clustering. | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| An opt-out list is a | 3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | 3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.11.1 [if 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | | |
| opted out of participating in | Before the sample is drawn | | | | | |
| surveys. For more information | After the sample has been drawn | | | | | |
| see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.11.2 [if 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | | |
| | 3.12 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 6000 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 1279 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 626 | | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national te | eam): 0 | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national | team): 12 | | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters re | eturned as underlivered): 75 | | | | |
| | 3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | | |
| | Included in full | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | |
| | 3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | | |
| | 3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | |
| | 3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | | |
| | 3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | | | | | |
| | 3.15.1 [if 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Vis | its for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | |
| | From: To: | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Number of pre-test visits | | | | | |
| | Number of sample units issued: | | | | | |
| | Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test: | | | | | |
| | Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit: | | | | | |
| | Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders: | | | | | |
| 5 Contact Forr | n for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | | |
| | 5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted appro | ach used: | | | | |
| | As is | | | | | |
| | Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) | | | | | |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | |

| 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard sample units? | lard version) or (<u>for CRONOS-2 countries</u>) made available to the | | | | |
| | Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact with sample unit | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Dat | a Protection Information Sheet? Please specify: | | | | |
| | 6.2 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: cash | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers | | | | | |
| | Amount of unconditional monetary incentives: | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives: cash | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Amount of conditional monetary incentives: | | | | | |
| | If other incentives used, please describe: | | | | | |
| | 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-respond | ers | | | | |
| | Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | | | | | |
| | Please describe: | | | | | |
| | Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | | | | | |
| | Please describe: | | | | | |
| | 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures | | | | | |
| | Helpline 🔀 | | | | | |
| | Web-pages 🖂 | | | | | |
| | Other Delase describe: | | | | | |
| 7 Data processir | ng | | | | | |
| | 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the questionnaire? | web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper | | | | |
| | Scan: | | | | | |
| | Key: | | | | | |
| | 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., enterin Using Qualtrics | g responses into Qualtrics or in another way): | | | | |
| | 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data | | | | | |
| | Was the scanned/keyed data verified? | | | | | |
| | Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): 2 | 0% | | | | |

| 8 Quality control back-checks | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|--|
| | 8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | I | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the | | part of the NUTS nomenclate or EFTA and Candidate court | | | | | |
| used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> <u>regions in the</u> European Union and | [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the dat | se specify the NUTS level use a file: | d for the regional variable | • NUTS leve | el: 2 | | |
| partner countries | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | |
| <u>For all countries:</u> Please list the | Value | Label | Value (cont.) |) | Label (cont.) | | |
| categories in the | AT11 | Burgenland | | | | | |
| variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS | AT12 | Niederösterreich | | | | | |
| countries and countries not included in the | AT13 | Wien | | | | | |
| NUTS nomenclature). | AT21 | Kärnten | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | AT22 | Steiermark | | | | | |
| code and label) | AT31 | Oberösterreich | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | AT32 | Salzburg | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | AT33 | Tirol | | | | | |
| | AT34 | Vorarlberg | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file: | | | | | | |
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round? | | | | | | |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and proce | edures | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived. | 10.1 Classification u | used in coding of occupation | n (mark one only): | | | | |
| In order to study the | First coded to a national classification <i>based on ISCO</i> and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a natio | nal classification and then brid | lged to match the ISCO0 | 8 | | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, o | occupation coded directly into | the 4-digit ISCO08 | | \boxtimes | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Number of occ | supation 2 | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | | pation strings coded by mo | re than one coder | \boxtimes | | | |
| | (double coding)? 10.4 Was an adjudi | cator usod? | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | _ | | | | | | |
| | Was adjudication dor | ie | | | | | |
| | | By sampling | | | | | |
| | | On difficult cases | | | | | |
| | 10.5 Is some kind o coding process? | f automatic/semiautomatic (| coding used in the | \boxtimes | | | |

 10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

 job coder: use of catchphrases while typing the occupation; eg if someone types "account" the software suggests "accountant, certified; accountant, chartered; accountant, tax" etc.; however interviewers do not have to use one of the catchphrases but can enter other words and phrases

 coding later on used a semiautomatic procedure which basically suggested codes for occupations that had been coded manually earlier; this procedure works with similarity measures to handle typos etc.

No occupation was coded automatically; all procedures only come up with suggestions, which have to be chosen actively



Belgium

| 1 Data Deposito | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The organisation depositing the data | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: Institute for Social and Political Opinion Research, KU Leuven | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: https://soc.kuleuven.be/ceso/ispo | | |
| | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Research Foundation - Flanders | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://www.fwo.be/en/ | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) I001519N_ESS | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: | | |
| | Kantar Belgium | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: | | |
| | https://www.kantar.be/ | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | |
| | From: 27/10/2021 To: 03/09/2022 | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | |
| | Face to face: | | |
| | Video: | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | |
| | Skype | | |
| | Zoom | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | |
| | Other: Jitsi | | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Dutch and French | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | ₩ | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): | | |
| | 3531 | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1325 | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 16 | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 0 | | |

| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0 |
|---|---|
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: |
| | Included in full |
| | Included subset |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data: |
| | Same as for main data collection |
| | Or; write in: |
| | Name: |
| | Website address: |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) |
| | From: 15/09/21 To: 16/09/21 |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: |
| | Face to face: Number: 16 |
| | Video: Number: |
| | Other: |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? |
| | tape recording of interviews |
| | video recording of interviews |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply) |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite |
| | Check the translations |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from □∞ COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? □∞ |
| 5 Contact Form | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used |
| used. | Standard ESS contact form As is Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard |
| Indicate which ESS form was used, with or | ESS contact form, even if minor) Individual (no respondent selection) |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection |

| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | | |
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | | | |
| Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers | <i>n the</i> What number of interviewers | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 9 The interviewers used for video interviews: are a separate panel overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | | | | | |
| 6.2 Payment of interviewers Per completed interview Per hours worked Per hours worked A regular fixed salary Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts | | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Image: Please specify: 5 Euro bonus for each respondent recruited for CRONOS survey Other Image: Please specify: 5 Euro bonus for each respondent recruited for CRONOS survey | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? Only face to face briefings □ Only virtual briefings □ Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings □ | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | |
| Only for countries | 7.1 Call schedules, visits Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 5 Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1 Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): Number of calls required to be on a weekend: Number of calls required to be in the evening: | | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet Mailed out with an advance letter Made available by interviewer on doorstep | | | | | |

| | Other (please specify): | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---------|------------|-----------|----------|--------------|-----------|----------|---|
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | |
| | No respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | |
| Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Please specify further details on your incentive scheme: Conditional non-monetary incentives were offered at a later stage of the fieldwork in order to increase the response rate. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional non-mone | etary incentives were offered at a later sta | age of | the field | work in c | order to | increase th | ie respon | se rate. | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strategies | | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | | | | |
| | Extending the number | r of contact attempts (in case of non-con | tacts) | | | | | | | |
| | Using different intervie | | , | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of additional | response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | |
| | Call center | _ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Intervi | | | Ineligib | | | |
| | Number of units sele | cted for back-check | | Р | Т 840 | V | Р | Т | М | |
| | Number of back-chee | | | | 394 | | | | | |
| Number of back-checks achieved: 394 289 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Number of units whe | re outcome was confirmed: | | | 289 | | | | | |
| | Number of units whe | re outcome was not confirmed: | | | 0 | | | | | l |
| | Mode of back-check: | In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only | in exce | eptional c | rcumsta | ances) (| (M), Video (| (V) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | 9.1 Is your country p | part of the NUTS nomenclature or Euro | ostať s | ; | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the | | or EFTA and Candidate countries? | | | ₩ | | | | | |
| used NUTS level. Please see Statistical | If 9 1 ticked offI Please | e specify the NUTS level used for the re | gional | variable | | | _ | | | |
| regions in the | REGIONxx in the data | | 9.01.01 | | NUTS | level: | 2 | | | |
| European Union and partner countries | | | | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | 9.2 Categories Value | Label | Valu | e (cont.) | | | bel (cont.) | | | |
| Please list the categories in the | | | valu | | | La | | | | |
| variable REGIONxx in | BE10 BE21 | Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest | | | | | | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries | BE22 | Prov. Antwerpen Prov. Limburg (BE) | | | | | | | | |
| not included in the NUTS nomenclature). | BE23 | Prov. Oost-Vlaanderen | | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS BE24 Prov. Vlaams-Brabant | | | | | | | | | | |
| code and label) BE25 Prov. West-Vlaanderen | | | | | | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | BE31 | Prov. Brabant wallon | | | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | BE32 | Prov. Hainaut | | | | | | | | |
| | BE33 | Prov. Liège | | | | | | | | |
| | BE34 | Prov. Luxembourg (BE) | | | | | | | | |
| | BE35 | Prov. Namur | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a sh | ort description of | the regional level used in the data file: | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | | ₩ | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: NUTS-1 | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since round? | the last ESS | | | | |
| produce representative samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to mate | ch the ISCO08 | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | | |
| | Later on | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 2 | | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | ₽ | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | ₽ | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | | | | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | | | | | |
| | The coders each coded one occupation question so as not to create blind spot and are well trained in occupational coding. Coding of occupation is based on necessary to determine level. The industry might also be used. 10% of coding | job title and main ta | asks, with the help of education where | | | |

doubt, the ultimate code was also be determined by the adjudicator.



Bulgaria

| 1 Data Deposito | r | | | | |
|---|--|----|--|--|--|
| The organisation depositing the data | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: Institute of Philosophy and Sociology at BAS | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: https://ips-bas.org/institute/ | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Bulgaria, Ministry of Education and Science | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://www.mon.bg | | | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) Д01-281/17.12.2019 | | | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: Institute of Philosophy and Sociology at BAS | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: https://ips-bas.org/institute | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | |
| | From: 28.06.21 30.09.21 | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | | |
| | Face to face: | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | |
| | Skype | | | | |
| | Zoom | | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: | | | | |
| | Bulgarian 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | no | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | no | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | | | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3848 | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 2720 | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 0 | | | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 6 | | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0 | | | | |

| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in guestionnaire: | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | Included in full yes | | | | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | | |
| | | no | | | | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | no | | | | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test da | ta: | | | | |
| | Same as for main data collection yes | | | | | |
| | Or; write in: | | | | | |
| | Name: | | | | | |
| | Website address: | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | |
| | From: 19.05.21 To: 06.06.21 | | | | | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | | | | | |
| | Face to face: yes Number: 40 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Video: Image: Comparison of the second sec | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | | | | |
| | tape recording of interviews | | | | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply) | | | | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct | yes | | | | |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | yes | | | | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | yes | | | | |
| | Check the translations | yes | | | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | yes | | | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic | yes | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | yes | | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | | | | | | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | | | | | |
| used. | Standard ESS contact form As is Adapted by NC/FWO | (select if any alterations from the standard | | | | |
| Indicate which ESS form was used, with or | ESS contact form, eve | en if minor) | | | | |
| without adaptation | Individual (no respondent selection) | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection yes | | | | | |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | |

| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? yes | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | | | |
| Please do not include | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 121 | | | | | |
| any telephone interviewers from the | What number of interviewers | | | | | |
| contacting phase in these numbers | are free-lance interviewers: 121 | | | | | |
| | work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: 70 | | | | | |
| | Other, please specify: | | | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS rounds: 20 | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 0 | | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 0 | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 0 | | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview yes | | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts yes | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) yes | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Please specify: | | | | | |
| | Other Dease specify: | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings yes | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | | | | | |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4 | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1 | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1 | | | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | | |
| contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): 0 | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: 0 | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: 0 | | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet | | | | | |
| | How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units? | | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter | | | | | |
| | Made available by interviewer on doorstep yes | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | |
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | |

| | No respondent ind | centives | | | | | yes | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------------|--------|---|--|
| | Unconditional mo | netary incentives, paid independ | ently of an intervie | w | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview | | | | | | | | |
| | | -monetary incentives (incl. vouc | , | • | | | | | | |
| | | nonetary incentives (incl. vouche | , | pletion o | of the int | erview | | | | |
| | Please specify fur | ther details on your incentive scl | heme: | | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue stra | ategies | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | | | _ | | | |
| | Refusal conversio | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | nber of contact attempts (in case | e of non-contacts) | | | | yes | | | |
| | Using different int | | | | | | yes | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | | onal response enhancing mea | sures | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Intervi | | | Ineligib | | | |
| | Number of units | selected for back-check: | | P 36 | T 497 | V | Р | Т | М | |
| | - | checks conducted | | 36 | 497 | | | | | |
| | | checks achieved: | | 36 | 497 | | | | | |
| | | where outcome was confirmed: | | 34 | 493 | | | | | |
| | - | where outcome was not confirme | ed: | 2 | 4 | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | | | | 1 | |
| | Mode of back-che | ck: In person (P), Telephone (T) | , Mail (only in exce | eptional o | circumsta | ances) (| M), Video (| (V) | | |
| 9 Region | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: | | ry part of the NUTS nomencla | | 5 | yes | | | | | |
| Please document the | statistical region | statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? | | | | | | | | |
| used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> | [f 9.1 ticked off] Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable NUTS level: 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| regions in the | REGIONxx in the data file: | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>European Union and</u> partner countries | | | | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | 9.2 Categories Value | Label | Valu | e (cont.) | | | ool (cont.) | | | |
| Please list the categories in the | | | | , | | | pel (cont.) | | | |
| variable REGIONxx in | BG311 | Vidin | BG3 | | | | rgas | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries | BG312 | Montana | BG3 | | | | ven | | | |
| not included in the | BG314 | Pleven | BG3 | | | | mbol _ | | | |
| NUTS nomenclature). | BG315 | Lovech | BG3 | | | | ara Zagora | 、 、 | | |
| Example: (official NUTS code and label) | BG321 | Veliko Tarnovo | BG4 | | | | Sofia (stolitsa) | | | |
| Value: HR050 | BG322 | Gabrovo | BG4 | | | So | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | BG323 | Ruse | BG4 | | | Blagoevgrad | | | | |
| | BG324 | Razgrad | BG4 | | | | rnik | | | |
| | BG325 | Silistra | BG4 | | | | ustendil | | | |
| | BG331 | Varna | BG4 | | | | vdiv | | | |
| | BG332 | Dobrich | BG4 | | | | skovo | | | |
| | BG333 | Shumen | BG4 | | | | zardzhik | | | |
| | BG334 | Targovishte | BG4 | | | | olyan | | | |
| | | | BG4 | 25 | | Ka | rdzhali | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | |

| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | istrative units, ical regions, ble to attach 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data is the d | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | yes | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the round? | last ESS no | | | | | |
| produce representative samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the | e ISCO08 | | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 more closely please | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 yes | | | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | | | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | | | |
| | Later on yes | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: 1 | | | | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder no (double coding) | | | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? no | | | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | | | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | | | | | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the rou other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | tines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against | | | | | |
| | Manual coding and double-checking done by an experienced researcher | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



Croatia

| 1 Data Deposito | r | |
|--|--|-------------|
| The organisation depositing the data | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Zagreb | |
| | 1.2 Website address: https://web2020.ffzg.unizg.hr/ | |
| 2 Funding | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Ministry of Science and Education | |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://mzo.gov.hr/ | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: lpsos | |
| | 3.2 Website address: https://www.ipsos.com/en-hr | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | |
| | From: 05.05.21 To: 16.11.21 | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | |
| | Face to face: Image: Compare the second se | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | |
| | Skype | |
| | Zoom | |
| | Microsoft Teams 🗵 | |
| | Other: | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: | |
| | Croatian 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | \boxtimes |
| | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | |
| An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3940 | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1499 | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 95 | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 27 | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0 | |

| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | Included in full |
| | Included subset |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data: |
| | Same as for main data collection 🗵 |
| | Or; write in: |
| | Name: |
| | Website address: |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) |
| | From: 20.03.21 To: 28.03.21 |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: |
| | Face to face: Number: 64 |
| | Video: Number: 10 |
| | Other: |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? |
| | tape recording of interviews |
| | video recording of interviews |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply) |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite |
| | Check the translations |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic |
| | Other: |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? |
| 5 Contact Form | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used |
| used. Indicate which ESS | Standard ESS contact form As is Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) |
| form was used, with or | Individual (no respondent selection) |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: Standard fields from FWO used in addition with fields from ESS standard Contact form |

| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | | | | |
| Please do not include | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 179 | | | | | | |
| any telephone interviewers from the | What number of interviewers | | | | | | |
| contacting phase in these numbers | are free-lance interviewers: 179 | | | | | | |
| | work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: 160 | | | | | | |
| | Other, please specify: | | | | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS rounds: 98 | | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 16 | | | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: | | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 27 | | | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts | | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) | | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Please specify: | | | | | | |
| | Other Dease specify: | | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? | | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | | | | | | |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings | | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | lule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4 | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1 | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1 | | | | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | | | |
| contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: | | | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet | | | | | | |
| | How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units? | | | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter | | | | | | |
| | Made available by interviewer on doorstep 🗵 | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | | |
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | |

| | 7 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|--|------------|------------|------------------|
| | No respondent incer | ntives | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional mone | tary incentives, paid independently of an | intervie | W | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | | netary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid u | • | | | | | | | |
| | | er details on your incentive scheme: | | ipiotion (| | | | | | |
| | . , | t the end of an interview got an voucher | from dro | ogerie sh | op with a | about 6, | 5 euros | | | |
| | - | | | 0 | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strate | gies | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | X | | | |
| | Extending the numb | er of contact attempts (in case of non-co | ontacts) | | | | | | | |
| | Using different inter | viewers | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of addition | al response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | | | | | | | | | |
| | | \boxtimes | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Intervi | ews | | Ineligib | les | 1 | - |
| | | | | Р | Т | V | Р | Т | М | - |
| | Number of units se | lected for back-check: | | | 626 | | | 573 | | - |
| | Number of back-ch | ecks conducted | | | 495 | | | 433 | | - |
| | Number of back-ch | ecks achieved: | | | 495 | | | 433 | | - |
| | Number of units wh | nere outcome was confirmed: | | | 468 | | | 355 | | - |
| | Number of units wh | nere outcome was not confirmed: | | | 27 | | | 78 | |] |
| | Mode of back-check | :: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only | y in exce | eptional o | circumsta | ances) (l | M), Video (| (V) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9.1 Is your country | part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eu | urostat's | • | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the | 9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? ⊠ | | | | | | | | | |
| used NUTS level. | If 0 1 tipled off Dig | and another the NUITS lovel used for the | agional | variable | | | | | | |
| Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the | REGIONxx in the da | ase specify the NUTS level used for the r ata file: | egional | variable | NUTS | level: 3 | | | | |
| European Union and partner countries | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>For all countries:</u> Please list the | Value | Label | Valu | e (cont.) | | Lab | el (cont.) | | | |
| categories in the variable REGIONxx in | HR021 | Bjelovarsko-bilogorska županija | HR0 | | | Ista | irska župa | nija | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS | HR022 | Virovitičko-podravska županija | HR0 | 37 | | Du | provačko-r | neretvansk | a županija | ł |
| countries and countries not included in the | HR023 | Požeško-slavonska županija | HR0 | 50 | | Gra | d Zagreb | | | |
| NUTS nomenclature). | HR024 | Brodsko-posavska županija | HR0 | 61 | | Me | đimurska ž | tupanija | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | HR025 | Osječko-baranjska županija | HR0 | 62 | | Var | aždinska ž | županija | | |
| code and label) | HR026 | Vukovarsko-srijemska županija | HR0 | 63 | | | Koprivničko-križevačka županija | | | |
| Value: HR050 | HR027 | Karlovačka županija | HR0 | 64 | | Kra | pinsko-za | gorska žup | panija | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | HR028 | Sisačko-moslavačka županija | HR0 | 65 | | | Krapinsko-zagorska županija Zagrebačka županija | | | |
| | HR031 | Primorsko-goranska županija | | | | | | | | |
| | HR032 | Ličko-senjska županija | | | | | | | | |
| | HR033 | Zadarska županija | | | | | | | | |
| | HR034 | Šibensko-kninska županija | | | | | | | | |
| | HR035 | Splitsko-dalmatinska županija | | | | | | | | |
| Keywords: | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | I | | | I | | | | |
| administrative units, statistical regions, | 9.3 If your country | is not part of the NUTS nomenclature | , please | give a s | short de | scriptio | n of the r | egional le | vel used i | n the data file: |

administrative units statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data

| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | X | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) sind round? | ce the last ESS | | | | |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to ma | atch the ISCO08 | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | X | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | | |
| | Later on 🗵 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 2 | | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | X | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | | | | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and th other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | ne routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



Cyprus

| 1 Data Deposi | tor |
|---|---|
| The organisation depositing the data | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: University of Centre for Field Studies, University of Cyprus |
| | 1.2 Website address: https://ucyweb.ucy.ac.cy/pakepe/en/ |
| 2 Funding | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Debuty Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digital Policy |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://www.dmrid.gov.cy/dmrid/research.nsf/home_en/home_en?opendocument |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) n/a |
| 3 Main Data C | collection |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: University of Centre for Field Studies, University of Cyprus |
| | 3.2 Website address: https://ucyweb.ucy.ac.cy/pakepe/en/ |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) |
| | From: 09.03.22 To: 19.08.22 |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection |
| | Postal recruitment: |
| | Fieldworker-assisted data collection: |
| | If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used: Cyprus Post, https://www.cypruspost.post/ |
| | 3.5 Fieldworker tasks |
| | If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed: We have used fieldworkers at the end of data collection to persue responses only in four districts (Limasol, Larnaka, Pafos and Ammochostos). We have visited around 500 cases, so collection fieldwork was not our main data collection method. |
| | 3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire) We send one invitation and 3 reminder letters. |
| | 3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included? |
| | In our main sample we have send the paper questionnaire in the 3rd mailing, that's 2nd reminder along with paper questionnaire. For our reserve sample we have mailed the paper questionnaire along with invitation letter. |
| | 3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted? Due to time restrictions and public holidays(Easter) our mailing timings were slightly different from recommended timings: MAIN SAMPLE +7, +13, +23, RESERVE SAMPLE +18, +10, +14. |
| | 3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Greek |
| | 3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents? n/a |
| | 3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach? |
| | 3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes: Our sample size for f2f collection was 2250 (main 2050+200 reserve), while for self-complition mode was 6040 (5500 main+ 540 reserve). |

| | 3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? |
|--|--|
| An opt-out list is a | 3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.11.1 [if 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? |
| opted out of participating in | Before the sample is drawn |
| surveys. For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.11.2 [if 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: n/a |
| | 3.12 Characteristics of the sample |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 6040 (5500 main sample+ 540 reserve sample) |
| | Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 586 |
| | Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 289 |
| | Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 69 |
| | Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 10 |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 4809 |
| | 3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: |
| | Included in full |
| | Included subset |
| | 3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: |
| | 3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? |
| | 3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: n/a |
| | 3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD |
| | 3.15.1 [if 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: |
| | n/a |
| 4 Pre-Test Vis | its for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach |
| | 4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY) |
| | From: n/a To: n/a |
| | 4.2 Number of pre-test visits |
| | Number of sample units issued: n/a |
| | Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test: n/a |
| | Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit: n/a |
| | Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders: n/a |
| 5 Contact Form | n for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach |
| | 5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted approach used: |
| | As is |
| | Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: Fieldwork approach was not our main data method for delivery of letters. |

| 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the sample units? | | | | |
| | Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact with sample unit | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify: No | | | | |
| | 6.2 Use of respondent incentives | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: cash | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers | | | | |
| | Amount of unconditional monetary incentives: 5 euro electric appliances | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives: cash | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers | | | | |
| | Amount of conditional monetary incentives: 15 euro (10euro gas, bakery and super-market + 5euro electric appliances) If other incentives used, please describe: No | | | | |
| | 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders | | | | |
| | Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | | | | |
| | Please describe: | | | | |
| | Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | | | | |
| | Please describe: We have used fieldworkers at the end of data collection to persue responses only in four districts (Limasol, Larnaka, Pafos and Ammochostos). We have visited around 500 cases, so collection fieldwork was not our main data collection method. | | | | |
| | 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures | | | | |
| | Helpline | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | |
| | Other Delease describe: | | | | |
| 7 Data processir | ng | | | | |
| | 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire? | | | | |
| | Scan: | | | | |
| | Key: | | | | |
| | 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way): We have entered responses into Qualtrics. | | | | |
| | 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data | | | | |
| | Was the scanned/keyed data verified? | | | | |
| | Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): ALL paper questionnaires were checked. | | | | |

| 8 Quality control | | k-checks performed? Please specif | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | | J. | | | | |
| | No | | | | | | |
| Region | | | | | | | |
| or NUTS countries: | | ry part of the NUTS nomenclatur | | | | | |
| lease document the sed NUTS level. | statistical region | s for EFTA and Candidate count | ries ? | | | | |
| ease see <u>Statistical</u> gions in the | [f 9.1 ticked off] Pl REGIONxx in the | ease specify the NUTS level used [.] data file: | for the regional variable NU | TS level: | | | |
| uropean Union and artner countries | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | |
| or all countries: | Value | Label | Value (cont.) | Label (cont.) | | | |
| ease list the tegories in the | | | | | | | |
| nriable REGIONxx in | CY011 | Urban Nicosia | | | | | |
| e dataset (both NUTS ountries and countries | CY012 | Rural Nicosia | | | | | |
| ot included in the | CY021 | Urban Limasol | | | | | |
| UTS nomenclature). | CY022 | Rural Limasol | | | | | |
| xample: (official NUTS ode and label) | CY031 | Urban Larnaka | | | | | |
| alue: HR050 | CY032 | Rural Larnaka | | | | | |
| abel: Grad Zagreb | CY041 | Urban Pafos | | | | | |
| | CY042 | Rural Pafos | | | | | |
| | CY052 | Rural Ammochostos | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| lministrative units, atistical regions, ossible to attach ntextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file: n/a | | | | | | |
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| 9.4 not ticked off, lease document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: District and area of residence (rural and urban) | | | | | | |
| ne regional categories an be grouped to | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round? | | | | | | |
| roduce representative amples for each egional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | | |
| 0 Occupation c | oding and pro | ocedures | | | | | |
| lease indicate how the | 10.1 Classificatio | on used in coding of occupation | (mark one only): | | | | |
| SCO08 was derived. | First coded to a national classification <i>based on ISCO</i> and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| order to study the ansition to ISCO08 | First coded to a na | ational classification and then bridg | ed to match the ISCO08 | | | | |
| ore closely please eposit any national | | g, occupation coded directly into th | | \boxtimes | | | |
| ccupational variables sed in the transition to | 10.2 Number of occupation | | | | | | |
| SCO08. | coders: 10.3 Were any o (double coding) | ccupation strings coded by more | e than one coder |] | | | |
| | 10.4 Was an adj | | × | 3 | | | |
| | Was adjudication | | L | - | | | |
| | | By sampling | Г | 1 | | | |
| | By sampling On difficult cases | | | | | | |
| | 10.5 ls some kin coding process | d of automatic/semiautomatic co | | | | | |
| | against other cod When coding occ precisely as poss coding occupation | a short description of the proce- ers, against a list of titles, against o upations, it was helpful to draw on ible. In some cases without this sup ns, use be made of the following van The question about self-employme | oding software): additional information that en oplementary information, som riables:Status in employmen | ables the occupational activity to the details cannot be coded comple t, Sector, Self-employment and so | be classified as etely, or at all. Whome times | | |

29



Czechia

| 1 Data Deposito | r | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The organisation depositing the data | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: Institute of Sociology CAS | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: www.soc.cas.cz | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | |
| Country's funding | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies | | | | |
| agency/ies | Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (Czechia) | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://www.msmt.cz/?lang=2 | | | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) LM2018139, LTT20011 | | | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: ppm factum research | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: www.factum.cz | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | |
| | From: 7 July 21 To: 29 September 21 | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) Face to face: | | | | |
| | Video: | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | |
| | Skype | | | | |
| | Zoom Microsoft Teams | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Czech | | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | |
| in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3402 | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 2477 | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 0 | | | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): | | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 12 | | | | |
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | |
| | 30 | | | | |

| | 3.11 Additional country-specific que | estions includ | led in question | naire: | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|--|--|
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these a | pproved by H | Q before fieldwo | rk? | | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please | specify if not a | pproved by HQ: | | | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) inc | luded in datas | set deposited to | NSD | | | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specif | fy what type of | weight and prov | ide documentation: | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fi | eldwork orgar | nisation(s) who | collected the pre-te | est data: | | |
| | Same as for main data collection Yes | i | | | | | |
| | Or; write in: | | | | | | |
| | Name: | | | | | | |
| | Website address: | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | | |
| | From: 17 June 21 | To: 24 Ju | ne 21 | | | | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest inte | erviews: | | | | | |
| | Face to face: | Y | ′es | Number: 30 | | | |
| | Video: | | | Number: | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recor | ded? | | | | | |
| | tape recording of interviews | Ν | lo | | | | |
| | video recording of interviews | N | lo | | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test | (mark all that | apply) | | | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales | were clear, co | mplete and corr | ect | \boxtimes | | |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming an | nd routing | | | \boxtimes | | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool | suite | | | \boxtimes | | |
| | Check the translations | | | | \boxtimes | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | | | | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic | | | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-modu COVID19-module tested as part of t | | ticked off] Wer | e questions from | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | | | | | | | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was u | used | | | | | |
| used. Indicate which ESS | Standard ESS contact form | | As is | | FWO (select if any alterations from the standard n, even if minor) | | |
| form was used, with or | Individual (no respondent selectio | n) | | | ., | | |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respond | lent selection | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent se | election | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent sele | | | | | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respond | | | | | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent se | | | | | | |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent select 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please | | aptations: | | | | |
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management | t system app (| (FMS) used? | No | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Please do not include | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 219 | | | | | |
| any telephone interviewers from the | What number of interviewers | | | | | |
| contacting phase in | are free-lance interviewers: 219 | | | | | |
| these numbers | work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: don't know | | | | | |
| | Other, please specify: | | | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS rounds: 200 | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing | a work before: | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: | 5 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Please specify: | | | | | |
| | Other Dease specify: | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | | | | | |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respondents, and response rate | enhancing procedures | | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: | 4 | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a weekend: | 1 | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be in the evening: | 1 | | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | | |
| contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: | | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet | | | | | |
| | How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units? | | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter | | | | | |
| | Made available by interviewer on doorstep | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | |
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | |
| | No respondent incentives | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview 32 | \boxtimes | | | | |

| | Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|------------|-------------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------------|---|---|--|
| | 7.5 Re-issue strategies Refusal conversion Image: Conversion Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts) Image: Conversion Using different interviewers Image: Conversion Other Image: Please describe: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web perce | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | _ | | | | | | | _ | |
| | | | In | ntervie | ws | | Ineligibl | es | | | |
| | | | Р | | Т | М | Р | Т | М | | |
| | | ected for back-check: | | | | 990 | | | | | |
| | Number of back-che | | | | | 909 | | | | | |
| | Number of back-che | | | | | 909 | | | | | |
| | | ere outcome was confirmed: | | | | 909 | | | | | |
| | Number of units where outcome was not confirmed: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Mode of back-check: | In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only ir | n exceptic | onal ci | rcumstai | nces) (N | 1), Video (| V) | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> partner countries | 9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Image: Constraint of the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file: NUTS level: 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>For all countries:</u> Please list the | Value | Label | Value (co | alue (cont.) Labe | | | | bel (cont.) | | | |
| categories in the variable REGIONxx in | CZ010 | Hlavní město Praha | CZ080 | | | Mor | avskoslez | ský kraj | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS | CZ020 | Středočeský kraj | | | | | | | | | |
| countries and countries not included in the | CZ031 | Jihočeský kraj | | | | | | | | | |
| NUTS nomenclature). | CZ032 | Plzeňský kraj | | | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS code and label) | CZ041 | Karlovarský kraj | | | | | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | CZ042 | Ústecký kraj | | | | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | CZ051 | Liberecký kraj | | | | | | | | | |
| | CZ052 | Královéhradecký kraj | | | | | | | | | |
| | CZ053 | Pardubický kraj | | | | | | | | | |
| | CZ063 | Kraj Vysočina | | | | | | | | | |
| | CZ064 | Jihomoravský kraj | | | | | | | | | |
| | CZ071 | Olomoucký kraj | | | | | | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | CZ072 Zlínský kraj 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file: | | | | | | | n the data file: | | | |
| | 9.4 Statistical infere | nce possible at the regional level | | | | | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how the regional categories | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | | | | | | | | | | |
| can be grouped to | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS no | | | | | | | | | | |
| produce representative samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | | | | | | |

| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification <i>based on ISCO</i> and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | \boxtimes | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08. | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | | |
| | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | | |
| | Later on | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 3 | | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | No | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | Yes | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | | | | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | | | | | |
| | Not only 4 digit codes (ISCO) or 2 digit codes (NACE) were used when coding codes were ready for checking in case of difficulties while coding. Very simple was done. | | | | | |



Estonia

| 1 Data Deposito | pr | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: | | | | | |
| depositing the data | University of Tartu | | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: | | | | | |
| | www.ut.ee | | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | | |
| Country's funding | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies | | | | | |
| agency/ies | University of Tartu, Ministry of Social Affairs, Ministry of Science and Education, TallTech | | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: | | | | | |
| | www.ut.ee, www.sm.ee, www.hm.ee, www.talltech.ee | | | | | |
| Grant number(s) | 2.3 Grant number(s) | | | | | |
| connected to the funding agency/ies | 2016-2020.4.01.16-0122 | | | | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | llection | | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: | | | | | |
| | Statistics Estonia | | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: | | | | | |
| | www.stat.ee | | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | |
| | From: 07.06.21 To: 31.12.21 | | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | | | |
| | Face to face: | | | | | |
| | Video: | | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | | |
| | Skype 🗵 | | | | | |
| | Zoom 🛛 | | | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Estonian | | | | | |
| | Russian | | | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3300 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1302 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 240 | | | | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 8 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | 1 | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|--|-------|--|--|--|
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: | | | | | | |
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in ques | stionnaire: | | | | | |
| | Included in full | | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions inclu- | ded in questionna | ire: 🗵 | | | | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not a | approved by HQ: | | | | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in data | eat dapasitad to N | ISD 🗌 | | | | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of | - | | | | | |
| | | weight and provide | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork orga | nisation(s) who co | ollected the pre-test data: | | | | |
| | Same as for main data collection 区 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Or; write in: Name: | | | | | | |
| | Website address: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | | |
| | From: 25.05.20 To: 07.06 | 6.21 | | | | | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | | | | | | |
| | Face to face: | X | Number: 30 | | | | |
| | Video: | X | Number: 50 | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | | | | | |
| | tape recording of interviews | | | | | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | | | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, co | complete and correct | | | | | |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | | | | | | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | | | | | | |
| | Check the translations | | | | | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | | | | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the surve Other: | ey in context of COV | VID19 pandemic ⊠ | | | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | | questions from | | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | | | | | | | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | | | | | | |
| used. | Standard ESS contact form | As is | Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the star | ndard | | | |
| Indicate which ESS form was used, with or | | _ | ESS contact form, even if minor) | | | | |
| without adaptation | Individual (no respondent selection) | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | |

| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: Fieldwork organisation had their standard contact form. The ESS contact form information was derived from the fieldwork contact form. | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | | | | |
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | | | | | |
| Please do not include | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in | ESS round 10 | 53 | | | | | |
| any telephone interviewers from the | What number of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| contacting phase in these numbers | are free-lance interviewers: 0 | | | | | | | |
| inese numbers | work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: 99 | | | | | | | |
| | Other, please specify: | | | | | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS roun | nds: 32 | | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but n | not done any fac | ce to face interviewin | g work before: 4 | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing expe | rience whatsoe | ever: 7 | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for | r video interviev | ws: 8 | | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interv | /iews: | | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interv | viewer fieldforce | • | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | X | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely rep | porting of conta | ict attempts | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e | e.g. mileage allc | owance) | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme | | Please specify: | | | | | |
| | Other [| | Please specify: | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the b | priefing of inter | rviewers? | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | | \mathbf{X} | | | | | |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual bri | iefings | | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respon | dents, and | response rate | enhancing proc | edures | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits pe | er respondent/s | ampling unit in total: | | 4 | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a | weekend: | | | 2 | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be in the | e evening: | | | 2 | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | | | | |
| contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls pe | er respondent (i | n total): | | 4 | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a w | veekend: | | | 2 | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the | evening: | | | 2 | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet | | | | | | | |
| | How was the ESS10 GDPR Information | on Sheet made | e available to the sam | ple units? | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter | \mathbf{X} | | | | | | |
| | Made available by interviewer on doo | orstep | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): Also on web | | | | | | | |

| | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-----------|--------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| | 7.4 Use of responde | nt incentives | | | | | | | | |
| | No respondent incent | ives | | | | | | | | |
| | | | intorvio | \ A / | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary | incentives, paid upon completion of the | intervie | ew | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional non-mo | onetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid | indepe | ndently o | f an inte | rview | | | | |
| | Conditional non-mone | etary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid up | on com | pletion c | of the inte | erview | X | | | |
| | Please specify further | details on your incentive scheme: | | | | | | | | |
| | Voucher for a journal | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strateg | jies | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | \mathbf{X} | | | |
| | - | r of contact attempts (in case of non-cor | ntacts) | | | | X | | | |
| | Using different intervi | | | | | | \mathbf{X} | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: The choice | of a stra | ategy wa | s depen | dent on o | case and | regional | resources. | |
| | 7.6 Use of additiona | I response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | \mathbf{X} | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | \boxtimes | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: Media cove | rane of | ESS res | ults and | survev r | process - 2 |) times n | er month du | rina the fie |
| | | period. | | 200103 | | Surveyp | 10003 - 2 | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Intervi | ews | | Ineligib | les | | |
| | | | | Р | Т | V | Р | Т | М | |
| | Number of units sele | ected for back-check: | | 1333 | 53 | | | | | |
| | Number of back-che | | | | 53 | | | | | |
| | Number of back-che | | | 279 | 50 | | | | | |
| | | ere outcome was confirmed: | | | 47 3 | | | | | |
| | | ere outcome was not confirmed: | | | 3 | | | | | |
| | Mode of back-check: | In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only | in exce | eptional c | ircumsta | ances) (N | /I), Video (| (V) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: | 9.1 Is your country | part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eur | rostat's | ; | X | | | | | |
| Please document the | statistical regions for | or EFTA and Candidate countries? | | | | | | | | |
| used NUTS level. Please see Statistical | If 9.1 ticked offI Pleas | e specify the NUTS level used for the re | aional | variahla | | | | | | |
| regions in the | REGIONxx in the dat | | Sgioriai | variabic | NUTS | level: 3 | | | | |
| European Union and partner countries | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9.2 Categories | T | | | | | | | | |
| <u>For all countries:</u> Please list the | Value | Label | Value | e (cont.) | | Lab | el (cont.) | | | |
| categories in the variable REGIONxx in | EE00A | Kirde-Eesti | | | | | | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS | EE008 | Lõuna-Eesti | | | | | | | | |
| countries and countries not included in the | EE009 | Kesk-Eesti | | | | | | | | |
| NUTS nomenclature). | EE001 | Põhja-Eesti | - | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS code and label) | EE004 | Lääne-Eesti | | | | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a sh | ort description of | the regional level used in the data file: | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | | \mathbf{X} | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | | | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since round? | the last ESS | | | | | | |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to mate | ch the ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | | | | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | | | | |
| | Later on | | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 3 | | | | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | | | | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | | | | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | | | | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | | | | | | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): 3 very experienced coders do all the work. In case of difficult cases they const | | c king the coding (e.g. checked against | | | | | |



Finland

| 1 Data Deposito | r | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| The organisation depositing the data | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: Statistics Finland (Tilastokeskus) | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: | | | | |
| | www.stat.fi | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency Academy of Finland | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: www.aka.fi | | | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) 328218 | | | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: Statistics Finland (Tilastokeskus) | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: www.stat.fi | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | |
| | From: 31.08.2021 To: 31.01.2022 | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | | |
| | Face to face: | | | | |
| | Video: | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | |
| | Skype | | | | |
| | Zoom | | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Finnish, Swedish | | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | \boxtimes | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | \boxtimes | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: People with a security classification are excluded from the sampling frame as well as people who have responded to large surveys conducted by Statistics Finland within the last 3 years. | | | | |

| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3 900 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1 577 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 240 | | | | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 4 | | | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 454 | | | | | |
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | | |
| | Included in full | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | | | | | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: Post-stratification, (design weights) weighting by gender, age group, education, language, region as well as degree of urbanisation. | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data: | | | | | |
| | Same as for main data collection \square | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Or; write in: Name: | | | | | |
| | Website address: | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | From: 18.08.2021 To: 31.08.2021 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Face to face: Number: 220 | | | | | |
| | Video: Number: 40 | | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | | | | |
| | tape recording of interviews | | | | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply) | | | | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct | | | | | |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | | | | | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | | | | | |
| | Check the translations | | | | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | | | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic | | | | | |
| | Other: Familiarize the interviewers with the questionnaire to ensure a smooth start for the interviews | | | | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from OVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| 5 Contact Form | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | | | | | | | |
| used. Indicate which ESS | Standard ESS contact form As is Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) | | | | | | | |
| form was used, with or | Individual (no respondent selection) | | | | | | | |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: The following questions were not applicable in Finland since the information was obtained from the sample: Interviewer's estimation of age of target and Interviewer's estimation of gender of target. | | | | | | | |
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | | | | |
| 6 Interviewer Se | | | | | | | | |
| Please do not include | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 147 | | | | | | | |
| any telephone interviewers from the | What number of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| contacting phase in these numbers | are free-lance interviewers: 0 | | | | | | | |
| | work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: 147 | | | | | | | |
| | Other, please specify: | | | | | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS rounds: 100 | | | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 142 | | | | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 0 | | | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 20 | | | | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts | | | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) | | | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Please specify: | | | | | | | |
| | Other Dease specify: | | | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? | | | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | | | | | | | |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings | | | | | | | |

| 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|--|-------------|
| | 7.1 Call schedules, | visits | | |
| | Number of minimum | required v | isits per respondent/sampling unit in total: | 4 |
| | Number of visits rec | quired to b | e on a weekend: | 1 |
| | Number of visits rec | quired to b | e in the evening: | 1 |
| Only for countries qualified for first | 7.2 Call schedules, | , telephon | e | |
| contact by telephone | Number of minimum | required o | alls per respondent (in total): | 4 |
| | Number of calls requ | ired to be | on a weekend: | 1 |
| | Number of calls requ | uired to be | in the evening: | 1 |
| | 7.3 GDPR Informati | on Sheet | | |
| | How was the <u>ESS10</u> | GDPR Inf | ormation Sheet made available to the sample units? | |
| | Mailed out with an ac | dvance let | er 🛛 | |
| | Made available by int | terviewer | on doorstep | |
| | Other (please specify | /): | | |
| | 7.4 Use of responde | ent incent | ives | |
| | No respondent incen | tives | | |
| | Unconditional monet | ary incent | ves, paid independently of an interview | |
| | Conditional monetary | y incentive | s, paid upon completion of the interview | |
| | Unconditional non-m | onetary in | centives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview | \boxtimes |
| | Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview | | | |
| | Please specify furthe | er details o | n your incentive scheme: | |
| | Attachable soft reflectors to all respondents (delivered inside the advance letter envelope). In addition to that, the respondents who completed the interview received a statistical pocketbook and took part to a lottery of a grocery store voucher of EUR 300. | | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strate | gies | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | |
| | Extending the number | er of conta | ct attempts (in case of non-contacts) | \boxtimes |
| | Using different intervi | iewers | | \boxtimes |
| | Other | | Please describe: | |
| | 7.6 Use of additiona | al respons | e enhancing measures | |
| | Call-center | | | |
| | Web-pages | \boxtimes | | |
| | Other | | Please describe: A cardboard card asking the respondent to contact the inte Finland. The card could be sent by post with a reminder lee personally. | |

| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|
| | | | | | ews | | Ineligit | | |
| | | | | P | T | V | P | T | м |
| | Number of units sele | ected for back-check | | | 317 | v | | - | |
| | Number of back-checks conducted | | | | 198 | | | | |
| | Number of back-che | | | | 178 | | | | |
| | | ere outcome was confirmed: | | | 178 | | | | |
| | | ere outcome was not confirmed: | | | 0 | | | | _ |
| | Mode of back-check: | In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in | n except | tional ci | rcumstar | nces) (M | I), Video (' | V) | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the European Union and | 9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? NUT Image: Constraint of the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONFI in the data file: NUTS level: 3 | | | | | | | | |
| partner countries | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | Value | Label | Value (cont.) | | | Label (cont.) | | | |
| Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in | FI1B1 | Helsinki-Uusimaa | FI195 | | | | Dstrobothnia | | |
| | FI1C1 | Varsinais-Suomi | FI1D5 | | | | ntral Ostro | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries | FI196 | Satakunta | FI1D6 | | | | rth Ostrob | | |
| not included in the | FI102 | Kanta-Häme | FI1D0 | - | | | nuu | ounna | |
| NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS | FI197 | Pirkanmaa | FI1D7 | | | | | | |
| code and label) | FI1C3 | Päijät-Häme | FI200 | · · · | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | FI1C4 | Kymenlaakso | 1 1200 | | | Aid | iiu | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | FI1C5 | South Karelia | | | | | | | |
| | FI1D1 | South Savo | | | | | | | |
| | FI1D2 | North Savo | | | | | | | |
| | FI1D3 | North Karelia | | | | | | | |
| | FI193 | Central Finland | | | | | | | |
| | FI194 | South Ostrobothnia | 1 | | | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | | s not part of the NUTS nomenclature, p | olease g | jive a sl | nort des | cription | of the re | gional le | evel used in 1 |
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | | | | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suomi) due to low nu | of the regional categories: NUTS3, NUTS mber of observations. | | | | · · · · | should be | combine | ed with FI1C1 |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been round? | any changes in the region variable (R | EGION | FI) sinc | e the las | st ESS | | | |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | | | | |

| 10 Occupation co | oding and procedures | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 more closely please | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08. | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | | | |
| 130008. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | | | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | | | |
| | Later on | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 147 + 2 | | | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | | | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | | | | | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | | | | | | |
| | Interviewers coded the occupations into the 5-digit ISCO 08 during the intervie and converting national 5-digit codes into 4-digit) were done after composing to unclear occupation classifications after the active FW period had ended. | | | | | | |



France

| 1 Data Deposito | r | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: | | | |
| depositing the data | Agnalys Michaud | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: | | | |
| | agnalys.michaud@sciencespo.fr | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies IR* Progedo | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: | | | |
| | https://www.progedo.fr/ | | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) | | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: Kantar France | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: https://www.kantar.com/fr | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | |
| | From: 23/08/2021 To: 31/12/2021 | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | |
| | Face to face: Image: Comparison of the second sec | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | |
| | Skype | | | |
| | Zoom | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | | |
| | Other: Developed by Kantar | | | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: French | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | \boxtimes | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | otocol item E4 p. 28 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 6687 | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1933 | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 44 | | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 0 | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 1396 (reserves not used). All the attempts) | e sample units opened were contacted (with 4 contacts | | |
| | autompto) | | | |

| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Included in full | | | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | | | | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | | | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | | | | | | | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: INSEE weight | | | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data: | | | | | | | |
| | Same as for main data collection 🛛 | | | | | | | |
| | Or; write in: | | | | | | | |
| | Name: | | | | | | | |
| | Website address: | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (15.06.21) | | | | | | | |
| | From: 22/06/21 To: 30/06/21 | | | | | | | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | | | | | | | |
| | Face to face: Number: 48 | | | | | | | |
| | Video: Number: 10 | | | | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | | | | | | |
| | tape recording of interviews | | | | | | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | | | | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply) | | | | | | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct | | | | | | | |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | | | | | | | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | | | | | | | |
| | Check the translations | | | | | | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | | | | | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic | | | | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | | | | | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | | | | | | | | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | | | | | | | |
| used. Indicate which ESS | Standard ESS contact form As is Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) | | | | | | | |
| form was used, with or | Individual (no respondent selection) | | | | | | | |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | | | |

| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | | | | | |
| Please do not include | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 121 | | | | | | | |
| any telephone interviewers from the | What number of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| contacting phase in these numbers | are free-lance interviewers: 91 | | | | | | | |
| | work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: 30 | | | | | | | |
| | Other, please specify: | | | | | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS rounds: 48 | | | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 0 | | | | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 0 | | | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 14 | | | | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts | | | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) | | | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Please specify: | | | | | | | |
| | Other Please specify: Travel package (not depending on the distance done) | | | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? | | | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | | | | | | | |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings | | | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | lule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1 | | | | | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | | | | |
| contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): | | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: | | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: | | | | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units? | | | | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter | | | | | | | |
| | Made available by interviewer on doorstep | | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | | | |
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | |

| | No respondent incentives Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------|--------|------------|----------------|--|
| | Please specify further Incentives have been | Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview Please specify further details on your incentive scheme: Incentives have been used only at the end of the fieldwork to encourage participation and interview completion for categories clearly underrepresented in the sample (young people, people with low income) | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strategies | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion Image: Conversion Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts) Image: Conversion Using different interviewers Image: Conversion Other Image: Please describe: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of additiona | I response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | \boxtimes | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | | |
| | web-pages | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | l back-checks | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Intervi P | ews T | V | Ineligibl | T | М | | |
| | Number of units sele | selected for back-check: 504 | | | - | | 1 | 1 | 101 | | |
| | Number of back-che | | | | 193 | | | | 123 | | |
| | Number of back-che | ecks achieved: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ere outcome was confirmed: | | | 193 | | | | 123 | | |
| | Number of units whe | ere outcome was not confirmed: | | | 1 0 | | | | | | |
| | A backcheck reveal | In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only ed that the interviewee was not the p ated into CRONOS 2 and contacted t | erson s | elected I | out his v | vife. The | e interviev | | ot because | the person had | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. | statistical regions fo | part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eu or EFTA and Candidate countries? | | | | | | | | | |
| Please see <u>Statistical</u> <u>regions in the</u> <u>European Union and</u> | [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the dat | e specify the NUTS level used for the r a file: | regional | variable | NUTS | level: 2 | | | | | |
| <u>partner countries</u> | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>For all countries:</u> Please list the | Value | Label | Value | e (cont.) | | Lab | el (cont.) | | | | |
| categories in the variable REGIONxx in | FRI1 | AQUITAINE | FRJ | 1 | | LA | LANGUEDOC-ROUSSILLON | | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS | FRD1 | BASSE-NORMANDIE | FRJ2 | 2 | | MII | DI-PYRE | NEES | | | |
| countries and countries not included in the | FRG0 | PAYS DE LOIRE | FRI | 3 | P | | POITOU-CHARENTES | | | | |
| NUTS nomenclature). | FR10 | ILE DE FRANCE | FRC | | | FR/ | FRANCHE-COMTE | | | | |
| code and label) | xample: (official NUTS | | | | HA | HAUTE-NORMANDIE | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | FRF3 | LORRAINE | FRI2 | 2 | | LIN | /IOUSIN | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | FRB0 | CENTRE | FRK | .1 | | AU | VERGN | Е | | _ | |
| | FRE1 | NORD | FRF | 2 | | CH | AMPAG | NE-ARD | ENNES | | |
| | FRH0 | BRETAGNE | | | | | | | | | |
| | FRF1 | ALSACE | | | | | | | | | |
| | FRL0 | PACA | | | | | | | | | |
| | FRC1 | BOURGOGNE | | | | | | | | | |
| | FRE2 | PICARDIE | | | | | | | | | |

| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a sl | nort description of | the regional level used in the data file: | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how the regional categories can be grouped to | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: NUTS 2 regions have been used for stratification. Inference is then theoretically possible at the NUTS2 level. We warn however about the limited size of the sample, for provides not enough units per NUTS2 region to get precise enough estimates. Groupings can be done at the NUTS1 level (with important variance in regional sample size). | | | | | | | |
| produce representative samples for each | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) sinc round? | e the last ESS | | | | | | |
| regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to main | tch the ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | | | | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | | | | |
| | Later on | | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 1 | | | | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | | | | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | \boxtimes | | | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | \boxtimes | | | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | | | | | | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and th other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | e routines for chec | king the coding (e.g. checked against | | | | | |



Germany

| 1 Data Deposi | tor |
|----------------------------------|---|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: |
| depositing the data | GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences |
| | 1.2 Website address: |
| | https://www.gesis.org |
| 2 Funding | |
| Country's funding | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies |
| agency/ies | GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences (publicly funded institute) |
| | 2.2 Website address: |
| | https://www.gesis.org |
| Grant number(s) connected to the | 2.3 Grant number(s) |
| funding agency/ies | NA |
| 3 Main Data C | ollection |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: |
| | infas Institut für angewandte Sozialwissenschaft GmbH |
| | 3.2 Website address: |
| | https://www.infas.de |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) |
| | From: 05.10.2021 To: 04.01.2022 |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection |
| | Postal recruitment: |
| | Fieldworker-assisted data collection: |
| | If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used: |
| | Deutsche Post, https://www.deutschepost.de/en/home.html |
| | 3.5 Fieldworker tasks |
| | If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed: |
| | Fieldworkers were used in 3 municipalities where no sample of addresses was delivered in time by the local register authorities. In those exceptional municipalities, fieldworkers visited randomly selected addresses and listed all names on the door bell nameplate. One name/household was randomly selected and an invitiation letter was sent to this household. Fieldworkers did not have direct contact with the household and/or target person, the selection within households was done by the households themselves. |
| | 3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire) 4 |
| | 3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included? |
| | In the third letter, except for randomly selected 50% of all target persons older than 60 years, who received the paper questionnaire with the first letter |
| | 3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted? Yes |
| | 3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: German |
| | 3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents? |
| | 3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach? |

| | 3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes: While the number of PSUs was not changed compared to the face-to-face sample design, the number of cases selected within PSUs was increased from 47 to 120. | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? | | | | | | | |
| An ant autilist is a | 3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially | 3.11.1 [if 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | | | | |
| opted out of participating in | Before the sample is drawn | | | | | | | |
| surveys. | | | | | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. | After the sample has been drawn 3.11.2 [if 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | | | | |
| 28 (INTERVA). | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.12 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 23,997 individuals | | | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 5,723 (not considering item nonresponse < 50% of all applicable items) | | | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 3,002 (not considering item nonresponse < 50% of all applicable items) | | | | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 395 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 193 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 1,125 | | | | | | | |
| | 3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | | | | |
| | Included in full | | | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | | | |
| | 3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | | | | |
| | 3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | | | |
| | 3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | | | | |
| | 3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | | | | | | | |
| | 3.15.1 [if 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Vis | its for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | | | |
| | From: To: | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Number of pre-test visits | | | | | | | |
| | Number of sample units issued: | | | | | | | |
| | Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test: | | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit: | | | | | | | |
| | Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders: | | | | | | | |
| 5 Contact Forr | n for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | | | | |
| | 5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted approach used: | | | | | | | |
| | As is | | | | | | | |
| | Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) | | | | | | | |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | | | |

| 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (stan sample units? | dard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the | | | | | |
| | Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact with sample unit | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): The Data Protection Information Shee | et was included in each of the four mailings | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Dat | ta Protection Information Sheet? Please specify: | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: cash | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers | | | | | | |
| | Amount of unconditional monetary incentives: €5 | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives: cash | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers | | | | | | |
| | Amount of conditional monetary incentives: €10 | | | | | | |
| | If other incentives used, please describe: | | | | | | |
| | Incentives were tested with an experimental design, 1/3 of th while 2/3 received €5 unconditionally and €10 conditionally. T incentive in the first letter, and the other 50% getting notified | e gross sample was invited with a €5 unconditional monetary incentive, Fhose 2/3 were split in half, with 50% getting notified about the conditional in the 2nd reminder (delayed conditional incentive). | | | | | |
| | 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-respond | lers | | | | | |
| | Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the oblig | gatory mailings/visits)? | | | | | |
| | Please describe: | | | | | | |
| | Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourag complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/vis | | | | | | |
| | Please describe: | | | | | | |
| | 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures | | | | | | |
| | Helpline | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | |
| | Other Dease describe: | | | | | | |
| 7 Data processir | | | | | | | |
| | | web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper | | | | | |
| | questionnaire? | | | | | | |
| | Scan: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., enterin | g responses into Qualtrics or in another way): | | | | | |
| | 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data | | | | | | |
| | Was the scanned/keyed data verified? | | | | | | |
| | Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): item were found in two questionnaires. | 1% of all PAPI questionnaires were checked by GESIS. Errors for a single | | | | | |

| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| - | 8.1 Were any back-ch | necks performed? Please specify: | | | | | | |
| | All respondents were contacted by mail and received a 1-page backcheck questionnaire and a envelope to send it back free of charges. This questionnaire asked about whether respondents received our letters, whether they participated themselves or whether another person in their household participated, and in which mode they participated (Web or PAPI). Moreover, the questionnaire asked about the gender and year of birth and how much they liked or disliked the survey interview. 4,905 backcheck questionnaires were sent back to the survey agency (55.4% of all cases) and the replies were used to identify cases in the net sample that were invalid. | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | 1 | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. | | | | | | | | |
| Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the European Union and | [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data | e specify the NUTS level used for the re a file: | egional variable N | UTS level: 1 | | | | |
| partner countries | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | Value | Label | Value (cont.) | Label (| cont.) | | | |
| Please list the categories in the | DE1 | Baden-Württemberg | DEE | Sachse | n-Anhalt | | | |
| variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS | DE2 | Bayern | DEF | | vig-Holstein | | | |
| countries and countries | DE3 | Berlin | DEG | Thüring | | | | |
| not included in the NUTS nomenclature). | DE4 | Brandenburg | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | DE5 | Bremen | | | | | | |
| code and label) | DE6 | Hamburg | | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | DE7 | Hessen | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | DE8 | Mecklenburg-Vorpommern | | | | | | |
| | DE9 | Niedersachsen | | | | | | |
| | DEA | Nordrhein-Westfalen | | | | | | |
| | DEB | Rheinland-Pfalz | | | | | | |
| | DEC | Saarland | | | | | | |
| | DED | Sachsen | | | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is file: | s not part of the NUTS nomenclature, | please give a sho | rt description of | the regional level used in the data | | | |
| | | nce possible at the regional level | | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | | | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS | | | | | | | |
| produce representative samples for each regional unit. | round? | | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and proce | edures | | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification u | ised in coding of occupation (mark or | ne only): | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. | | nal classification <i>based on ISCO</i> and the | | the ISCO08 | | | | |
| In order to study the transition to ISCO08 | | nal classification and then bridged to ma | • | | | | | |
| more closely please | | - | | | | | | |
| deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Number of occ coders: | upation coded directly into the 4-digit upation 5 | 130008 | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | 10.3 Were any occu (double coding)? | pation strings coded by more than or | ne coder | X | | | | |
| | 10.4 Was an adjudi | cator used? | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Was adjudication dor | ne | | | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | | | | |

| On difficult cases | \boxtimes |
|--|--|
| 10.5 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | |
| 10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | e routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked |
| The occupation coders of the survey agency have received intensive training i Coding takes place with the help of a coding software, which also considers so ISCO items. Then, coders check the plausibility of the codes and forward roug another decision than the coding software. An experienced adjucator finally ch difficult to code. | everal variables (e.g., emplrel, emplno) in addition to the hly 30% of all codes to another coder if they come to |



Greece

| | DT 1.1 Name of Data depositor: | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The organisation depositing the data | National Centre for Social Research (EKKE) | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: www.ekke.gr | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | |
| Country's funding | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies | | | | |
| agency/ies | Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: | | | | |
| | www.elidek.gr | | | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the | 2.3 Grant number(s) 01414 | | | | |
| funding agency/ies | 01414 | | | | |
| 3 Main Data Co | llection | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: QED S.A and ABACUS S.A | | | | |
| | QED S.A and ABACUS S.A | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: | | | | |
| | www.qed.gr | | | | |
| | www.abacus-research.gr | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | From: 09/11/21 To: 23/05/22 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | | |
| | Face to face: | | | | |
| | Video: | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | |
| | Skype | | | | |
| | Zoom | | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | | | |
| | Other: 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: | | | | |
| | GREEK | | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 5,684 | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 2,900 | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 28 | | | | |

| | Involid interviewe (interviewe net engraved) | with a mational taxa). | |
|---|--|----------------------------|--|
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved b | by the national team): | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all | : 177 | |
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included | in questionnaire: | |
| | Included in full | | |
| | Included subset | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific question | ns included in question | naire: |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approv | ed by HQ before fieldwo | rk? |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specif | y if not approved by HQ: | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included | in dataset deposited to | NSD |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what | at type of weight and prov | vide documentation: |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwo | ork organisation(s) who | collected the pre-test data: |
| | Same as for main data collection x 🗌 | c (<i>i</i>) | |
| | | | |
| | Or; write in: | | |
| | Name: | | |
| | Website address: | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.M | IM.YY) | |
| | From: 15/10/21 To: | 25/10/21 | |
| | 1/11/21 video interviewing 5/1 | 1/21 | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interview | vs: | |
| | Face to face: | \boxtimes | Number: 30 |
| | Video: | | Number: 10 |
| | Other: | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | |
| | tape recording of interviews | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | |
| | - | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were | clear, complete and corr | ect 🛛 🖾 |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and rou | ting | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | | |
| | Check the translations | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in t | he survey in context of C | OVID19 pandemic |
| | Other: | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full COVID19-module tested as part of the pro- | | e questions from 🛛 🗌 |
| | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | | | |
| Type of contact form used. | 5.1 What type of contact form was used Standard ESS contact form | As is | Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard |
| Indicate which ESS | | נו פא | ESS contact form, even if minor) |
| form was used, with or without adaptation | Individual (no respondent selection) | | |
| | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent s | election | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selectio | n 🗌 | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent se | election 🗵 | |

| | Address – Birthday respondent selection |
|---|--|
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection |
| | |
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? |
| 6 Interviewer Se | ection 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 |
| Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers | 139+10 field supervisors What number of interviewers are free-lance interviewers: 139 work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: |
| | Other, please specify: |
| | What number of interviewers |
| | have worked on previous ESS rounds: 5 |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 17 |
| | have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 14 |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 8 |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: |
| | are a separate panel |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers |
| | Per completed interview |
| | Per hours worked |
| | A regular fixed salary |
| | Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) |
| | Bonus payment scheme Please specify: 42 interviewers received a bonus, based on the overall assessment of their work in the ESS10, i.e., quality and efficiency |
| | Other Dease specify: |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? |
| | Only face to face briefings |
| | Only virtual briefings |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4 |
| | Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1 |
| Only for countries | Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1 |
| Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet |
| | How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units? |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter |

| | 7 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------|--------------|-------------|-------|---------------------|---|---|---|
| | Made available by inte | · — | | | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify) | r. | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.4 Use of responde | nt incentives | | | | | | | | |
| | No respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary | incentives, paid upon completion of the i | interviev | w | | | $\boxtimes \square$ | | | |
| | - | netary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid ir | | | of an inter | rview | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview Please specify further details on your incentive scheme: Towards the end of fieldwork, incentives were given to 668 respondents, mainly residents of urban areas to enhance response rate. Interviewers informed respondents about the incentive, upon completion of the interview the fieldwork agency sent the monetary incer respondents. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strateg | ies | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | | | | |
| | | of contact attempts (in case of non-cont | tacte) | | | | | | | |
| | Using different intervie | | lacis) | | | | | | | |
| | | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other [| Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Г | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | - | Intervi P | | | Ineligibl | | | |
| | Number of units sele | Number of units selected for back-check: | | | T 694 | V | P 10 | Т | М | |
| | Number of back-chee | | | 88 88 | 694 | | 10 | | | |
| | Number of back-chee | cks achieved: | | 86 | 570 | | 6 | | | |
| | Number of units whe | re outcome was confirmed: | | 84 | 549 | | 6 | | | |
| | Number of units whe | re outcome was not confirmed: | | 2 | 21 | | 0 | | | |
| | Mode of back-check: | Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: | 9.1 Is your country p | art of the NUTS nomenclature or Euro r EFTA and Candidate countries? | ostat's | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| Please document the used NUTS level. | statistical regions to | E TA and vandidate countries? | | | | | | | | |
| Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the European Union and | [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data | e specify the NUTS level used for the reg a file: | gional v | ariable | | | | | | |
| partner countries | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | Value | Label | Value | (cont.) | | Lab | el (cont.) | | | |
| Please list the categories in the | EL30 | Αττική | | | | | | | | |
| variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS | EL41 | Βόρειο Αιγαίο | | | | | | | | |
| countries and countries not included in the | EL42 | Νότιο Αιγαίο | | | | | | | | |
| NUTS nomenclature). | EL43 | Κρήτη | | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS code and label) | EL51 | Ανατολική Μακεδονία, Θράκη | | | | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 Label: Grad Zagreb | EL52 | Κεντρική Μακεδονία | | | | | | | | |
| Label. Glau Zagleb | EL53 | Δυτική Μακεδονία | | | | | | | | |
| | EL54 | Ήπειρος | | | | | | | | |
| | EL61 | Θεσσαλία | | | | | | | | |
| | EL62 | Ιόνια Νησιά | 1 | | | | | | | |

| | EL63 | Δυτική Ελλάδα | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| | EL64 | Στερεά Ελλάδα | | | | | | |
| | EL65 | Πελοπόννησος | | | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file: | | | | | | | |
| | 9.4 Statistical inferer | ce possible at the regional level | | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | 00 0 1 0 | f the regional categories: | | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been a round? | any changes in the region variable (R | EGIONxx) since th | e last ESS | | | | |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Pleas | e describe: | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and proce | dures | | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived. | 10.1 Classification us | sed in coding of occupation (mark on | e only): | | | | | |
| In order to study the | First coded to a nation | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 more closely please | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | | |
| deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | | | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08. | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: 5 | | | | | | | |
| | When did the coding of | f occupation for respondent and partner | take place? | | | | | |
| | At the time of the inter | view | | | | | | |
| | Later on | | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occu | ipation coders: | 2 | | | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occu (double coding | pation strings coded by more than or) | e coder |] | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudio | ator used? | X | 1 | | | | |
| | Was adjudication don | e | | | | | | |
| | | By sampling | X | | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | | | | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semi-automatic coding used in the coding process? | | | | | | | |
| | | nort description of the procedures for a list of titles, against coding software): | coding and the ro | utines for che | ecking the coding (e.g. checked against | | | |
| | Coding was conducte | d against other coders and against a list | of titles. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ļ | I | | | | | | | |



Hungary

| 1 Data Deposito | r | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The organisation depositing the data | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: Centre for Social Sciences (Társadalomtudományi Kutatóközpont) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: | | | | | |
| | www.tk.hu | | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies | | | | | |
| agencynes | Eötvös Loránd Research Network (Eötvös Loránd Kutatóhálózat, ELKH) | | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: | | | | | |
| | www.elkh.org/en | | | | | |
| Grant number(s) | 2.3 Grant number(s) | | | | | |
| connected to the funding agency/ies | There is no specific grant associated with the project. | | | | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: | | | | | |
| | TÁRKI Társadalomkutatási Intézet Zrt | | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: | | | | | |
| | www.tarki.hu | | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | |
| | From: 10.06.2021 To: 16.10.2021 | | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | | | |
| | Face to face: | | | | | |
| | Video: | | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | | |
| | Skype | | | | | |
| | Zoom | | | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | | | | |
| | Other: n/a | | | | | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Hungarian | | | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its | lse: | | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 4700 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1851 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 0 | | | | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 97 (based on b | ackcheck results) | | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: |
| | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: |
| | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data: |
| | Same as for main data collection 🛛 |
| | Or; write in: |
| | Name: |
| | Website address: |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) |
| | From: 06.05.2021 To: 11.05.2021 |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: |
| | Eace to face: Number: 30 |
| | Number: 2 |
| | Video: Other: |
| | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? |
| | tape recording of interviews |
| | video recording of interviews |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply) |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite |
| | Check the translations |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic |
| | Other: |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? |
| 5 Contact Form | 1 |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used |
| used. | Standard ESS contact form As is Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard |
| Indicate which ESS form was used, with or | ESS contact form, even if minor) |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: |

| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | | | | | |
| Please do not include | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 110 | | | | | | | |
| any telephone interviewers from the | What number of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| contacting phase in | are free-lance interviewers: 110 (subcontractors via regional supervisors) | | | | | | | |
| these numbers | work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: | | | | | | | |
| | Other, please specify: | | | | | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | …have worked on previous ESS rounds: 80 | | | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 0 have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts | | | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) | | | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Please specify: | | | | | | | |
| | Other Dease specify: | | | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? | | | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | | | | | | | |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings | | | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1 | | | | | | | |
| Only for countries | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | | | | |
| qualified for first contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): | | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: | | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: | | | | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet | | | | | | | |
| | How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units? | | | | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter | | | | | | | |
| | Made available by interviewer on doorstep | | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | | | |
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | |

| | - | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------|------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|
| | No respondent ince | ntives | | | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | | netary incentives (incl. vouchers), p | - | pletion c | of the int | erview | | | | |
| | Please specify furth | er details on your incentive scheme | e: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strategies | | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Extending the numb | per of contact attempts (in case of n | on-contacts) | | | | | | | |
| | Using different inter | | , | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of addition | nal response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | Dack-cnecks | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Intervi | ews | | Ineligib | es | |] |
| | | | | P | Т | V | P | Т | М | - |
| | Number of units selected for back-check: 897 | | | | |] | | | | |
| | Number of back-ch | necks conducted | | | 897 | | | | | |
| | Number of back-ch | necks achieved: | | | 432 | | | | _ | |
| | Number of units w | here outcome was confirmed: | | | 335 | | | | | _ |
| | Number of units w | Number of units where outcome was not confirmed: 97 | | | | | | |] | |
| | Mode of back-check | k: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mai | l (only in exce | eptional o | circumst | ances) (N | /I), Video (| V) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: | | part of the NUTS nomenclature | | ; | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| Please document the | statistical regions | for EFTA and Candidate countrie | S7 | | | | | | | |
| used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> | [f 9.1 ticked off] Plea | ase specify the NUTS level used for | the regional | variable | | lovel: 2 | | | | |
| regions in the European Union and | REGIONXX in the data file: | | | | | | | | | |
| partner countries | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: Please list the | Value | Label | Value | e (cont.) | | Lab | el (cont.) | | | |
| categories in the | HU110 | Budapest | HU3 | 13 | | Nóg | rád | | | |
| variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS | HU120 | Pest | HU3 | 21 | | Hajo | dú-Bihar | | | |
| countries and countries not included in the | HU211 | Fejér | HU3 | 22 | | Jás | z-Nagykur | n-Szolnol | k | |
| NUTS nomenclature). | HU212 | Komárom-Esztergom | HU3 | 23 | | Sza | bolcs-Sza | tmár-Ber | reg | |
| Example: (official NUTS | HU213 | Veszprém | HU3 | 31 | | Bác | s-Kiskun | | | |
| code and label) | HU221 | Győe-Moson-Sopron | HU3 | 32 | | Bék | és | | | |
| Value: HR050 Label: Grad Zagreb | HU222 | Vas | HU3 | 33 | | Cso | ongrád | | | |
| Label. Grad Zagreb | HU223 | Zala | | | | | | | | |
| | HU231 | Baranya | | | | | | | | |
| | HU232 | Somogy | | | | | | | | |
| | HU233 | Tolna | | | | | | | | |
| | HU311 | Borosod-Abaúj-Zemplén | | | | | | | | |
| | HU312 | Heves | | | | | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country | is not part of the NUTS nomencl | ature, please | give a s | short de | scriptio | n of the re | gional I | evel used i | in the data file: |

| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | \boxtimes | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) sinc round? | e the last ESS | | | |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to ma | tch the ISCO08 | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | |
| | Later on | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 3 | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | | | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and th other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | e routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against | | | |
| | The fieldwork agency (Tárki) has coded the occupation into Hungarian occup by Hungarian NT. | ational categories, then it was translated into isco8 and nace2 | | | |
| | | | | | |



Iceland

| 1 Data Deposito | r | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| The organisation depositing the data | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: The Social Science Research Institute of the University of Iceland | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: https://ssri.is/is | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | |
| Country's funding | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies | | | | |
| agency/ies | Mennta- og menningarmálaráðuneytið (Ministry of education, culture and science), Háskóli Íslands (University of Iceland) | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://www.stjornarradid.is/raduneyti/mennta-og-barnamalaraduneytid/ ; https://www.hi.is/ | | | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) No formal grants, only funding. | | | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: The Social Science Research Institute of the University of Iceland | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: https://ssri.is/is | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | |
| | From: 28.07.21 To: 11.02.22 | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | | |
| | Face to face: Image: Comparison of the second sec | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | |
| | Skype | | | | |
| | Zoom | | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | | | |
| | Other: Whereby.com | | | | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Icelandic, Polish | | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | \boxtimes | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 2758 | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 570 | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 333 | | | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 3 | | | | |

| | 1 | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0 | | | | | | | |
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | | | | |
| | Included in full | \boxtimes | | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions inc | luded in questionnai | re: | | | | | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by | HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | | | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in da | ataset deposited to N | SD 🗌 | | | | | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type | of weight and provide | e documentation: | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork or | ganisation(s) who c | lected the pre-test data: | | | | | |
| | | gamsation(s) who co | niecteu the pre-test data. | | | | | |
| | Same as for main data collection \square | | | | | | | |
| | Or; write in: | | | | | | | |
| | Name: | | | | | | | |
| | Website address: | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY | <i>'</i>) | | | | | | |
| | From: 30.06.21 To: 25 | 5.07.21 | | | | | | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | | | | | | | |
| | Face to face: | \bowtie | Number: 29 | | | | | |
| | Video: | \boxtimes | Number: 13 | | | | | |
| | Other: | _ | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pro-test interview recorded? | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | | | | | | |
| | tape recording of interviews | | | | | | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | | | | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all th | iat apply) | | | | | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, | , complete and correct | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Check the translations | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | | | | | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the sur | rvey in context of CO | /ID19 pandemic | | | | | |
| | Other: As part of the interviewer training. | | | | | | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/sub COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-tes | | questions from 🛛 | | | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | | | | | | | | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | | | | | | | |
| used. Indicate which ESS | Standard ESS contact form | As is | Adapted by NC/FWO (select if ESS contact form, even if mind | any alterations from the standard or) | | | | |
| form was used, with or | Individual (no respondent selection) | | | -) | | | | |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selecti | ion 🖂 | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | | |

| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | | | | |
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | | | | | |
| Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 46 What number of interviewers are free-lance interviewers: 46 work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: | | | | | | | |
| | Other, please specify: What number of interviewers have worked on previous ESS rounds: 5 have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 13 | | | | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 28 | | | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 44 | | | | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) | | | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Please specify: After first 15 interviews there was a bonus. After the following 10 again etc. | | | | | | | |
| | Other Please specify: | | | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? | | | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | | | | | | | |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings | | | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1 | | | | | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | | | | |
| contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): 4 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: 1 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: 1 | | | | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet | | | | | | | |
| | How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units? | | | | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter Image: Constraint of the second seco | | | | | | | |

| | Other (please specify) | : | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------|------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----|---|---|--|
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview Please specify further details on your incentive scheme: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Every respondent was entered into a raffle with the chance of winning a rather substantial monetary prize or one of 10 smaller | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ones. 7.5 Re-issue strategies | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | of contact attempts (in case of non-cont | acts) | | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Using different intervie | | 4010) | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of additional | response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | ∑ 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Intervi P | ews T | V | Ineligit P | T | м | - | |
| | Number of units sele | cted for back-check: | | | 100 | | 2 | 8 | | | |
| | Number of back-chee | | | | 100 | | 2 | 8 | - | _ | |
| | Number of back-chee | | | | 71 | | 2 | 8 | | | |
| | | re outcome was confirmed: re outcome was not confirmed: | | | 71 | | 2 | 8 | | _ | |
| | | n person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only ir | excer | ı itional cir | r cumstar | i nces) (M | 1 | | I | I | |
| | | | Гелоер | | oumotar | 1000) (M |), viaco (| •) | | | |
| 9 Region | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: | | art of the NUTS nomenclature or Euro or EFTA and Candidate countries? | stat's | | \boxtimes | | | | | | |
| Please document the used NUTS level. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Please see <u>Statistica</u> l regions in the | [f 9.1 ticked off] Please REGIONxx in the data | e specify the NUTS level used for the rec a file: | gional v | ariable | NUTS | evel: NL | JTS-3 | | | | |
| European Union and partner countries | | | | | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | 9.2 Categories | Label | Volue | e (cont.) | | Lab | el (cont.) | | | | |
| Please list the categories in the | IS001 | Höfuðborgarsvæði (Capital Region) | value | e (cont.) | | Lau | | | | | |
| variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS | IS002 | Landsbyggð (rest of country) | | | | | | | | | |
| countries and countries not included in the | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NUTS nomenclature). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS code and label) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | L | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a sh | ort description of the regional level used in the data file: |
|---|--|--|
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | \boxtimes |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since round? | e the last ESS |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to mate | ch the ISCO08 |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | \square |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | \boxtimes |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | |
| | At the time of the interview | |
| | Later on | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 1 |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | |
| | Was adjudication done | |
| | By sampling | |
| | On difficult cases | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | e routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against |
| | | |



Ireland

| 1 Data Deposito | DL | | | | |
|--|--|----|--|--|--|
| The organisation depositing the data | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: UCD | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: https://ess.ucd.ie | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Irish Research Council (IRC) | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://research.ie/ | | | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) | | | | |
| 3 Main Data Co | llection | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: Behaviour & Attitudes (B&A) | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: https://banda.ie/about/ | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | |
| | From: 23.11.2021 To: 16.12.2022 | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | | |
| | Face to face: Image: Compare the second se | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | |
| | Skype | | | | |
| | Zoom | | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | | | |
| | Other: 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: | | | | |
| | English | | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | No | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | After the sample has been drawn | | | | |
| | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 4728 | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1770 | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 0 | | | | |

| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 0 | |
|-----------------|---|---|
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0 | |
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | |
| | Included in full | |
| | Included subset | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | □No |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | No |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-te | est data: |
| | Same as for main data collection $\Box \checkmark$ | |
| | Or; write in: | |
| | Name: | |
| | Website address: | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | |
| | From: 15.11.2021 To: 16.02.2022 (Ireland started with | n a soft launch substituting pre-testing) |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | |
| | Face to face: Number: | |
| | Video: Number: | |
| | Other: | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? NO | |
| | tape recording of interviews | |
| | video recording of interviews | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply) | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct | $\Box\checkmark$ |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | |
| | Check the translations | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic | |
| | Other: | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | |
| 5 Contact Form | | |

| Type of contact form used. Indicate which ESS form was used, with or without adaptation | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | |
| | Standard ESS contact form | As i | | Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) |
| | Individual (no respondent selection) | | | |
| | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selec | ction | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection | | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selec | tion | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection | | | |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify th | e adaptation | S: | |
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system | app (FMS) u | ısed? □✓ | / |
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | |
| Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS rour | nd 10 | 67 | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | |
| | are free-lance interviewers: 0 | | | |
| | work exclusively for the survey agency respondency: 67 | onsible for the | e ESS Fieldwor | k (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey |
| | Other, please specify: | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS rounds: 52 | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done a | ny face to fa | ce interviewing | work before: 0 |
| | have no previous interviewing experience wh | atsoever: 0 | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviewers u | erviews: | 0 | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer field | lforce | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | |
| _ | Per completed contact form/timely reporting of c | contact atterr | npts | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileag | je allowance) |) | |
| | Bonus payment scheme | Plea | ase specify: | |
| | Other | | ase specify: | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of | interviewer | s? | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | |

| | Only virtual briefings | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------|------------|--------|-----------|----|---|---|
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings | | | | | | | |
| 7 Contact cohod | 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in to | otal: | | | 3 | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a weekend: | | | | 1 | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be in the evening: | | | | 1 | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | | | | |
| contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): | | | | NA | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: | | | | NA | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: | | | | NA | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet | | | | | | | |
| | How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the s | sample | units? | | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter $\Box \checkmark$ | | | | | | | |
| | Made available by interviewer on | | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | | | |
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | | | |
| | No respondent incentives | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independ | - | | | | | | |
| | Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon comp Please specify further details on your incentive scheme: | pletion o | f the inte | erview | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strategies | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | | |
| | Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts) | | | | | | | |
| | Using different interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | Other Please describe: | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Please describe: | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | |
| | I | Intervi | ews | | Ineligibl | es | | 1 |
| | | P | T | V | P | Т | М | |
| | Number of units selected for back-check: | | 283 | | | | | |
| | Number of back-checks conducted | | 283 | | | | | |
| | Number of back-checks achieved: | | 197 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

<u>197</u> 0

Number of units where outcome was confirmed:

Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:

| 9 Region | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> partner countries | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas | 9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Image: Constraint of the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONIE in the data file: 1 Is your country part of the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONIE in the data file: NUTS level: 3 | | | | | | |
| | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS code and label) Value: HR050 Label: Grad Zagreb | Value | Label | Value (cont.) | Label (cont.) | | | | |
| | IE041 | Border | | | | | | |
| | IE042 | West | | | | | | |
| | IE051 | Mid-West | | | | | | |
| | IE052 | South-East | | | | | | |
| | IE053 | South-West | | | | | | |
| | IE061 | Dublin | | | | | | |
| | IE062 | Mid-East | | | | | | |
| | IE063 | Midland | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is file: | s not part of the NUTS nomenclature | e, please give a short des | scription of the regional level used in the data | | | | |
| | 9.4 Statistical infere | nce possible at the regional level | | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative samples for each regional unit. | | of the regional categories: | | | | | | |
| | 9.5 Have there been round? | any changes in the region variable | (REGIONIE) since the las | st ESS NO | | | | |
| | [if 9.5 ticked off] Plea | se describe: | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation of | oding and proc | edures | | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification | used in coding of occupation (mark o | one only): | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a natio | nal classification based on ISCO and th | nen bridged to match the l | SCO08 | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 more closely please | First coded to a natio | nal classification and then bridged to m | atch the ISCO08 | | | | | |
| deposit any national occupational variables | No previous coding, o | occupation coded directly into the 4-dig | it ISCO08 | | | | | |
| used in the transition to ISCO08. | 10.2 Occupation co | ding procedures: | | | | | | |
| | When did the coding At the time of the inte | of occupation for respondent and partn | er take place? | | | | | |

| Later on | |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 1 |
| 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | NO |
| 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | |
| Was adjudication done | |
| By sampling | |
| On difficult cases | $\Box \checkmark$ |
| 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | |
| 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against |
| The documents provided via ESS were used in coding. A randor (including the difficult cases mentioned at 10.5) | m selection of records were reviewed by a supervisor |



Israel

| 1 Data Deposi | tor |
|---|---|
| The organisation depositing the data | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: B.I Cohen, Irit Adler |
| | 1.2 Website address: https://social-sciences.tau.ac.il/bicohen |
| 2 Funding | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies The Council for Higher Education (CHE), The Planning and Budgeting Committee (PBC) |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://che.org.il |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) |
| 3 Main Data C | collection |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: B.I. Cohen Institute for Public Opinion Research |
| | 3.2 Website address: https://social-sciences.tau.ac.il/bicohen |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) |
| | From: 1/2/2022 To: 17/7/2022 |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection |
| | Postal recruitment: |
| | Fieldworker-assisted data collection: |
| | If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used: |
| | 3.5 Fieldworker tasks |
| | If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed: 1. FIRST CONTACT: Identify the address; Try to make contact; If contact, give explanation about survey, how to select respondent, identify if prefer paper questionnaire (and if yes, give one in the preferred language); Ask for phone number for future communication (preferred, the respondents' phone number); Leave invitation letter, DP Leaflet and if FWs wanted, leave his/her phone number If no contact: leave invitation letter, DP Leaflet and if FWs wanted, leave his/her phone number |
| | 2. SECONED CONTACT: personal: try to make contact for giving first remainder/leave first remainder. Phone: make contact for first remainder collect paper questionnaire, if available. If no contact: leave first remander |
| | THIRD CONTACT: try to make contact for giving second remainder + paper questionnaire/leave second remainder + paper questionnaire. Collect paper questionnaire, if available. If no contact: leave second remainder + papaer questionnaire |
| | 4. FINAL CONTACT: personal: try to make contact for giving final remainder/leave final remainder. Collect paper questionnaire, if available. Phone: make contact for first remainder. |
| | 3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire) 4 |

| | 3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included? the 3rd visit (mailing) usually unless respondent asked for paper questionnaire in a previous visit. | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted? | | | | | | |
| | Yes | | | | | | |
| | 3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Hebrew, Arabic and Russian | | | | | | |
| | 3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents? Hebrew and Russian: IWERs carried paper questionnaires in both languages and offer the respondents the questionnaire in the language of his/her choice (in mixed PSUs, IWERs had Arabic questionnaires as well). If paper questionnaire was required in later stage, after first visit, the IWER already knew what language the respondent prefers and delivered the paper questionnaire in the this language. | | | | | | |
| | Arabic: paper questionnaire in Arabic will be offered in the Arabic PSUs (which characterize in Arab population only). In the mixed PSUs IWERs carried questionnaires in Hebrew, Russian and Arabic. | | | | | | |
| | 3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach? | | | | | | |
| | 3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes: | | | | | | |
| | We kept sample design (address) but made our best possible with budget limitation to increase gross sample (4,000) aiming to address the lower anticipated RR. | | | | | | |
| | 3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? | | | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.11.1 [if 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | | | |
| For more information | After the sample has been drawn | | | | | | |
| see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.11.2 [if 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | | | |
| | 3.12 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 4000 | | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 1061 | | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 247 | | | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 10 | | | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 0 | | | | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 16 | | | | | | |
| | 3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | | | |
| | Included in full | | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | | |
| | 3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | | | |
| | 3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | | |
| | 3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | | | | | | |
| | 3.15.1 [if 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: | | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Visi | its for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | | |
| | From: 2.1.2022 To: 18.1.2022 | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Number of pre-test visits | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |

| | Number of sample units issued: | | 60 |
|----------------|---|-------------|-------------------------|
| | Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test: | | 4 |
| | Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit: | | 2 |
| | Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminder | rs: | 4-5 days |
| 5 Contact Form | ofor Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | |
| | 5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fi | eldworker- | assisted approach used: |
| | As is | \boxtimes | |
| | Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) | | |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations | : | |

| 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (<u>standard version</u>) or (<u>for CRONOS-2 countries</u>) made available to the sample units? | | | | | | | |
| | Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact a with sample unit | | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify: | | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: cash | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers | | | | | | | |
| | Amount of unconditional monetary incentives: | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives: cash | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers | | | | | | | |
| | Amount of conditional monetary incentives: | | | | | | | |
| | 50INS (~15 euro) If other incentives used, please describe: | | | | | | | |
| | 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders | | | | | | | |
| | Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | | | | | | | |
| | Please describe: | | | | | | | |
| | Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | | | | | | | |
| | Please describe: Each week FWs received list of partial completed interviews and made directed the next contact (phone/personal) to encourage respondent to complete. | | | | | | | |
| | 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures | | | | | | | |
| | Helpline 🔀 | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages 🛛 | | | | | | | |
| | Other Dease describe: | | | | | | | |
| 7 Data processir | ng | | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire? | | | | | | | |
| | Scan: | | | | | | | |
| | Key: | | | | | | | |
| | 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way): entering responses into Qualtrics) | | | | | | | |
| | 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data | | | | | | | |
| | Was the scanned/keyed data verified? | | | | | | | |
| | Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): | | | | | | | |

| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | 8.1 Were any back-ch | 8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | I | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: 9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's | | | | | | | |
| Please document the used NUTS level. | statistical regions fo | or EFTA and Candidate countries? | Ľ | | | | |
| Please see Statistical | | e specify the NUTS level used for the r | egional variable | NUTS leve | 91: | | |
| <u>regions in the</u> <u>European Union and</u> | REGIONxx in the data | a file: | | - | | | |
| partner countries | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | |
| <u>For all countries:</u> Please list the | Value | Label | Value (cont.) | | Label (cont.) | | |
| categories in the variable REGIONxx in | | | | | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries | | | | | | | |
| not included in the NUTS nomenclature). | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS code and label) | | | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is file: Israel do not deposit a | anot part of the NUTS nomenclature | , please give a sh | ort descri | ption of the regional I | evel used in the data | |
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: Israel do not deposit any region variable | | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS | | | | | | |
| produce representative samples for each | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: Israel do not deposit any region variable | | | | | | |
| regional unit. | | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and proce | edures | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived. | | sed in coding of occupation (mark o | ••• | | | | |
| In order to study the transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification <i>based on ISCO</i> and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 Image: Comparison coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 Image: Comparison coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08. | 10.2 Number of occupation coded directly into the 4-digit is codes 10.2 Number of occupation coders: 2 | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Were any occu (double coding)? | pation strings coded by more than o | ne coder | | | | |
| | 10.4 Was an adjudio | cator used? | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Was adjudication dor | ne | | | | | |
| | | By sampling | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | | On difficult cases | | | | | |
| | 10.5 Is some kind of coding process? | f automatic/semiautomatic coding us | sed in the | | | | |
| | 10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): The coder used ISCO-08 codes. Difficult/problematic answers were discussed with the adjudicator. The adjudicator also sampled around 1/3 of each PSU interviews to beck check the coding. | | | | | | |



Italy

| 1 Data Deposito | r | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: | | | | | | | |
| depositing the data | Іпарр | | | | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: https://www.inapp.org | | | | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | | | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Inapp | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://www.inapp.org | | | | | | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) Not applicable. The funding agency supported the survey through its in public call for tender. The reference number of the stipulated contract v | 2.3 Grant number(s) Not applicable. The funding agency supported the survey through its institutional funds and selected the survey agency through a public call for tender. The reference number of the stipulated contract with the survey agency is C.I.G. 83198193D5 | | | | | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | | | | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: DOXA Spa | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: www.bva-doxa.com | | | | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | | | |
| | From: 25.10.21 To: 26.04.22 | | | | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | | | | | |
| | Face to face: X | | | | | | | |
| | Video: X | | | | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | | | | |
| | Skype 🗌 | | | | | | | |
| | Zoom 🗌 | | | | | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams X | | | | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Italian | | | | | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | No | | | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | No | | | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol | After the sample has been drawn | | | | | | | |
| item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): | 5461 | | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 2183 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 457 | | | | | | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 263 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 702 | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | | | |
| | Included in full | | | | | | |
| | Included subset | 1 | | | | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions inclu | uded in questionna | ire: | | | | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by H | IQ before fieldwork? |) | | | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not | approved by HQ: | | | | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in data | aset deposited to N | SD | | | | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of | of weight and provide | e documentation: | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork orga | anisation(s) who co | ollected the pre-test da | ta: | | | |
| | Same as for main data collection X | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Or; write in: | | | | | | |
| | Name: | | | | | | |
| | Website address: | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | | |
| | From: 21.09.21 To: 27.09 | 9.21 | | | | | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | | | | | | |
| | Face to face: | Х | Number: 30 | | | | |
| | Video: | х | Number: 11 | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | | | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all tha | t apply) | | | | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, c | | t | Х | | | |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | | | Х | | | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | | | X | | | |
| | Check the translations | | | x | | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | | x | | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the surv | ey in context of CO | /ID19 pandemic | x | | | |
| | Other: | | , - | | | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subse COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | | uestions from | X | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | | | | | | | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | | | | | | |
| used. | Standard ESS contact form | As is | Adapted by NC/FWO (ESS contact form, eve | select if any alterations from the standard | | | |
| Indicate which ESS form was used, with or | Individual (no respondent selection) | Х | | | | | |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | |

| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------|--|-----------|--|--|
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? YES | | | | | | |
| 6 Interviewer Sel | ection | | | | | | |
| Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 205 What number of interviewers are free-lance interviewers: 205 work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: 0 Other, please specify: What number of interviewers have worked on previous ESS rounds: 16 have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 18 have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 0 | | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used | | ws: 112 | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video int | erviews: | | Π | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce X | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview Per hours worked A regular fixed salary Per completed contact form/timely Reimbursement of travel expenses | | | x □ □ x x | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Other | Х П | Please specify: Inte | erviewers received 30% increased payment if they comple s by a given anticipated deadline | eted the | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in th | | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings Only virtual briefings Mix of both face to face and virtual | , and the second s | X | wers initially selected for the fieldwork were briefed face-t are only exceptionally used on 2 additional sessions held i all additional team of expert interviewers added to the orig | n January | | |
| 7 Contact schedu | ule, information for respo | ndents, and | | e enhancing procedures | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits Number of minimum required visits Number of visits required to be or | n a weekend: | sampling unit in total: | 1 | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone | Number of visits required to be in 7.2 Call schedules, telephone Number of minimum required calls Number of calls required to be on a Number of calls required to be in t | per respondent (a weekend: | in total): | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet How was the <u>ESS10 GDPR Inform</u> | nation Sheet made | e available to the sam | mple units? | | | |

| | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|-----------------------|---|--|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | Mailed out with an ac | dvance letter | Х | | | | | | | | |
| | Made available by interviewer on X doorstep | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify | v): | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ,, | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.4 Use of responde | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | |
| | No respondent incen | ntives | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional moneta | ary incentives | , paid independently of an | n interviev | v | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary | y incentives, p | paid upon completion of the | e intervie | w | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional non-me | onetary incer | itives (incl. vouchers), paic | d indepen | dently | of an inte | rview | | | | |
| | Conditional non-mon | etary incentiv | es (incl. vouchers), paid u | pon comp | oletion | of the inte | erview | Х | | | |
| | Please specify furthe | er details on y | our incentive scheme: | | | | | | | | |
| | driving a car), as they | y may be gifte | id for the F2F interviews: t ed to family members. For this case the amount was | video-inte | erviews | we fores | saw a dig | gital coupo | on worth 1 | 2€ to be sp | pent on one of |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strate | gies | | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | | Х | | | |
| | Extending the number | er of contact a | attempts (in case of non-co | ontacts) | | | | | | | |
| | Using different intervi | riewers | | | | | | x | | | |
| | Other | D Ple | ease describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of additiona | al response e | enhancing measures | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | х | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other Dease describe: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | Interv | | | Ineligib | | |] |
| 8 Quality control | | ected for bac | c-check- | | Р | Т | V | Ineligib P | Т | M | |
| 8 Quality control | Number of units sele | | | | P 57 | T 611 | V | | T 68 | M | |
| 8 Quality control | | ecks conducte | ed | | Р | Т | V | | Т | M | |
| 8 Quality control | Number of units sele | ecks conducte ecks achieved | ed I: | | P 57 57 | T 611 611 | V | | T 68 68 | M | |
| 8 Quality control | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che | ecks conducte ecks achieved ere outcome | ed I: was confirmed: | | P 57 57 38 | T 611 611 210 | V | | T 68 68 25 | M | |
| 8 Quality control | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che Number of units whe Number of units whe | ecks conducte ecks achievec ere outcome ere outcome | ed I: was confirmed: | y in except | P 57 57 38 38 0 | T 611 611 210 210 0 | | P | T 68 68 25 25 0 | M | |
| | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che Number of units whe Number of units whe | ecks conducte ecks achievec ere outcome ere outcome | ed l: was confirmed: was not confirmed: | y in excep | P 57 57 38 38 0 | T 611 611 210 210 0 | | P | T 68 68 25 25 0 | M | |
| 9 Region AREA For NUTS countries: Please document the | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che Number of units whe Number of units whe Mode of back-check: 9.1 Is your country | ecks conducte ecks achieved ere outcome ere outcome : In person (P part of the N | ed l: was confirmed: was not confirmed: | - · | P 57 57 38 38 0 | T 611 611 210 210 0 | | P | T 68 68 25 25 0 | M | |
| 9 Region AREA For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che Number of units whe Number of units whe Mode of back-check: 9.1 Is your country statistical regions fe | ecks conducte ecks achieved ere outcome ere outcome : In person (P part of the N for EFTA and se specify the | ed d: was confirmed: was not confirmed:), Telephone (T), Mail (only UTS nomenclature or Eu Candidate countries? NUTS level used for the n | ırostat's | P 57 57 38 38 0 | T 611 210 210 0 circumsta | ances) (N | P | T 68 68 25 25 0 | M | |
| 9 Region AREA For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che Number of units whe Number of units whe Mode of back-check: 9.1 Is your country statistical regions fo [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas variable REGIONIT in | ecks conducte ecks achieved ere outcome ere outcome : In person (P part of the N for EFTA and se specify the | ed d: was confirmed: was not confirmed:), Telephone (T), Mail (only UTS nomenclature or Eu Candidate countries? NUTS level used for the n | ırostat's | P 57 57 38 38 0 | T 611 210 210 0 circumsta | ances) (N | P | T 68 68 25 25 0 | M | |
| 9 Region AREA For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the European Union and partner countries For all countries: | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che Number of units whe Number of units whe Mode of back-check: 9.1 Is your country statistical regions fo [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas | ecks conducte ecks achieved ere outcome ere outcome : In person (P part of the N for EFTA and se specify the | ed d: was confirmed: was not confirmed:), Telephone (T), Mail (only UTS nomenclature or Eu Candidate countries? NUTS level used for the n | urostat's regional | P 57 57 38 38 0 | T 611 210 210 0 circumsta | ances) (N | P | T 68 68 25 25 0 | M | |
| 9 Region AREA For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> partner countries <u>For all countries</u> : Please list the categories in the variable | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che Number of units whe Number of units whe Mode of back-check: 9.1 Is your country is statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas variable REGIONIT in 9.2 Categories | ecks conducte ecks achieved ere outcome ere outcome : In person (P part of the N for EFTA and se specify the n the data file | ed d: was confirmed: was not confirmed:), Telephone (T), Mail (on UTS nomenclature or Eu Candidate countries? NUTS level used for the n : | urostat's regional | P 57 57 38 38 0 ptional | T 611 210 210 0 circumsta | ances) (N | P M), Video | T 68 68 25 25 0 | M | |
| 9 Region AREA For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the European Union and partner countries For all countries: Please list the categories | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che Number of units whe Number of units whe Mode of back-check: 9.1 Is your country statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas variable REGIONIT in 9.2 Categories Value | ecks conducte ecks achieved ere outcome ere outcome : In person (P part of the N for EFTA and se specify the n the data file | ed d: was confirmed: was not confirmed:), Telephone (T), Mail (on UTS nomenclature or Eu Candidate countries? NUTS level used for the n : | urostat's regional | P 57 57 38 38 0 ptional | T 611 210 210 0 circumsta | ances) (N | P M), Video | T 68 68 25 25 0 | M | |
| 9 Region AREA For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> partner countries: <u>For all countries</u> : Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che Number of units whe Number of units whe Mode of back-check: 9.1 Is your country statistical regions fo [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas variable REGIONIT in 9.2 Categories Value ITC | ecks conducte ecks achieved ere outcome ere outcome in person (P part of the N for EFTA and se specify the n the data file Label Nord-Oves | ed d: was confirmed: was not confirmed:), Telephone (T), Mail (on UTS nomenclature or Eu Candidate countries? NUTS level used for the n : | urostat's regional | P 57 57 38 38 0 ptional | T 611 210 210 0 circumsta | ances) (N | P M), Video | T 68 68 25 25 0 | M | |
| 9 Region AREA For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> partner countries <u>For all countries:</u> Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che Number of units whe Number of units whe Mode of back-check: 9.1 Is your country statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas variable REGIONIT in 9.2 Categories Value ITC ITF | ecks conducte ecks achieved ere outcome ere outcome : In person (P part of the N or EFTA and se specify the n the data file Label Nord-Oves Sud | ed d: was confirmed: was not confirmed:), Telephone (T), Mail (on UTS nomenclature or Eu Candidate countries? NUTS level used for the n : | urostat's regional | P 57 57 38 38 0 ptional | T 611 210 210 0 circumsta | ances) (N | P M), Video | T 68 68 25 25 0 | M | |
| 9 Region AREA For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the European Union and partner countries For all countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che Number of units whe Number of units whe Mode of back-check: 9.1 Is your country statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas variable REGIONIT in 9.2 Categories Value ITC ITF ITG | ecks conducte ecks achieved ere outcome ere outcome : In person (P part of the N for EFTA and se specify the n the data file Label Nord-Oves Sud Isole | ed d: was confirmed: was not confirmed:), Telephone (T), Mail (on UTS nomenclature or Eu Candidate countries? NUTS level used for the n : | urostat's regional | P 57 57 38 38 0 ptional | T 611 210 210 0 circumsta | ances) (N | P M), Video | T 68 68 25 25 0 | M | |
| 9 Region AREA For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> partner countries <u>For all countries:</u> Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature). | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che Number of units whe Number of units whe Mode of back-check: 9.1 Is your country statistical regions fe [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas variable REGIONIT in 9.2 Categories Value ITC ITF ITG ITH | ecks conducte ecks achieved ere outcome ere outcome in person (P part of the N for EFTA and se specify the n the data file Label Nord-Oves Sud Isole Nord-Est | ed d: was confirmed: was not confirmed:), Telephone (T), Mail (on UTS nomenclature or Eu Candidate countries? NUTS level used for the n : | urostat's regional | P 57 57 38 38 0 ptional | T 611 210 210 0 circumsta | ances) (N | P M), Video | T 68 68 25 25 0 | M | |
| 9 Region AREA For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the European Union and partner countries <u>For all countries</u> : <u>Please list the categories</u> in the variable <u>REGIONxx in the dataset</u> (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS code and label) | Number of units sele Number of back-che Number of back-che Number of units whe Number of units whe Mode of back-check: 9.1 Is your country statistical regions fe [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas variable REGIONIT in 9.2 Categories Value ITC ITF ITG ITH | ecks conducte ecks achieved ere outcome ere outcome in person (P part of the N for EFTA and se specify the n the data file Label Nord-Oves Sud Isole Nord-Est | ed d: was confirmed: was not confirmed:), Telephone (T), Mail (on UTS nomenclature or Eu Candidate countries? NUTS level used for the n : | urostat's regional | P 57 57 38 38 0 ptional | T 611 210 210 0 circumsta | ances) (N | P M), Video | T 68 68 25 25 0 | M | |

| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, | please give a short descr | iption of the regional level used in the data file: |
|--|---|----------------------------|---|
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | | Х |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: NUTS 1 | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (F round? | EGIONxx) since the last | ESS |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | |
| 10 Occupation co | oding and procedures | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark or | e only): | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and the | n bridged to match the ISC | 008 |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to ma | tch the ISCO08 | |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit | ISCO08 | x |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | |
| ISCO08. | | | |
| | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partne | r take place? | |
| | At the time of the interview | | |
| | Later on X | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 1 | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than or (double coding) | ne coder No | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | No | |
| | Was adjudication done | | |
| | By sampling | | |
| | On difficult cases | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding us coding process? | ed in the No | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures fo other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | r coding and the routines | for checking the coding (e.g. checked against |
| | Open-ended answers were downloaded during the fieldwork instruction given in the Protocol, with the strict supervision of coding outcome. | | |



Latvia

| 1 Data Deposi | tor |
|--|--|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: |
| depositing the data | University of Latvia (Latvijas Universitāte) |
| | 1.2 Website address: |
| | www.lu.lv |
| 2 Funding | |
| Country's funding | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies |
| agency/ies | State Education Development Agency (Valsts izglītības attīstības aģentūra) |
| | 2.2 Website address: |
| | https://www.viaa.gov.lv/ |
| Grant number(s) | 2.3 Grant number(s) |
| connected to the funding agency/ies | ES RTD/2021/1 |
| 3 Main Data C | ollection |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: |
| | Central Statistical Bureau (Centrālā statistikas pārvalde) |
| | 3.2 Website address: |
| | https://www.csp.gov.lv/en |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) |
| | From: 1 November 2021 To: 31 January 2022 |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection |
| | Postal recruitment: |
| | Fieldworker-assisted data collection: |
| | If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used: Latvia Post (Latvijas Pasts), www.pasts.lv |
| | 3.5 Fieldworker tasks |
| | If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed: No fieldworkers used |
| | 3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire) 5 |
| | 3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included? 3rd mailing (2nd reminder) |
| | 3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted? Occasional deviations of 2-4 days due to high workload of postal service had to be tolerated |
| | 3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Latvian; Russian |
| | 3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents? |
| | Russian questionnaire was sent on request as sending official information in foreign languages is legally restricted |
| | 3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach? |
| | 3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes: |
| | Gross sample size was enlarged to 4400 respondents |

| | 3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| An opt-out list is a | 3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.11.1 [if 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | | | | |
| opted out of participating in | Before the sample is drawn | | | | | | | |
| surveys. For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | \boxtimes | | | | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.11.2 [if 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: Respondents who refused to participate were registered and no further reminders or othe | r survey materials were sent to them | | | | | | |
| | 3.12 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 4400 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 813 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 243 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national | team): 0 | | | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the nationa | al team): 29 | | | | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters | returned as underlivered): 82 | | | | | | |
| | 3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | | | | |
| | Included in full | | | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | | | |
| | 3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | | | | |
| | 3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | | | |
| | 3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | | | | |
| | 3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | | | | | | | |
| | 3.15.1 [if 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: | | | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Vis | its for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | | | |
| | From: To: | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Number of pre-test visits | | | | | | | |
| | Number of sample units issued: | | | | | | | |
| | Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test: | | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit: | | | | | | | |
| | Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders: | | | | | | | |
| 5 Contact Forr | n for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | | | | |
| | 5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted appr | oach used: | | | | | | |
| | As is | | | | | | | |
| | Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) | | | | | | | |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | | | |

| 6 Information for | respondents and response rate enhancing procedures |
|-------------------|---|
| | 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the sample units? |
| | Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact 🛛 🔀 with sample unit |
| | Other (please specify): |
| | 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify: No |
| | 6.2 Use of respondent incentives |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: cash |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers |
| | Amount of unconditional monetary incentives: |
| | 4400; lottery tickets used to to legal restrictions in use of either cash or vouchers Conditional monetary incentives: cash |
| | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers |
| | Amount of conditional monetary incentives: 1400 |
| | If other incentives used, please describe: |
| | Retail store chain gift card 7 EUR worth |
| | 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders |
| | Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? |
| | Please describe: |
| | Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? |
| | Please describe: |
| | 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures |
| | Helpline |
| | Web-pages 🛛 |
| | |
| | Other Dease describe: |
| 7 Data processir | ng |
| | 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire? |
| | Scan: |
| | Кеу: |
| | 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way):Entering responses into Qualtrics |
| | 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data |
| | Was the scanned/keyed data verified? |
| | Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): 10 per cent |

| 8 Quality control | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | 8.1 Were any back-ch | necks performed? Please specify: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 0 Degion | 1 | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | 9.1 ls your country r | art of the NUTS nomenclature or Eu | rostatis — | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the | 9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? | | | | | | |
| used NUTS level. | | | | | | | |
| Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the | REGIONxx in the data | e specify the NUTS level used for the r a file: | egional variable NU | TS level: NUTS 3 | | | |
| <u>European Union and</u> partner countries | | | | | | | |
| | 9.2 Categories | Τ | 1 | 1 | | | |
| <u>For all countries:</u> Please list the | Value | Label | Value (cont.) | Label (cont.) | | | |
| categories in the variable REGIONxx in | LV003 | KURZEME | | | | | |
| he dataset (both NUTS countries and countries | LV005 | LATGALE | | | | | |
| not included in the | LV006 | RĪGA | | | | | |
| NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS | LV007 LV008 | PIERĪGA VIDZEME | | | | | |
| code and label) | LV009 | ZEMGALE | | | | | |
| /alue: HR050 | | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| (eywords: dministrative units, tatistical regions, iossible to attach ontextual data | 9.3 If your country is file: | s not part of the NUTS nomenclature, | please give a short | description of the regional level used in the d | | | |
| | 9.4 Statistical infere | nce possible at the regional level | | \boxtimes | | | |
| f 9.4 not ticked off, blease document how | Suggested grouping of | of the regional categories: | | | | | |
| he regional categories | | any changes in the region variable (| REGIONxx) since the | e last ESS | | | |
| can be grouped to produce representative | round? | | | | | | |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Pleas | se describe: | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and proce | dures | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification u | sed in coding of occupation (mark o | ne only): | | | | |
| SCO08 was derived. | First coded to a nation | nal classification based on ISCO and th | en bridged to match tl | he ISCO08 | | | |
| n order to study the ransition to ISCO08 | First coded to a nation | nal classification and then bridged to ma | atch the ISCO08 | | | | |
| nore closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, o | occupation coded directly into the 4-digi | t ISCO08 | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to SCO08. | 10.2 Number of occ coders: | upation 2 | | | | | |
| 30008. | 10.3 Were any occu (double coding)? | pation strings coded by more than o | ne coder | l | | | |
| | 10.4 Was an adjudi | cator used? | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Was adjudication dor | ne | | | | | |
| | | By sampling | | l | | | |
| | | On difficult cases | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | 10.5 Is some kind o coding process? | f automatic/semiautomatic coding us | sed in the | | | | |
| | | against a list of titles, against coding se | | utines for checking the coding (e.g. checked | | | |



Lithuania

| 1 Data Deposito | r |
|--|---|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: |
| depositing the data | Vytautas Magnus university, Faculty of Social Sciences |
| | 1.2 Website address: |
| | https://www.vdu.lt/lt/, https://smf.vdu.lt , |
| 2 Funding | |
| Country's funding | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies |
| agency/ies | Research Council of Lithuania |
| | 2.2 Website address: |
| | https://www.lmt.lt/lt, https://www.lmt.lt/en |
| Grant number(s) connected to the | 2.3 Grant number(s) |
| funding agency/ies | Narystės tarptautinėje mokslinių tyrimų infrastruktūroje plano įgyvendinimo sutartis, Nr. VS-3 |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: |
| | UAB RAIT |
| | 3.2 Website address: |
| | www.raitgroup.com |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) |
| | From: 01.07.21 To: 15.12.21 2.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) Face to face: |
| | Video: |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? |
| | |
| | Skype |
| | Microsoft Teams |
| | Other: |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: |
| | Lithuanian, Russian 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? |
| | |
| An opt-out list is a register of individuals | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? |
| which have officially opted out of participating | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? |
| in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 5830 |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1660 |
| | Number of valid video interviews: |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 7 |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0 |
| | |

| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in ques | tionnaire: | | |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------|---|---|
| | Included in full | | | |
| | Included subset | | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions inclue | ded in questionnair | re: | \boxtimes |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by H | Q before fieldwork? | | \boxtimes |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not a | approved by HQ: | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in data | set deposited to NS | SD | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of | f weight and provide | documentation: | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork orga | nisation(s) who col | llected the pre-test da | ta: |
| | Same as for main data collection 🔲 | | | |
| | Or; write in: | | | |
| | Name: | | | |
| | Website address: | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | |
| | | 10.20 | | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | | | |
| | r | \boxtimes | Number: 60 | |
| | | | Number: | |
| | Video: L Other: | | Humbor. | |
| | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | | |
| | tape recording of interviews | | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that | apply) | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, co | omplete and correct | | \boxtimes |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | | | \boxtimes |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | | | \boxtimes |
| | Check the translations | | | \boxtimes |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | | \boxtimes |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the surve | ey in context of COV | ID19 pandemic | |
| | Other: | | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | ticked off] Were qu | uestions from | |
| 5 Contact Form | | | | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | | | |
| used. Indicate which ESS | Standard ESS contact form | As is | Adapted by NC/FWO (ESS contact form, ever | select if any alterations from the standard |
| form was used, with or | Individual (no respondent selection) | | | |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent | | _ | |
| | selection | | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection | | | |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the ad | aptations: | | |
| | | | | |

| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork managem | ent system app | (FMS) used? | \boxtimes |
|---|---|---------------------|----------------------|---|
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | |
| Please do not include | 6.1 Total number of interviewers | in ESS round 10 | 0 150 | |
| any telephone interviewers from the | What number of interviewers | | | |
| contacting phase in | are free-lance interviewers: 34 | | | |
| these numbers | work exclusively for the survey 49 | agency responsib | le for the ESS Fie | eldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency |
| | Other, please specify: work in the | survey agency a | nd other agencies | s - 67 |
| | What number of interviewers | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS ro | ounds: 56 | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but | ut not done any fa | ice to face intervie | ewing work before: 53 |
| | have no previous interviewing ex | perience whatso | ever: 41 | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used | for video intervie | ws: | |
| | The interviewers used for video int | erviews: | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face into | erviewer fieldforce | 9 | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | \boxtimes |
| | Per hours worked | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely | reporting of conta | act attempts | \square |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses | | | \boxtimes |
| | Bonus payment scheme | \bowtie | Please specify: | Gifts cards for interviewers who fulfilled all quality and fieldwork time |
| | | | requirements | |
| | Other | | Please specify: | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in th | e briefing of inte | rviewers? | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | | \boxtimes | |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual | briefings | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respo | ondents, and | l response r | ate enhancing procedures |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits | s per respondent/s | sampling unit in to | otal: 4 |
| | Number of visits required to be or | n a weekend: | | 1 |
| | Number of visits required to be in | the evening: | | 1 |
| Only for countries qualified for first | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | Ť | | |
| contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls | per respondent (| in total): | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a | a weekend: | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in t | he evening: | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet How was the ESS10 GDPR Inform | ation Sheet made | e available to the s | sample units? |
| | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter Made available by interviewer on c | loorstep 🖂 | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | |
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentive | 5 | | |

| | No respondent incent | ives | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|---|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|
| | Unconditional moneta | Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary | incentives, paid upon completion of the | intervie | ₹W | | | | | | |
| | | nconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | onditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview | | | | | | | | |
| | | ease specify further details on your incentive scheme: tespodent get incentives (2 items, not vouchers) after interview | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strateg | Re-issue strategies | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | | | | |
| | | r of contact attempts (in case of non-cor | ntacts) | | | | | | | |
| | Using different intervi | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of additiona | L response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: Paid infom | e vei - I - I | found:- 1 | a alkal | | المعملة حال | المعربة والأر | and a set of the | |
| | Other | Please describe: Paid infom radijas) about ESS fieldwork i | | | | | | | | |
| | | some slower periods of fieldw fieldwork too. | vork. So | me Face | ebook pa | id adds v | were used | during th | ne slower p | eriods of |
| | | neidwork too. | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | Dack-checks | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Intervi | ews | | Ineligib | les | |] |
| | | | | P | Т | V | P | Т | М | |
| | Number of units sele | ected for back-check: | | 4 | | | 8 | | | |
| | Number of back-che | cks conducted | | 4 | | | 8 | | | |
| | Number of back-che | cks achieved: | | 4 | | | 8 | | | |
| | | ere outcome was confirmed: | | 0 | | | 8 | | | |
| | Number of units whe | ere outcome was not confirmed: | | 4 | | | 8 | | | J |
| | Mode of back-check: | In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only | in exce | eptional o | circumsta | nces) (N | 1), Video (| (V) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: | | part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eur or EFTA and Candidate countries? | ostať s | ; | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| Please document the used NUTS level. | statistical regions to | or EFTA and Candidate countries? | | | | | | | | |
| Please see Statistical | | e specify the NUTS level used for the re | egional | | NUTSI | evel: 3 | | | | |
| <u>regions in the</u> European Union and | variable REGIONxx ir | n the data file: | | | | | | | | |
| partner countries | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | Value | Label | Value | e (cont.) | | Labe | el (cont.) | | | |
| Please list the categories in the | LT011 | Vilniaus apskritis | | () | | | () | | | |
| variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS | LT021 | Alytaus apskritis | | | | | | | | |
| countries and countries | LT022 | Kauno apskritis | | | | | | | | |
| not included in the NUTS nomenclature). | LT023 | Klaipėdos apskritis | | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | LT024 | Marijampolės apskritis | | | | | | | | |
| code and label) | LT025 | Panevėžio apskritis | | | | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | LT026 | Šiaulių apskritis | | | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | LT027 | Tauragės apskritis | | | | | | | | |
| | LT028 | Telšių apskritis | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| | LT029 | Utenos apskritis | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of th | ne regional level used in the data file: |
|---|---|--|
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level |] |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round? |] |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | |
| 10 Occupation c | coding and procedures | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 |] |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | |
| | At the time of the interview | |
| | Later on | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: 2 | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | |
| | Was adjudication done | |
| | By sampling | |
| | On difficult cases | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checki other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | ng the coding (e.g. checked against |
| | Coding was made during and after the fieldwork (3 data withdrawls in total), Coding of data was ca were familiar already with isco08 classification) while using code frames. Each case has been assig has been checked by another coder. | |



Montenegro

| 1 Data Deposito | r | |
|--|--|-------------|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: | |
| depositing the data | Olivera Komar | |
| | 1.2 Website address: | |
| | | |
| 2 Funding | | |
| Country's funding | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies | |
| agency/ies | Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports | |
| | 2.2 Website address: | |
| | https://www.gov.me/ | |
| Grant number(s) | 2.3 Grant number(s) | |
| connected to the funding agency/ies | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: | |
| | Olivera Komar NC from the Faculty of Political Science | |
| | 3.2 Website address: | |
| | Not applicable | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | |
| | From: 03.11.2021 To: 30.03.2022 | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | |
| | Face to face: | |
| | Video: | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | |
| | Skype | |
| | Zoom | |
| | Microsoft Teams | |
| | Other: | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Montenegrin and Albanian | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | \boxtimes |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | |
| For more information | After the sample has been drawn | |
| see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | |
| (INTERVA). | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 2250 | |
| | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1278 | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 0 | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 62 | |
| | 1 | |

| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 33 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | |
| | Included in full | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | | | | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data: | | | | |
| | Same as for main data collection 🛛 | | | | |
| | Or; write in: | | | | |
| | Name: | | | | |
| | Website address: | | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | |
| | From: 25.10.2021 To: 30.10.2021 | | | | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | | | | |
| | Face to face: Number: 40 | | | | |
| | Video: Number: | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | | | |
| | tape recording of interviews | | | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply) | | | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct | | | | |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | | | | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | | | | |
| | Check the translations | | | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic Other: | | | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | | | | | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | | | | |
| used. | Standard ESS contact form As is Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) | | | | |
| Indicate which ESS form was used, with or | Individual (no respondent selection) | | | | |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | | |

| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|--|
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | | |
| 6 Interviewer Selection | | | | | | |
| Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 46 What number of interviewers are free-lance interviewers: 46 work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: Other, please specify: What number of interviewers have worked on previous ESS rounds: 12 have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 29 | | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing ex | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: The interviewers used for video interviews: are a separate panel overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview Image: Completed interview Per hours worked Image: Completed interview A regular fixed salary Image: Completed interview Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts Image: Completed interview | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses | s (e.g. mileage all | lowance) | \boxtimes | | |
| | | _ | , | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Other | | Please specify: Please specify: | | | |
| | | - briefing of inte | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in th Only face to face briefings Only virtual briefings Mix of both face to face and virtual | | Image: service weeks / Image: serv | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respo | ondents, and | d response rate | enhancing proc | cedures | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits Number of minimum required visits Number of visits required to be or Number of visits required to be in | n a weekend: | /sampling unit in total: | | 4 1 1 | |
| Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone Number of minimum required calls Number of calls required to be on Number of calls required to be in t | per respondent (a weekend: | (in total): | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet How was the <u>ESS10 GDPR Inform</u> Mailed out with an advance letter Made available by interviewer on c | | e available to the sam | ple units? | | |

| | Other (please specify): | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|
| | 7.4 Use of responder | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | | |
| | | No respondent incentives | | | | | | | | |
| | | ves ry incentives, paid independently of an i | ntonvio | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | incentives, paid upon completion of the | | | | | | | | |
| | | netary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid i | | • | | | | | | |
| | | tary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upo details on your incentive scheme: | on com | pletion o | t the inte | erview | | | | |
| | Flease specily further | details on your incentive scheme. | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strateg | ies | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | | | | |
| | Extending the number | of contact attempts (in case of non-con | itacts) | | | | \bowtie | | | |
| | Using different intervie | ewers | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: Using experi and data. | ienced | and trus | tworthy | interviev | wers in cas | es where | e others hav | ve faked visits |
| | 7.6 Use of additional | response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other [| Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Intervi | ews | _ | Ineligib | les | | |
| | | | | Р | Т | V | Р | Т | М | - |
| | Number of units sele | | | | 524 | | | | | - |
| | Number of back-cheo Number of back-cheo | | | | 524 361 | | | | | - |
| | | re outcome was confirmed: | | | 315 | | | | | |
| | | re outcome was not confirmed: | | | 46 | | | | | |
| | Mode of back-check: I | n person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only | in exce | ptional c | ircumsta | ances) (| M), Video | (V) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: | | art of the NUTS nomenclature or Euro r EFTA and Candidate countries? | ostať s | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| Please document the used NUTS level. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the | [f 9.1 ticked off] Please REGIONxx in the data | e specify the NUTS level used for the re a file: | gional | variable | NUTS | level: / | | | | |
| European Union and partner countries | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9.2 Categories | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| <u>For all countries:</u> Please list the | Value | Label | Value | e (cont.) | | Lal | bel (cont.) | | | |
| categories in the variable REGIONxx in | | | - | | | | | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries | | | | | | | | | | |
| not included in the NUTS nomenclature). | | | | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| code and label) Value: HR050 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file: Montenegro is a part of NUTS classification but due to its size the whole country is classified as one region. Instead of this approach, we used 4 regions segregated to rural and urban areas. North, Central region, South and Capitol of Podgorica as a separate level. | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | \boxtimes | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: North, Central region, South | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since round? | the last ESS | | | | |
| produce representative samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: Podgorica as capitol was singled out for its si former part of Podgorica, Tuzi has gained a status of Municipality and was treat | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to mate | ch the ISCO08 | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 more closely please | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | |
| deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | \boxtimes | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | | |
| | Later on | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 2 | | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | | | | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against | | | | |
| | Two coders we used to code occupation for the respondent and spouse, and in | nterchangeably used to check the coding of the other. | | | | |



Netherlands

| 1 Data Deposito | r | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: | | | | | |
| depositing the data | Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Dutch Research Council / Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO) | | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://www.nwo.nl/en | | | | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) 471-00-300 | | | | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: I&O Research | | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: https://www.ioresearch.nl/ | | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | |
| | From: 01.10.21 To: 03.04.22 | | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) Face to face: | | | | | |
| | Face to face: Image: Comparison of the comparison of t | | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | | |
| | Skype | | | | | |
| | Zoom | | | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams 🗵 | | | | | |
| | Other: 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: | | | | | |
| | Dutch | | | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | X | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a register of individuals | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | \square | | | | |
| which have officially opted out of participating | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | | |
| in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 | After the sample has been drawn | \boxtimes | | | | |
| (INTERVA). | 4 p. 28 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: There is no special register to be opted out from surveys. However, people can ask the population register to keep their addresses 'secret These names and addresses are included in the sample drawn, and have been provided to us, but have not been used in the process and are not included in the SDDF either. | | | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 41 | 87 individuals | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1226 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 248 | | | | | |

| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 7 (1 interview was not approved because of a data fabrication interviews were not approved because the NC team believed someone other than the intended respondent completed the interviews | | |
|---|--|-----------|--|
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0 | | |
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | |
| | Included in full | | |
| | Included subset | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | a Collection | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data: | | |
| | Same as for main data collection 🗵 | | |
| | Or; write in: Name: | | |
| | Website address: | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | |
| | From: 25.08.21 To: 15.09.21 | | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | | |
| | Face to face: Number: 42 | | |
| | Video: Number: 6 | | |
| | Other: | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | |
| | tape recording of interviews | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply) | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct | | |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | | |
| | Check the translations | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic | | |
| | Other: Check if video interviews offer a reliable alternative to face-to-face; check output data, e.g. regarding missingness, intervi and satisfaction of respondents and interviewers with interviews. | ew times, | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | | |
| 5 Contact Form | <u>ו</u> | | |
| Type of contact form used. | 5.1 What type of contact form was used Standard ESS contact form As is Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the ESS contact form, even if minor) | standard | |
| Indicate which ESS form was used, with or | | | |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection <td <="" td="" td<=""><td></td></td> | <td></td> | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection | | |

| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | | | |
| 6 Interviewer Se | | | | | | | |
| Please do not include any telephone | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 94 | | | | | | |
| interviewers from the | What number of interviewers | | | | | | |
| contacting phase in these numbers | are free-lance interviewers: 94 | | | | | | |
| | work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: unknown | | | | | | |
| | Other, please specify: | | | | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS rounds: 38 | | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 10 | | | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 5 | | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 35 | | | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts | | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) | | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Please specify: | | | | | | |
| | Other Please specify: travel time | | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? | | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | | | | | | |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings | | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 8 | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1 | | | | | | |
| Only for countries | Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | | | |
| qualified for first contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: | | | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units? | | | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter | | | | | | |

| | Made available by interviewer on doorstep | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|------------------|----------------|------------|--|---|---------------|------------------|
| | 7.4 Use of respond | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | | |
| | No respondent incer | No respondent incentives | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional mone | ary incent | tives, paid independently of an ir | nterview | <i>i</i> ew | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetar | y incentive | es, paid upon completion of the i | interview | view | | | | | |
| | Unconditional non-m | onetary in | ncentives (incl. vouchers), paid ir | ndependently | of an intervie | ew | X | | | |
| | Conditional non-mor | Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon com | | | | iew | \mathbf{X} | | | |
| | Please specify furthe | er details c | on your incentive scheme: | | | | | | | |
| | All incentives were paid in the form of gift cards from bol.com, a large web shop in the Netherlands. The unconditional incentives were included in the advance letter, the conditional incentives were sent to respondents who completed an interview within 2 weeks after the interview. At the start of fieldwork, respondents received an unconditional incentive of 5 euros and a conditional incentive of 15 euros. In reaction to the low response rates, we increased the conditional incentive to 40 euros in the refusal conversion. This was announced in the letters sent in the first week of December 2021 to respondents who initially refused to participate in the survey. In a final effort to increase response rates, the conditional incentive was increased to 50 euros in the first week of March 2022. This increased incentive was advertise in a letter sent to all addresses that were still 'open', meaning those that were then coded as non-contacts as well as part of the addresses the 'Other' group that we felt might still participate. | | | | | | | ks after the 15 euros. In nounced in the ort to increase e was advertised | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strate | gies | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | X | | | |
| | | er of conta | act attempts (in case of non-cont | tacts) | | | | | | |
| | Using different interviewers | | | | | | X | | | |
| | Other | X | Please describe: | | | | | | | |
| | | | Prior to a new attempt by an ir earlier refusal was specifically | | | | | | | the reason for |
| | 7.6 Use of addition | al respon | se enhancing measures | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | X | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | \mathbf{X} | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | X | Please describe: | | | | | | | |
| | | | 150 euro bonus for each interv | viewer that ga | ve complete | follow | -up on th | e contact | t strategy fo | r each address |
| | | | on the case list For interviewers the fieldwork | agency provid | led regular n | newslet | ters to sl | now the p | progress of t | he fieldwork and |
| | | | to motivate them to keep up th | ne good work | - | | | | - | |
| 0 Ovelity control | haali ahaalia | | Not-at-home cards which moti | vated respond | tents to mak | e cont | act for ar | appointi | ment | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Interv | views | | Ineligib | les | |] |
| | | | | P | T N | Л | P | Т | М |] |
| | Number of units se | ected for I | back-check: | | 1 | 477 | | | 156 | - |
| | Number of back-ch | | | | 1 1 | 477 | | | 156 | - |
| | Number of back-ch | | | | 1 1 | 642 636 | | | 32 21 | - |
| | Number of units wh | | me was confirmed: me was not confirmed: | | 6 | | | | 10 | • |
| | | | | | | |)) <i>(</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 0.0 | 10 | 1 |
| | Mode of back-check | : in persor | n (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only i | in exceptional | circumstand | es) (IVI |), video | (V) | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. | statistical regions | for EFTA a | e NUTS nomenclature or Euro and Candidate countries? the NUTS level used for the reg | | X | | | | | |
| Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> | REGIONxx in the da | | | gioriai variable | NUTS lev | /el: 2 (p | provinces | 5) | | |
| <u>partner countries</u> | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | Value | Label | | Value (cont. |) | Labe | el (cont.) | | | |

| Please list the categories in the | NL11 | Groningen | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------|--|
| variable REGIONxx in | NL12 | Friesland (NL) | | | | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries | NL13 | Drenthe | | | | | | |
| not included in the | NL21 | Overijssel | | | | | | |
| NUTS nomenclature). | NL22 | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS code and label) | NL23 | Flevoland | | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | NL31 | Utrecht | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | NL32 | Noord-Holland | | | | | | |
| _ | NL33 | Zuid-Holland | | | | | | |
| | NL34 | Zeeland | | | | | | |
| | NL41 | Noord-Brabant | | | | | | |
| | NL42 | Limburg (NL) | | | | | | |
| | 99999 | Not available | | | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file: | | | | | | | |
| | 9.4 Statistical inferen | nce possible at the regional level | | | X | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how the regional categories | (Overijssel, Flevoland (Noord-Brabant and L | | Noord-Holla | and, Zuid-Ho | olland, and Ze | | | |
| can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been round? | any changes in the region variable (REGION | NXX) SINCe | the last ES | | | | |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Pleas | se describe: | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and proce | dures | | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification u | sed in coding of occupation (mark one only | r): | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. | First coded to a natior | nal classification based on ISCO and then bridg | ged to match | h the ISCO0 | 8 | | | |
| In order to study the transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a natior | nal classification and then bridged to match the | ISCO08 | | | | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | | ccupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO0 | | | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation co | . , , , | | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of | of occupation for respondent and partner take p | place? | | | | | |
| | At the time of the inter | | | | | | | |
| | Later on | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occ | upation coders: | | 2 | | | | |
| | | pation strings coded by more than one code | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | (double coding | | | | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudio | cator used? | | \mathbf{X} | | | | |
| | Was adjudication don | e | | | | | | |
| | | By sampling | | X | | | | |
| | | | X | | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind o coding process | f automatic/semiautomatic coding used in tl s? | he | | | | | |
| | | hort description of the procedures for codin a list of titles, against coding software): | ng and the | routines for | r checking th | e coding (e.g. che | cked against | |
| | | nually by two representatives of the fieldwork o number of items that needed coding. | organisation | , and was al | ready started | during fieldwork (in | n batches) | |
| | In difficult cases the E | ESS project manager of the fieldwork organisati | ion was ava | ailable for an | swering ques | tions. | | |
| | A random part of the coding was checked by a fieldwork representative who operated as an adjudicator. | | | | | | | |



North Macedonia

| 1 Data Deposito | r | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: | | | | |
| depositing the data | Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, | | | | |
| | Institute for sociological, political and juridical research- Skopje | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: | | | | |
| | www.isppi.ukim.edu.mk | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | |
| Country's funding | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies | | | | |
| agency/ies | Ministry of education and science of the Republic of North Macedonia | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: | | | | |
| | www.mon.gov.mk | | | | |
| Grant number(s) | 2.3 Grant number(s) | | | | |
| connected to the | N/A | | | | |
| funding agency/ies 3 Main Data Col | laction | | | | |
| 5 Main Data Cu | | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: | | | | |
| | Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, | | | | |
| | Institute for sociological, political and juridical research 3.2 Website address: | | | | |
| | www.isppi.ukim.edu.mk | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | |
| | From: 23.10.2021 To: 08.03.2022 | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | | |
| | Face to face: | | | | |
| | Video: | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | |
| | Skype | | | | |
| | Zoom | | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: | | | | |
| | Macedonian and Albanian | | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially option out of portionating | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 2580 | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1432 | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 4 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 86 | | | | |

| [| 1 | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 2 | | | | | | | |
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in quest | ionnaire: | | | | | | |
| | Included in full | | | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions includ | led in questionnai | re: | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HC | Q before fieldwork? | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not a | pproved by HQ: | | | | | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in datas | et deposited to N | SD | | | | | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of | weight and provide | documentation: | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organ | nisation(s) who co | llected the pre-test da | ta: | | | | |
| | Same as for main data collection 🛛 | | • • • • • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Or; write in: | | | | | | | |
| | Name: | | | | | | | |
| | Website address: | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | | | |
| | From: 23.09.2021 To: 05.10 | .2021 | | | | | | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | | | | | | | |
| | Face to face: | \triangleleft | Number: 34 | | | | | |
| | | 3 | Number: 9 | | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | | | | | | |
| | | 7 | | | | | | |
| | video recording of interviews | - | | | | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that | | | | | | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, co | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | | | | | | | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite Check the translations | | | | | | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | | | | | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the surve | v in context of COV | (ID19 pandemic | | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | ticked off] Were q | uestions from | | | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | I | | | | | | | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | | | | | | | |
| used. | Standard ESS contact form | As is | | (select if any alterations from the standard | | | | |
| Indicate which ESS form was used, with or | Individual (no respondent selection) | | ESS contact form, eve | m ii minor) | | | | |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | | |

| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management s | system app | (FMS) used? | \blacksquare | | | |
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | | | | |
| Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 76 What number of interviewers are free-lance interviewers: 27 work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: 6 Other, please specify: What number of interviewershave worked on previous ESS rounds: N/A | | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 0 | | | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing experie | ence whatsoe | ever: 13 | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for vi | ideo interviev | ws: 4 | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interview | WS: | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interview | ver fieldforce | 9 | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary Per completed contact form/timely repor | ting of conta | at attampta | | | | |
| | rer completed contact form/timely repor | | act attempts | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. | . mileage allo | owance) | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme | | Please specify: | | | | |
| | Other 🛛 | | Please specify: Sm | all amount paid in case of refusals and invalid addresses. | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the brie | efing of inte | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings Mix of both face to face and virtual briefi | inas | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for responde | ents, and | l response rate | e enhancing procedures | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per r | respondent/s | sampling unit in total: | 4 | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a we | eekend: | | 1 | | | |
| Only for countries | Number of visits required to be in the e 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | evening: | | 1 | | | |
| qualified for first contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls per n | espondent (i | in total): | 0 | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a wee | ekend: | | 0 | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the ev | | | 0 | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet | -·····9· | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | How was the ESS10 GDPR Information | Sheet made | e available to the sam | nple units? | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter | | | | | | |
| | Made available by interviewer on doorst | ep 🖂 | | | | | |

| | Other (please specify): | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|------------------|---|----------|-------------|---|---|---|
| | 7.4 Use of responde | nt incentives | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | No respondent incentives | | | | | | | | |
| Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview Inconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview Image: Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Please specify further details on your incentive scheme: Tote bag, coffee or disinfectant available for 80% of respondents | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strateg | jies | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | _ | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | | r of contact attempts (in case of non-cor | ntacts) | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Using different intervi | | | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of additiona | I response enhancing measures | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | _ | | | | | | | |
| | web-pages | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Interv | | | Inclinib | | | 1 |
| | | | Interv P | T | V | Ineligibl | T | М | |
| | Number of units sele | ected for back-check: | 111 | 337 | | 22 | | | |
| | Number of back-che | cks conducted | 103 | 270 | | 22 | | | |
| | Number of back-che | cks achieved: | 69 | 260 | | 22 | | | |
| | | ere outcome was confirmed: | 52 | 258 | | 22 | | | |
| | Number of units whe | ere outcome was not confirmed: | 17 | 2 | | 0 | | | i |
| | Mode of back-check: | In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only | in exceptional | Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | 9.1 Is your country p | part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eur | rostať s | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the | 9.1 Is your country p statistical regions fo | part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eur or EFTA and Candidate countries? | rostat's | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas | or EFTA and Candidate countries? | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document is used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the | statistical regions fo | or EFTA and Candidate countries? | | | level: 3 | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data | or EFTA and Candidate countries? | | | level: 3 | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> partner countries For all countries: | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas | or EFTA and Candidate countries? | egional variable | NUTS | | pel (cont.) | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> <u>partner countries</u> | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data 9.2 Categories Value | or EFTA and Candidate countries? se specify the NUTS level used for the re a file: | | NUTS | | pel (cont.) | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the European Union and partner countries: For all countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data 9.2 Categories Value MK001 | or EFTA and Candidate countries? se specify the NUTS level used for the re a file: Label Vardarski | egional variable | NUTS | | pel (cont.) | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> partner countries <u>For all countries</u> : Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data 9.2 Categories Value | or EFTA and Candidate countries? se specify the NUTS level used for the re a file: | egional variable | NUTS | | pel (cont.) | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> partner countries <u>For all countries</u> : Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data 9.2 Categories Value MK001 MK002 | or EFTA and Candidate countries? es specify the NUTS level used for the re a file: Label Vardarski Istochen | egional variable | NUTS | | pel (cont.) | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the European Union and partner countries For all countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data 9.2 Categories Value MK001 MK002 MK003 | br EFTA and Candidate countries? Se specify the NUTS level used for the re a file: Label Vardarski Istochen Jugozapaden | egional variable | NUTS | | pel (cont.) | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> <u>partner countries</u> For all countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS code and label) | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data 9.2 Categories Value MK001 MK002 MK003 MK004 | br EFTA and Candidate countries? See specify the NUTS level used for the re a file: Label Vardarski Istochen Jugozapaden Jugoistochen | egional variable | NUTS | | pel (cont.) | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> partner countries <u>For all countries</u> : Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS code and label) Value: HR050 | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data 9.2 Categories Value MK001 MK002 MK003 MK004 MK005 | br EFTA and Candidate countries? Se specify the NUTS level used for the re a file: Label Vardarski Istochen Jugozapaden Jugoistochen Pelagoniski Poloshki Severoistochen | egional variable | NUTS | | pel (cont.) | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> <u>partner countries</u> <u>For all countries</u> <u>Please list the</u> categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS code and label) | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data 9.2 Categories Value MK001 MK002 MK003 MK004 MK005 MK006 | or EFTA and Candidate countries? es specify the NUTS level used for the re a file: Label Vardarski Istochen Jugozapaden Jugoistochen Pelagoniski Poloshki | egional variable | NUTS | | pel (cont.) | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> partner countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS code and label) Value: HR050 | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data 9.2 Categories Value MK001 MK002 MK003 MK004 MK005 MK006 MK007 | br EFTA and Candidate countries? Se specify the NUTS level used for the re a file: Label Vardarski Istochen Jugozapaden Jugoistochen Pelagoniski Poloshki Severoistochen | egional variable | NUTS | | pel (cont.) | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> partner countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS code and label) Value: HR050 | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data 9.2 Categories Value MK001 MK002 MK003 MK004 MK005 MK006 MK007 | br EFTA and Candidate countries? Se specify the NUTS level used for the re a file: Label Vardarski Istochen Jugozapaden Jugoistochen Pelagoniski Poloshki Severoistochen | egional variable | NUTS | | pel (cont.) | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> partner countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS code and label) Value: HR050 | statistical regions for [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data 9.2 Categories Value MK001 MK002 MK003 MK004 MK005 MK006 MK007 | br EFTA and Candidate countries? Se specify the NUTS level used for the re a file: Label Vardarski Istochen Jugozapaden Jugoistochen Pelagoniski Poloshki Severoistochen | egional variable | NUTS | | pel (cont.) | | | |

| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a sh | ort description o | f the regional level used in the data file: |
|---|--|--------------------|---|
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: NUTS 3 | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since round? | e the last ESS | |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to mat | ch the ISCO08 | |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | \boxtimes |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | |
| | Later on | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 5 | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | |
| | By sampling | | |
| | On difficult cases | \boxtimes | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | e routines for che | cking the coding (e.g. checked against |



Norway

| 1 Data Deposito | r | |
|--|---|----------|
| The organisation depositing the data | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: University of Bergen | |
| | 1.2 Website address: www.uib.no/en/digsscore | |
| 2 Funding | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies The Research Council of Norway | |
| | 2.2 Website address: forskningsradet.no | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) 311281 | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: Ipsos Norway | |
| | 3.2 Website address: https://www.ipsos.com/nb-no | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) From: 10.06.2021 To: 04.05.2022 | |
| | From: 10.06.2021 To: 04.05.2022 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | |
| | Face to face: | |
| | Video: | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | |
| | Skype | |
| | | |
| | Microsoft Teams 🗵 Other: | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: | |
| | Norwegian 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | × |
| | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | |
| An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and | its use: |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3 | 880 |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 920 | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 491 | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): ${f 0}$ | |

| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0 | |
|--|--|--|
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | |
| | Included in full | |
| | Included subset | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in quest | ionnaire: |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before field | twork? |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by h | HQ: |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposite | ed to NSD |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and p | provide documentation: |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) v | vho collected the pre-test data: |
| | Same as for main data collection 区 | |
| | Or; write in: | |
| | Name: | |
| | Website address: | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | |
| | From: 23.09.2020 To: 29.10.2020 | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | |
| | Face to face: | Number: 30 |
| | Video: | Number: 38 |
| | Other: | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | |
| | tape recording of interviews | |
| | video recording of interviews | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply) | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and | correct X |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | \boxtimes |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | |
| | Check the translations | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of Other: | of COVID19 pandemic 🛛 |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | Were questions from 🛛 |
| 5 Contact Form | | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | |
| used. | Standard ESS contact form As is | Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) |
| Indicate which ESS form was used, with or | Individual (no respondent selection) | |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection | |

| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | | | |
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | | | | |
| Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 82 What number of interviewers are free-lance interviewers: 0 work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: 82 Other, please specify: What number of interviewers | | | | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS rounds: 30 | | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any | face to face interviewing work before: 25 | | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing experience whats | bever: 27 | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviewers | ews: | | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldford | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | \overline{X} | | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely reporting of con | tact attempts | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage a | llowance) | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme | Please specify: | | | | | |
| | Other X | Please specify: Voucher lottery with amount of entries based on number of completed interviews. | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of int | erviewers? | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | | | | | | |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings | | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respondents, an | d response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent | t/sampling unit in total: 4 | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a weekend: | 1 | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be in the evening: | 1 | | | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required calls per respondent | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: | 1 | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: | 1 | | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made | de available to the sample units? | | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter Image: Second s | | | | | | |

| | Other (please specify): By email for video interviews or if not received by mail. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------------|
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | |
| | No respondent incenti | ves | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | | tary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upo | n comp | letion of | the inter | view | \boxtimes | | | |
| | During the beginning of | details on your incentive scheme: of the FW, respondents received either lo kets. Later on in the project, all responde | | | | | e than 18 y | o. Those | below 18 y | o received |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strateg | ies | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | X | | | |
| | | of contact attempts (in case of non-conta | acts) | | | | X | | | |
| | Using different intervie | | , | | | | X | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of additional | response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other [| Please describe: Sending of p | ost car | d. later s | endina a | of additi | onal contac | t letter w | ith uncondi | tional incentive |
| | | (chocolate), | | , | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | - | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | Intervi | 1 | ł | Ineligibl | 1 | 1 | - |
| | | | | Р | T | V | Р | Т | M | - |
| | Number of units selection | | | | 400 | | | 9 9 | 19 19 | - |
| | Number of back-check | | | | 271 | | | 5 | 15 | |
| | | re outcome was confirmed: | | | 271 | | | 9 | 19 | |
| | Number of units whe | re outcome was not confirmed: | | | | | | | | |
| | Mode of back-check: I | n person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only ir | і ехсер | tional cir | cumstar | ices) (N | 1), Video (V |) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: | 9.1 Is your country p | art of the NUTS nomenclature or Euro | stať s | | | | | | | |
| Please document the | statistical regions fo | r EFTA and Candidate countries? | | | | | | | | |
| used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> | [f 9.1 ticked off] Please | e specify the NUTS level used for the reg | jional v | ariable | NUTS | aval: | | | | |
| regions in the European Union and | REGIONxx in the data | a file: | | | NU13 | evei. | | | | |
| partner countries | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | Value | Label | Value | e (cont.) | | La | bel (cont.) | | | |
| Please list the categories in the | NO08 | Oslo og Viken | | () | | | | | | |
| variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS | N002 | Innlandet | | | | | | | | |
| countries and countries not included in the | NO09 | Agder og Sør-østlandet | | | | | | | | |
| NUTS nomenclature). | NO0A | Vestlandet | | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | NO06 | Trøndelag | | | | | | | | |
| code and label) Value: HR050 | NO07 | Nord-Norge | | | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | | | | |

| Keywords: | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, p | lease give a short description of the regional level used in the data file: | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | The 6 regional levels are based on the present 11 counties (ad REGIONxx Number of counties Number of counties Innlandet Agder og Sør-øst Vestlandet Trøndelag Nord-Norge | ministrative regions) in Norway. The regions and counties are as follows: Counties Oslo, Viken Innlandet Agder, Vestfold og Telemark Rogaland, Vestland, Møre og Romsdal Trøndelag Nordland, Troms og Finnmark | | | |
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | \boxtimes | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: See point 9.3 | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative samples for each regional unit. | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round? Yes, there have been changes. The county structure in Norway was officially changed in 2020, and the previous NUTS level 2 and level 3 valid in Norway as a member of EFTA are no longer applicable. | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | |
| Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived. | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one | only): | | | |
| In order to study the | First coded to a national classification <i>based on ISCO</i> and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 more closely please | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | |
| deposit any national occupational variables | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | | | |
| used in the transition to ISCO08. | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | |
| | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner | take place? | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | |
| | Later on 🗵 | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 2 | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than on (double coding) | e coder | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding use coding process? | d in the | | | |
| | other coders, against a list of titles, against coding softw | , | | | |
| | Verbatims where checked against ISCO and NACE g to code. ESS9 code list was also used to ensure con | uidelines. Coders cooperated on verbatims that were more challenging sistency. | | | |



Poland

| 1 Data Deposi | tor |
|---|--|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: |
| depositing the data | Institute of Philosophy and Sociology, Polish Academy of Sciences |
| | 1.2 Website address: |
| | ifispan.edu.pl |
| 2 Funding | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies The Ministry of Education and Science |
| | 2.2 Website address: mein.gov.pl |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) DIR/WK/2017/2022/10-2 |
| 3 Main Data C | ollection |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: The Centre of Sociological Research at the Institute of Philosophy and Sociology of the Polish Academy of Sciences, subcontractor: Public Opinion Research Center |
| | 3.2 Website address: http://rdsrc.ifispan.pl |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) |
| | From: 25.01.2022 To: 25.05.2022 |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection |
| | Postal recruitment: |
| | Fieldworker-assisted data collection: |
| | If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used: Poczta Polska, www.poczta-polska.pl |
| | 3.5 Fieldworker tasks |
| | If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed: The fieldworkers performed their tasks in the last phase of the fieldwork. They were reaching those respondents who did not respond to the postal invitation. The fieldworkers' tasks were as follows: - visiting the respondent at the address indicated in the sample, - establishing contact with the respondent in case of the respondent's absence, determining whether it will be possible to contact the respondent during the survey implementation period, - conducting an interview about the reasons for not completing the postal questionnaire, - convincing the respondent to complete the ESS questionnaire, - leaving a paper questionnaire for the respondent to complete on their own, - collecting the completed questionnaire from the respondent, |
| | - delivering the completed survey to the regional coordinator. 3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the |
| | final visit to collect the paper questionnaire) |
| | 3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included?1 |
| | 3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted? Timing was slightly modified: +7, +10, +14 |
| | 3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Polish |

| | 3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents? | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach? | | | | | |
| | 3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes: A new sample was selected for the study using a self-completion approach. Simple random sampling was used for the urban population, while 4-person clusters were selected for the rural population. The sampling scheme was agreed upon with the ESS Sampling and Weighting Expert Panel. A total of 5,728 persons were drawn. The sample was selected by the Polish Centre for Information Technology [Centralny Ośrodek Informatyki] which administers the PESEL register (universal electronic system for registration of the population, i.e. | | | | | |
| | Polish national identification number). 3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? | | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.11.1 [if 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | | |
| opted out of participating in | Before the sample is drawn | | | | | |
| surveys. For more information | After the sample has been drawn | | | | | |
| see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.11.2 [if 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | | |
| | 3.12 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 5728 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 695 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 1354 | | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 7 | | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 27 | | | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 644 | | | | | |
| | 3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | | |
| | Included in full | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | |
| | 3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | | |
| | 3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | |
| | 3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | | |
| | 3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | | | | | |
| | 3.15.1 [if 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Visi | its for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | |
| | From: To: | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Number of pre-test visits | | | | | |
| | Number of sample units issued: | | | | | |
| | Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test: | | | | | |
| | Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit: | | | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders: | | | | | |
| | n for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach 5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted approach used: | | | | | |
| | As is | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) |
|--|
| 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: Set of questions related to the reasons for not responding postal invitation were added. |

| 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (<u>standard version</u>) or (<u>for CRONOS-2 countries</u>) made available to sample units? | the |
|---|------------------------------|
| Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact X with sample unit | |
| Other (please specify): | |
| 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify: | |
| 6.2 Use of respondent incentives | |
| Unconditional monetary incentives: cash | |
| Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers | |
| Amount of unconditional monetary incentives: 10 PLN | |
| Conditional monetary incentives: cash | |
| Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers | |
| Amount of conditional monetary incentives: PLN 80 if the completed questionnaire is returned within 3 weeks of delivery; PLN 60 if the questionnaire is returned later but v | ithin 6 |
| weeks of delivery, PLN 60 if the questionnaire is returned to the fieldworker. | |
| If other incentives used, please describe: | |
| 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders | |
| Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | |
| Please describe: | |
| Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | |
| Please describe: Fieldworkers visited 2,722 respondents who did not respond to a postal invitation to the survey. Due to the construction of the study, fieldworkers attempted to contact respondents in municipalities with at least two respondents. The total number of respondent did not respond to the invitation letter amounted to 3,367; thus, 82.3% of the uncompleted sample was covered by the field (100% * 2,722/3,367). At least one attempt to contact each respondent was required; fieldworkers often made more attempts a contacting respondents. | ndents Iworkers |
| 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures | |
| Helpline | |
| Web-pages 🖂 | |
| Other Dease describe: | |
| | |
| 7 Data processing | |
| 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the pape questionnaire? | |
| Scan: | |
| Key: | |
| 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way): In ESS, we have been using and developing the same survey data management system since 2002. The system helps to mar | age the |
| various phases of data processing in a consistent manner: data entry, data consistency testing, data editing, combining data fr various research tools and finally preparing data for dissemination in accordance with standards described in the Data Protocc operation of the system is based on scripts in the source code, which provides great flexibility in data formatting and the implei of unusual research tools. Before each ESS round, a documentation is prepared, based on the questionnaire, Contact Form a Protocol, which allows for the integration of various phases of data processing. The data entry module is also based on this documentation. This allows the data entry operator to control the relationship between the respondents' responses and to mak adjustments in cases of inconsistent responses. This system is ideal for self-administered questionnaires, where the answers incomplete or inconsistent and therefore require treatment. 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data | . The nentation d Data |
| Was the scanned/keyed data verified? | |
| Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): | |

| 8 Quality control | hack-chocks | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | -checks performed? Please specify: | | | | |
| | The work of f institution res cases of respo- about the reas respondents. I questionnaire Academy of S completed the For the contro- regarding the two stages. Fi fieldworker m of a given fiel telephone and interviewer vi questionnaire controlled res | fieldworkers who worked on sponsible for fieldwork (CBC ondents who did not fill in the sons for not responding to the On the other hand, the control is was conducted by the Instit Sciences (IFIS PAN). This at e ESS questionnaires. ol, we used the information c respondent's date of birth an irstly, we checked whether the natched the birth data from the ldworker were controlled in the d asked questions from the co- isited the respondent and who be. Moreover, selected question spondents (e.g. a number of p | OS - Center for Pul e ESS questionnait e postal invitation. of of respondents v tute of Philosophy udit covered more collected by the int ad phone number. The respondent's bir he sample. If there the second stage. Vo ontrol questionnair ether the responde ns from the ESS que persons in the hous | blic Opinion Research) controlled re but provided an interview . They controlled 5% of who completed the ESS and Sociology of the Polish than 20% of the respondents who rerviewers in contact forms The back-check procedure had th date reported by the was no match, all questionnaires We contacted respondents by re. It was checked whether the ent self-completed the ESS | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the <u>European Union and</u> <u>partner countries</u> | 9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Image: Constraint of the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file: If 9.1 ticked off] Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file: NUTS level: 2 | | | | | |
| For all countries: | 9.2 Categories Value | Label | Value (cont.) | Label (cont.) | | |
| Please list the categories in the | PL21 | Małopolskie | PL82 | Podkarpackie | | |
| variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS | PL22 | Śląskie | PL84 | Podlaskie | | |
| countries and countries | PL41 | Wielkopolskie | PL91 | Warszawski stołeczny | | |
| not included in the NUTS nomenclature). | PL42 | Zachodniopomorskie | PL92 | Mazowiecki regionalny | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | PL43 | Lubuskie | | | | |
| code and label) | PL51 | Dolnośląskie | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | PL52 | Opolskie | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | PL61 | Kujawsko-pomorskie | | | | |
| | PL62 | Warmińsko-mazurskie | | | | |
| | PL63 | Pomorskie | | | | |
| | PL71 | Łódzkie | | | | |
| | PL72 | Świętokrzyskie | | - | | |
| | PL81 | Lubelskie | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country file: | is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, | please give a short desc | cription of the regional level used in the data | | |
| | 9.4 Statistical infe | rence possible at the regional level | | \boxtimes | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | 00 0 1 0 | g of the regional categories: | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative samples for each regional unit. | round? [if 9.5 ticked off] Ple ones (old value -> 21->21; 22->22; 41 12->92. Note: in the | new value): ->41; 42->42; 43->43; 51->51; 52->52; 61 | on has been applied. See b ->61; 62->62; 63->63; 11- an region, old value 12) wa | below for recoding the old categories to the new >71; 33->72; 31->81; 32->82; 34->84; 12->91; as split into two categories: 91 - warszawski | | |

| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): First coded to a national classification <i>based on ISCO</i> and then bridged to match the ISCO08 First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | | | |
| deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08. | 10.2 Number of occupation coders: 1 | | | | |
| 100000. | 10.3 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)? | | | | |
| | 10.4 Was an adjudicator used? | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | | | | |
| | 10.5 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | | | | |
| | 10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | | | | |
| | A dedicated computer program has been used to support coding occupation simultaneously and independently coded using the national classification, wh end, the two coding results were crossed with each other, and all inconsister | hich to some extent is consistent with the ISCO. At the | | | |



Portugal

| 1 Data Deposito | r | | |
|---|--|-----|--|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: | | |
| depositing the data | Instituto de Ciências Sociais | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: | | |
| | https://www.ics.ulisboa.pt | | |
| 2 Funding | | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://www.fct.pt | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) PTDC/SOC-SOC/6692/2020 | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: GfK-Metris | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: http://www.gfk.pt/ | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | |
| | From: 23/08/2021 To: 09/03/2022 | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | |
| | Face to face: Image: Comparison of the second sec | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | |
| | Skype | | |
| | Zoom | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | |
| | Other: 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: | | |
| | Portuguese | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | |
| register of individuals which have officially ented out of portionation | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its u | se: | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 5254 | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1830 | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 8 | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 0 | | |
| | I | | |

| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0 | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | | | | |
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in ques | | | |
| | Included in full | | | |
| | Included subset 3.11 Additional country-specific questions inclu | J Ided in questionnai | ire: | |
| | | - | | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by H | IQ before fieldwork? | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not | approved by HQ: | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in data | aset deposited to N | SD | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of | - | | |
| | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork orga | anisation(s) who co | ollected the pre-test da | ata: |
| | Same as for main data collection 🛛 | | | |
| | Or; write in: | | | |
| | Name: | | | |
| | Website address: | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | |
| | From: 20/07/2021 To: 02/0 | 8/2021 | | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | | | |
| | Face to face: | \boxtimes | Number: 30 | |
| | Video: | | Number: | |
| | Other: | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | | |
| | | \boxtimes | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that | t apply) | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, c | complete and correct | t | \boxtimes |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | | | \boxtimes |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | | | |
| | Check the translations | | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions Check willingness of people to take part in the surve | ev in context of COV | /ID19 pandemic | \boxtimes |
| | Other: | | | \boxtimes |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subse | | uestions from | |
| | COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | | | | |
| Type of contact form used. | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | A o io | Adapted by NO/EMO | (coloct if only obtaining from the standard |
| Indicate which ESS | Standard ESS contact form | As is | ESS contact form, eve | (select if any alterations from the standard en if minor) |
| form was used, with or without adaptation | Individual (no respondent selection) | | | |
| | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection | | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection Address – Random CAPI respondent selection | \square | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | |
| | Address – Bizzo respondent selection | | | |

| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | |
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | | |
| Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 67 What number of interviewers are free-lance interviewers: 67 work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: 0 | | | | |
| | Other, please specify: | | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS rounds: 27 | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 0 | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 0 | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 5 | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Please specify: Depending on the number of interviews achieved per week | | | | |
| | Other Please specify: | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings Image: Constraint of the second s | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | dule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4 | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1 | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1 | | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | |
| contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet | | | | |
| | How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units? | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter Image: Second s | | | | |
| L | Made available by interviewer on doorstep | | | | |

| | Other (please specify) |): | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------|------------|-------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------|--|
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | |
| | No respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | |
| Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | , . | | | | | | | | |
| | | etary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upo | | pietion | | erview | \boxtimes | | | |
| | | a retail chain was given by the intervi | ewer a | fter the | comple | tion of | the full-len | gth inte | rview. | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strateg | jies | | | | | | | | |
| | Using different intervio | r of contact attempts (in case of non-con ewers Please describe: | tacts) | | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of additional | I response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | |
| | Call contor | _ | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-Center | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Quality control | haak ahaaka | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | Dack-CHECKS | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Intervi | ews | | Ineligibl | es | | |
| | | | | P | T | V | Р | Т | М | |
| | Number of units sele | cted for back-check: (*) | | 426 391 | 911 823 | | | | | |
| | Number of back-che | | | 249 | 524 | | | | | |
| | | where outcome was confirmed: 249 524 | | | | | | | | |
| | Number of units whe | ts where outcome was not confirmed: 0 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | Mode of back-check: | In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only | in exce | ptional c | circumst | ances) (| M), Video (| V) | | |
| | Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V) * Additional units selected for back-check (ICS): 117 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: | 9.1 Is your country p | part of the NUTS nomenclature or Euro | ostat's | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| Please document the used NUTS level. | statistical regions to | or EFTA and Candidate countries? | | | | | | | | |
| Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the | [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONPT in the dat | e specify the NUTS level used for the re- | gional | variable | NUTS | level: 2 | | | | |
| European Union and partner countries | | | | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | 9.2 Categories | 1 | \ <u>.</u> | , | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Please list the | Value | Label | Value | e (cont.) | | Lal | bel (cont.) | | | |
| categories in the variable REGIONxx in | PT11 | Norte | | | | | | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries | PT15 | Algarve | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| not included in the NUTS nomenclature). | PT16 | Centro Área Metropolitana de Lisboa | | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | PT17 PT18 | Area Metropolitana de Lisboa | | | | | | | | |
| code and label) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | | | L | | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short | description of the reg | jional level used in the data file: | | |
|---|---|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the round? | a last ESS | | | |
| produce representative samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | \boxtimes | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | |
| | Later on | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: 1 | | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | | | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the rou other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): No coding software used, we applied a manual coding directly into the 4-dig | - | e coding (e.g. checked against | | |

A second person checked the coding of a percentage of randomly selected questionnaires



Serbia

| 1 Data Deposi | tor |
|---|---|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: |
| depositing the data | University of Belgrade Faculty of Philosophy |
| | 1.2 Website address: |
| 2 Funding | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Ministry of education, science and technological development |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://mpn.gov.rs/ |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) Not available |
| 3 Main Data C | ollection |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: The Institute for Sociological Research, Faculty of Philosophy, University of Belgrade, Institute for Social Science |
| | 3.2 Website address: http://isi.f.bg.ac.rs/ |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) |
| | From: 11.01.2022 To: 25.05.2022 |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection |
| | Postal recruitment: |
| | Fieldworker-assisted data collection: |
| | If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used: Savska 2, 11000 Belgrade, https://www.posta.rs/ |
| | 3.5 Fieldworker tasks |
| | If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed: 1) Visit the address: non-respondent address are visited once by a fieldworker, who tried to make contact with the respondent. No repeat visits. 2) Letter and paper questionnaire: If contact is made, then the fieldworker provided the respondent with both a letter (i.e., a reminder letter, including the survey background information and their Qualtrics web link and access code) and the paper questionnaire. If it was not possible to make contact, then the fieldworker left the letter and paper questionnaire at the address. |
| | 3) Contact form: Fieldworkers filled in a contact form for each contact attempt. |
| | 3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire) 5 |
| | 3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included? 3 |
| | 3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted? yes |
| | 3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Serbian |
| | 3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents? |

| | 3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach? | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | 3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes: | | | | |
| | 3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.11.1 [if 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | |
| opted out of participating in | Before the sample is drawn | \boxtimes | | | |
| surveys. For more information | After the sample has been drawn | | | | |
| see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.11.2 [if 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | |
| | 3.12 Characteristics of the sample | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 5192 | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 648 | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 729 | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national | team): 12 | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 29 | | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 576 | | | | |
| | 3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | |
| | Included in full | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | |
| | 3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | |
| | 3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | |
| | 3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | |
| | 3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | | | | |
| | 3.15.1 [if 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Vis | ts for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | |
| | 4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY) | | | | |
| | From: To: | | | | |
| | 4.2 Number of pre-test visits | | | | |
| | Number of sample units issued: | | | | |
| | Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test: | | | | |
| | Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit: | | | | |
| | Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders: | | | | |
| 5 Contact Forr | n for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | |
| | 5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted appro | pach used: | | | |
| | As is | | | | |
| | Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) | | | | |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | |

| 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the sample units? | | | |
| | Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact with sample unit | | | |
| | Other (please specify): via institute webpage | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify: No | | | |
| | 6.2 Use of respondent incentives | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: cash | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers | | | |
| | Amount of unconditional monetary incentives: | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives: cash | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers | | | |
| | Amount of conditional monetary incentives: 5 € | | | |
| | If other incentives used, please describe: | | | |
| | 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders | | | |
| | Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | | | |
| | Please describe: After first reminder we used telephone reminders for addresses where the phone number was available. | | | |
| | Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | | | |
| | Please describe: We have organised follow up visits by fieldworkers. They visited 1051 households and tried to make contacts. They left the letter and paper questionnaire. | | | |
| | 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures | | | |
| | Helpline | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | |
| | Other Delase describe: Phone calls during the fieldwork. | | | |
| 7 Data processir | ng | | | |
| | 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire? | | | |
| | Scan: | | | |
| | Key: | | | |
| | 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way):We have entered responses into Qualtrics. String data was recoded to numerical before entering (due the time and budget limit) | | | |
| | 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data | | | |
| | Was the scanned/keyed data verified? | | | |
| | Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): 20% of questionnaires were checked | | | |

| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | 8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the | | art of the NUTS nomenclature or Euro r EFTA and Candidate countries? | ostat's | \boxtimes | | |
| used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> regions in the | [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the data | e specify the NUTS level used for the real a file: | gional variable | NUTS level: 2 | | |
| <u>European Union and</u> partner countries | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | 9.2 Categories Value | Label | Value (cont.) | Labe | l (cont.) | |
| Please list the categories in the | | | | Labe | | |
| variable REGIONxx in | RS11 RS12 | Београдски регион | | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries | RS21 | Регион Војводине Регион Шумадије и Западне Србије | | | | |
| not included in the NUTS nomenclature). | RS22 | Регион Јужне и Источне Србије | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | | | | | | |
| code and label) | | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file: | | | | | |
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | | | | | |
| please document how the regional categories can be grouped to | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round? | | | | | |
| produce representative samples for each | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | |
| regional unit. 10 Occupation c | oding and proce | dures | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | . | sed in coding of occupation (mark on | e only): | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. | | nal classification based on ISCO and the | • | ch the ISCOOP | | |
| In order to study the transition to ISCO08 | | | 0 | | | |
| more closely please | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | |
| deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | | pation strings coded by more than on | e coder | \boxtimes | | |
| | 10.4 Was an adjudio | cator used? | | \boxtimes | | |
| | Was adjudication dor | e | | | | |
| | | By sampling | | | | |
| | | On difficult cases | | \boxtimes | | |
| | 10.5 Is some kind of coding process? | f automatic/semiautomatic coding use | ed in the | | | |
| | against other coders, | hort description of the procedures for against a list of titles, against coding sol supervisors. Supervisors checked and co | ftware): | | necking the coding | g (e.g. checked |



Slovakia

| 1 Data Deposito | ٥٢ | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--|--|
| The organisation depositing the data | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: Institute of Social Sciences CSPS SAS | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: | | | |
| Q. Even dire er | https://svusav.sk/en | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://www.minedu.sk/ | | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) | | | |
| 3 Main Data Co | llection | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: Go4insight s.r.o., ACRC s.r.o. | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: go4insight.com, www.acrc.sk | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | |
| | From: 25.05.2021 To: 31.10.2021 | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | |
| | Face to face: Image: Comparison of the second sec | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | |
| | Skype | | | |
| | Zoom | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | | |
| | Other: 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: | | | |
| | Slovak, Hungarian | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | \boxtimes | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its i | ise: | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3258 | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1418 | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 0 | | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 0 | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 386 | | | |

| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Included in full | | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | | | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | | | | | | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: | | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data: | | | | | | |
| | Same as for main data collection 🛛 | | | | | | |
| | Or; write in: | | | | | | |
| | Name: | | | | | | |
| | Website address: | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | | |
| | From: 06.05.2021 To: 13.05.2021 | | | | | | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | | | | | | |
| | Face to face: Number: 30 | | | | | | |
| | Video: Number: 20 | | | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | tape recording of interviews | | | | | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | | | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply) | | | | | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct | | | | | | |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | | | | | | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | | | | | | |
| | Check the translations | | | | | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | | | | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic | | | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | | | | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | | | | | | | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | | | | | | |
| used. | Standard ESS contact form As is Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form over if minor) | | | | | | |
| Indicate which ESS form was used, with or | ESS contact form, even if minor) | | | | | | |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection X | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | | |

| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | | | | | |
| Please do not include | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 196 | | | | | | | |
| any telephone interviewers from the | What number of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| contacting phase in | are free-lance interviewers: 50 | | | | | | | |
| these numbers | work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: | | | | | | | |
| | Other, please specify: | | | | | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS rounds: 40 | | | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: | | | | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: | | | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts | | | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) | | | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Please specify: | | | | | | | |
| | Other Dease specify: | | | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? | | | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | | | | | | | |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings | | | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1 | | | | | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | | | | |
| contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): | | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: | | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: | | | | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet | | | | | | | |
| | How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units? | | | | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter | | | | | | | |
| | Made available by interviewer on doorstep | | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | | | |
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | |

| | No respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------|----------|------------|-----------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------------|
| | Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional non-m | onetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid i | independ | lently o | f an inte | rview | | | | |
| | Conditional non-mor | netary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid up | on comp | letion o | f the inte | erview | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Please specify furthe | er details on your incentive scheme: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strate | gies | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | | | | |
| | Extending the number | er of contact attempts (in case of non-cor | ntacts) | | | | | | | |
| | Using different interv | | , | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of addition | al response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | . € | | | | | | | | |
| | Web serve | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | \boxtimes | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | F | | | | T | | | 1 |
| | | | _ | Intervi | I | | Ineligib | | | - |
| | | | - | P | Т | V | Р | Т | М | - |
| | | ected for back-check: | | 152 | 41 | | 20 | | | - |
| | Number of back-che | | | 152 | 41 35 | | 20 | | | - |
| | Number of back-che | | | 97 97 | 35 | | 13 7 | | | - |
| | Number of units where outcome was confirmed: Number of units where outcome was not confirmed: | | | | 55 | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Mode of back-check | : In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only | in excep | tional c | ircumsta | ances) (N | /I), Video (| (V) | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 91 Is your country | part of the NUTS nomenclature or Fur | ostat's | | 57 | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the | 9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? | | | | | | | | | |
| used NUTS level. Please see Statistical | [f 9.1 ticked off] Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable | | | | | | | | | |
| regions in the European Union and | REGIONXX in the data file: | | | | | | | | | |
| partner countries | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>For all countries:</u> Please list the | Value | Label | Value | (cont.) | | Lab | el (cont.) | | | |
| categories in the | SK010 | Bratislavský kraj | | | | | | | | |
| variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS | SK021 | Trnavský kraj | | | | | | | | |
| countries and countries not included in the | SK022 | Trenčiansky kraj | | | | | | | | |
| NUTS nomenclature). | SK023 | Nitriansky kraj | | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | SK031 | Žilinský kraj | | | | | | | | |
| code and label) Value: HR050 | SK032 | Banskobystrický kraj | | | | | | | | |
| | SK041 | Prešovský kraj | | | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | SK042 | Košický kraj | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country | is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, | please g | jive a s | hort de | scriptio | n of the re | egional le | evel used i | n the data file: |

| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | \boxtimes | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) sinc round? | the last ESS | | | | | |
| produce representative samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived. | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | | | |
| In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to main | tch the ISCO08 | | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | | | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | | | |
| | Later on | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 4 | | | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | | | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and th other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | ne routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



Slovenia

| 1 Data Deposito | r | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The organisation depositing the data | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences, Public Opinion and Mass Communication Research Center | | | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: https://www.fdv.uni-lj.si/raziskovanje/raziskovalni-centri/oddelek-za-sociologijo/center-za-raziskovanje-javnega-mnenja-in- mnozicnih-kom https://www.cjm.si/ | | | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | | | |
| Country's funding | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies | | | | | | |
| agency/ies | Slovenian Research Agency, Ministry of Education, Science and Sport | | | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: http://www.arrs.si/en/index.asp | | | | | | |
| Grant number(s) | 2.3 Grant number(s) | | | | | | |
| connected to the funding agency/ies | Infrastructure programme I0-0022-0510 | | | | | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | llection | | | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences, Public Opinion and Mass Communication Research Center | | | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: | | | | | | |
| | https://www.fdv.uni-lj.si/raziskovanje/raziskovalni-centri/oddelek-za-sociologijo/center-za-raziskovanje-javnega-mnenja-in- mnozicnih-kom https://www.cjm.si/ | | | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | | |
| | From: 18.09.20 To: 26.08.21 | | | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | | | | |
| | Face to face: | | | | | | |
| | Video: | | | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | | | |
| | Skype | | | | | | |
| | Zoom | | | | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | | | | | |
| | Other: Jitsi | | | | | | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: | | | | | | |
| | Slovenian | | | | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | | | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a register of individuals | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | | | |
| which have officially opted out of participating | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | | | |
| in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | | | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 2400 | | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1252 | | | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 0 | | | | | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 0 | | | | | | |

| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0 | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | | | | |
| | Included in full | \boxtimes | | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | | | | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved b | y HQ before fieldwork | ? | | | | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if n | not approved by HQ: | | | | | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in d | ataset deposited to N | NSD | | | | | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what typ | e of weight and provid | e documentation: | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork o | rganisation(s) who c | ollected the pre-test d | ata: | | | | |
| | Same as for main data collection 🛛 | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Or; write in: Name: | | | | | | | |
| | Website address: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.Y | , | | | | | | |
| | From: 26.08.20 To: 04 | 4/09.20 | | | | | | |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | | | | | | | |
| | Face to face: | \boxtimes | Number: 30 | | | | | |
| | Video: | \boxtimes | Number: 10 | | | | | |
| | Other: 0 | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | | | | | | |
| | tape recording of interviews | | | | | | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | | | | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all t | hat apply) | | | | | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clea | | st | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | | | \square | | | | |
| | Check the translations | | | | | | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | | \square | | | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the su | urvey in context of CO | VID19 pandemic | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/sub COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-tes | | questions from | | | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | | | | | | | | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | | | | | | | |
| used. | Standard ESS contact form | As is | | (select if any alterations from the standard | | | | |
| Indicate which ESS form was used, with or | Individual (no respondent selection) | \boxtimes | ESS contact form, e | | | | | |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent select | | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selecti | ion | | | | | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | | |
| | Address – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | | |

| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | | | |
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | | | | | |
| Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers | What number of interviewers | | | | | | |
| | Other, please specify: students (3) and other employees - second job (23) | | | | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS rounds: 38 | | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 0 | | | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 3 | | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 3 | | | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts | | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) | | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme Please specify: depends on response rate (50-55% or even 100% in PSU) | | | | | | |
| | Other Delase specify: Briefing attending fee | | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? | | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4 | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1 | | | | | | |
| Onto fan acontria | Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1 | | | | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone | | | | | | |
| contact by telephone | Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: | | | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter Image: Comparison of C | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | 7.4 Use of responde | nt incentives | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----|---|-----|
| | No respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | incentives, paid upon completion of the | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional non-mo | onetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid | indepe | ndently o | of an inte | rview | | | | |
| | Conditional non-mone | etary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid up | on com | pletion of | of the int | erview | \boxtimes | | | |
| | . , | r details on your incentive scheme: | | | | | | | | |
| | | awarded with 10€ gift card (for a major er an additional 5 euros gift card for diffe | | | | | | | | |
| | | e second part of the field phase got 2 gi | | | | | () | | , | , - |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strateg | gies | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Extending the number | r of contact attempts (in case of non-cor | ntacts) | | | | \square | | | |
| | Using different intervie | | , | | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| | | I response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | Dack-checks | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Interv | iews | | Ineligib | es | |] |
| | | | | P | Т | V | P | Т | М | |
| | Number of units sele | ected for back-check: | | 562 | 109 | | 62 | | | |
| | Number of back-che | cks conducted | | 562 | 109 | | 55 | | | |
| | Number of back-che | cks achieved: | | 332 | 80 | | 42 | | | 1 |
| | | ere outcome was confirmed: | | 330 | 80 | | | | | - |
| | Number of units whe | ere outcome was not confirmed: | | 2 | 0 | | | | | J |
| | Mode of back-check: | In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only | in exce | eptional o | circumsta | ances) (| M), Video (| (V) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: | | part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eur | ostat's | ; | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| Please document the | statistical regions for | or EFTA and Candidate countries? | | | | | | | | |
| used NUTS level. Please see Statistical | If 9.1 ticked offl Pleas | se specify the NUTS level used for the re | aional | variable | | | | | | |
| regions in the | REGIONxx in the data | | 0 | | NUIS | level: 3 | | | | |
| <u>European Union and</u> partner countries | | | | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | 9.2 Categories | Label | Valu | e (cont.) | | Lat | pel (cont.) | | | |
| Please list the categories in the | | Pomurska | valu | e (cont.) | | Lai | | | | |
| variable REGIONxx in | SI031 SI032 | Ponturska Podravska | | | | | | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries | SI032 | Koroška | | | | | | | | |
| not included in the NUTS nomenclature). | SI034 | Savinjska | | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | SI035 | Zasavska | | | | | | | | |
| code and label) | SI036 | Posavska | | | | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | SI037 | Jugovzhodna Slovenija | | | | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | SI038 | Primorsko-notranjska | | | | | | | | |
| | SI041 | Osrednjeslovenska | | | | | | | | |
| | SI042 | Gorenjska | | | | | | | | |
| | SI043 | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 31043 | Goriška | | | | | | | | |
| | SI043 | Goriška Obalno-kraška | | | | | | | | |

| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a sh | ort description of th | e regional level used in the data file: | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | \triangleright | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since round? | e the last ESS |] | | | | |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to mat | ch the ISCO08 |] | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | |] | | | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | \triangleright | | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | | | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | | | |
| | Later on | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 1 | | | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | | | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | | | |
| | By sampling | | | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | | | | | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): Coding was performed by the dedicated experienced person. Some special ca | | | | | | |



Spain

| 1 Data Deposi | tor | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: | | | | | | |
| depositing the data | Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas | | | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: www.cis.es | | | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Ministry of Science and Innovation (ERIC-ESS contribution)/Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas (Fieldwork organisation and funding) | | | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://www.ciencia.gob.es/ www.cis.es | | | | | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) | | | | | | |
| 3 Main Data C | ollection | | | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas | | | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: www.cis.es | | | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | | |
| | From: 21.01.2022 To: 31.05.2022 | | | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection | | | | | | |
| | Postal recruitment: | | | | | | |
| | Fieldworker-assisted data collection: | | | | | | |
| | If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used: Sociedad Estatal Correos y Telegrafos (www.correos.es) | | | | | | |
| | 3.5 Fieldworker tasks | | | | | | |
| | If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed: | | | | | | |
| | 3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire) 4 | | | | | | |
| | 3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included?3 | | | | | | |
| | 3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted? Yes (in some cases +1 or 2 days for organizational issues) | | | | | | |
| | 3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Spanish, Castilian Catalan | | | | | | |
| | 3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents? | | | | | | |
| | Both the Spanish and the Catalan version of the questionnaire were sent to all individuals selected in the sample in Catalonia. | | | | | | |
| | 3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach? | | | | | | |
| | 3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes: The basic design was the same, but both the size of the main sample and the reserve one were increased (the change was approved by the ESS SWEP). | | | | | | |

| | 3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| An opt-out list is a | 3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.11.1 [if 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | | | | |
| For more information | After the sample has been drawn | | | | | | | |
| see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.11.2 [if 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | | | | |
| | 3.12 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 6734 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 1754 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 529 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national te | eam): 245 | | | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national | team): 34 | | | | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters r | Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 165 | | | | | | |
| | 3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | | | | |
| | Included in full | | | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | | | |
| | 3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | | | | |
| | 3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | | | |
| | 3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | | | | |
| | 3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | | | | | | | |
| | 3.15.1 [if 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: | | | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Vis | its for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | | | |
| | From: To: | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Number of pre-test visits | | | | | | | |
| | Number of sample units issued: | | | | | | | |
| | Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test: | | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit: | | | | | | | |
| | Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders: | | | | | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | n for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | | | | |
| | 5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted appro | ach used: | | | | | | |
| | As is | | | | | | | |
| | Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) | | | | | | | |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | | | |

| 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the sample units? | | | | | | | |
| | Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact in the sample unit | | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify: | | | | | | | |
| | No | | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: cash | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers | | | | | | | |
| | Amount of unconditional monetary incentives: | | | | | | | |
| | No monetary unconditional incentive was used (see below). | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives: cash | | | | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers | | | | | | | |
| | Amount of conditional monetary incentives: No monetary incentive was used. | | | | | | | |
| | If other incentives used, please describe: | | | | | | | |
| | A folded cloth bag with the name of the survey organisation was sent to all individuals in the sample with the invitation letter. | | | | | | | |
| | 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders | | | | | | | |
| | Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | | | | | | | |
| | Please describe: | | | | | | | |
| | Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | | | | | | | |
| | Please describe: | | | | | | | |
| | 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures | | | | | | | |
| | Helpline 🔀 | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | | | | | | | |
| | Other Delease describe: | | | | | | | |
| 7 Data processir | ng | | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire? | | | | | | | |
| | Scan: | | | | | | | |
| | Key: 🛛 | | | | | | | |
| | 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way): | | | | | | | |
| | Entering responses into Qualtrics | | | | | | | |
| | 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data | | | | | | | |
| | Was the scanned/keyed data verified? | | | | | | | |
| | Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): | | | | | | | |
| | Around 20% were randomly checked, and the process of assessing whether there were duplicates and also the validity of achieved questionnaires also served as a way to check for mistakes in the data from paper questionnaires which were registered in Qualtrics. | | | | | | | |

| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify: | | | | | | | |
| | See above. | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see <u>Statistical</u> | statistical regions f | part of the NUTS nomenclature o or EFTA and Candidate countries se specify the NUTS level used for | ? | UTS level: 2 | | | | |
| <u>regions in the</u> <u>European Union and</u> partner countries | REGIONxx in the da | a file: | | - | | | | |
| | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | |
| <u>For all countries:</u> Please list the | Value | Label | Value (cont.) | Label (cont.) | | | | |
| categories in the variable REGIONxx in | ES61 | Andalucía | ES62 | Región de Murcia | | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS | ES24 | Aragón | ES22 | Comunidad Foral de Navarra | | | | |
| countries and countries not included in the | ES12 | Principado de Asturias | ES21 | País Vasco | | | | |
| NUTS nomenclature). | ES53 | Illes Balears | ES23 | La Rioja | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | ES70 | Canarias | ES63 | Ciudad Autónoma de Ceuta | | | | |
| code and label) Value: HR050 | ES13 | Cantabria | ES64 | Ciudad Autónoma de Melilla | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | ES42 | Castilla-La Mancha | | | | | | |
| | ES41 | Castilla y León | | | | | | |
| | ES51 | Cataluña | | | | | | |
| | ES52 | Comunidad Valenciana | | | | | | |
| | ES43 | Extremadura | | | | | | |
| | ES11 | Galicia | | | | | | |
| | ES30 | Comunidad de Madrid | | | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country i file: | s not part of the NUTS nomencla | ture, please give a sho | rt description of the regional level used in the data | | | | |
| | 9.4 Statistical infere | | | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | | | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round? | | | | | | | |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and proc | edures | | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived. | 10.1 Classification | used in coding of occupation (ma | rk one only): | | | | | |
| In order to study the | First coded to a natio | nal classification based on ISCO ar | nd then bridged to match | the ISCO08 | | | | |
| transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a natio | | | | | | | |
| more closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | | | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08. | 10.2 Number of occupation coders: 6 | | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Were any occu (double coding)? | 10.3 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)? | | | | | | |
| | 10.4 Was an adjud | icator used? | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Was adjudication do | ne | | | | | | |
| | | By sampling | [| | | | | |
| | | On difficult cases | [| \boxtimes | | | | |
| | 10.5 Is some kind o coding process? | f automatic/semiautomatic codir | | | | | | |
| coding process? 10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. check against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): The coding consisted on the following steps: First, interviewers register respondents' literal answer to the questions on occupation. Coders assign a 4-digit ISCO08 code to respondents' answers. A back-coding procedure is implemented always when coding proved difficult | | | | | | | | |



Sweden

| 1 Data Deposi | tor | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: | | | | | |
| depositing the data | Department of Sociology, Umeå University, Sweden | | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: | | | | | |
| | https://www.umu.se/en/department-of-sociology/ | | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Vetenskapsrådet (The Swedish Research Council) | | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: https://www.vr.se/english.html | | | | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) 2017-00667 | | | | | |
| 3 Main Data C | ollection | | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: Ipsos AB | | | | | |
| | Ipsos Observer Sweden, S:t Göransgatan 63, Box 12236, 102 26 Stockholm | | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: https://www.ipsos.com/sv-se | | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | |
| | From: 10.12.21 To: 17.01.22 | | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection | | | | | |
| | Postal recruitment: | | | | | |
| | Fieldworker-assisted data collection: | | | | | |
| | If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used: Post Nord, https://www.postnord.se/en | | | | | |
| | 3.5 Fieldworker tasks | | | | | |
| | If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed: A small number of fieldworkers performed telephone reminders to respondents | | | | | |
| | 3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire) 4 (+1 soft launch invitation) | | | | | |
| | 3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included? Second and third | | | | | |
| | 3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted? No, due to web survey issues and cases of fake respondents, we had a one-month break in our data collection (between 10/28/21 - 11/23/21). Apart from these issues, we followed the recommended mailing timings | | | | | |
| | 3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Swedish | | | | | |
| | 3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents? | | | | | |
| | 3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach? | | | | | |
| | 3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes: | | | | | |

| | 3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| An opt-out list is a | 3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.11.1 [if 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | | |
| For more information | After the sample has been drawn | | | | | |
| see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.11.2 [if 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | | |
| | 3.12 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 6251 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 1345 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 944 | | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national | team): 433 | | | | |
| | Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the nation | al team): 143 | | | | |
| | Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 131 | | | | | |
| | 3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | | | | | |
| | Included in full | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | |
| | 3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: | | | | | |
| | 3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | |
| | 3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | | |
| | 3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD | | | | | |
| | 3.15.1 [if 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: | | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Vis | its for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | |
| | From: To: | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Number of pre-test visits | | | | | |
| | Number of sample units issued: | | | | | |
| | Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test: | | | | | |
| | Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit: | | | | | |
| | Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders: | | | | | |
| 5 Contact Forr | n for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach | | | | | |
| | 5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted appr | oach used: | | | | |
| | As is | | | | | |
| | Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) | | | | | |
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | |

| 6 Information for | 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (<u>standard version</u>) or (<u>for CRONOS-2 countries</u>) made available to the ample units? | | | | |
| | ent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact | | | | |
| | ther (please specify): Data protection information was sent out with the invitation letter, first reminder and second reminder | | | | |
| | 1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify: o | | | | |
| | 2 Use of respondent incentives | | | | |
| | nconditional monetary incentives: cash | | | | |
| | nconditional monetary incentives: vouchers | | | | |
| | mount of unconditional monetary incentives: JSEK | | | | |
| | onditional monetary incentives: cash | | | | |
| | onditional monetary incentives: vouchers | | | | |
| | mount of conditional monetary incentives: 00 SEK (and then 600 SEK for respondents with stolen ID:s). other incentives used, please describe: | | | | |
| | 3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders | | | | |
| | ave telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | | | | |
| | ease describe: Three telephone reminders were used. The first two shortly after the second reminder and the third telephone contact nortly after the third reminder. | | | | |
| | ave follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to | | | | |
| | ease describe: | | | | |
| | 4 Use of additional response enhancing measures | | | | |
| | elpline | | | | |
| | /eb-pages | | | | |
| | ther Delease describe: | | | | |
| 7 Data processin | | | | | |
| | 1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper Jestionnaire? | | | | |
| | can: | | | | |
| | ey: | | | | |
| | 1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way): | | | | |
| | 2 Verification of scanning/keying of data | | | | |
| | as the scanned/keyed data verified? | | | | |

| Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): All questionnaires are scanned page by page using a specialized software where the coding of every question is predefined for every project. In the case of ESS the "ESS10SCms 2022" template was used to get all variable names, values, and measures The software is programmed, and every checkbox and open answer area is defined The questionnaires are divided into batches and are scanned. Every batch is marked in case there is a need to go back it is easily found. The batch number is also included in the All scanned questionnaires are manually checked to see that the correct number of pages is scanned The program checks the scanned data against the predefined programming and if a question is correctly fill out it is marked as correct but if |
|---|
| On a single answer question there are two answers the question is highlighted All multiple questions are highlighted All questions containing numbers are highlighted All open-ended questions are highlighted If there are markings outside a predefined area the questions is highlighted All highlighted questions are manually checked and verified. A supervisor is present at every moment to be able to give a second opinion/ verify manually entered questions. After all questions have been verified the data is exported the SPSS/Excel/CSV |

| 8 Quality control | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|--|
| | 8.1 Were any back-cl | 8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify: | | | | | |
| | No | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. | | part of the NUTS nomenclature or EFTA and Candidate countrie | | | | | |
| Please see <u>Statistical</u> <u>regions in the</u> <u>European Union and</u> | [f 9.1 ticked off] Pleas REGIONxx in the dat | se specify the NUTS level used for a file: | the regional variable | NUTS level: 2 | 2 | | |
| <u>partner countries</u> | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | |
| For all countries: Please list the | Value | Label | Value (cont.) | La | bel (cont.) | | |
| ategories in the | SE11 | Stockholm | | | | | |
| ariable REGIONxx in he dataset (both NUTS | SE12 | Östra Mellansverige | | | | | |
| ountries and countries ot included in the | SE21 | Småland med öarna | | | | | |
| IUTS nomenclature). | SE22 | Sydsverige | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS code and label) | SE23 | Västsverige | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 | SE31 | Norra Mellansverige | | | | | |
| Label: Grad Zagreb | SE32 | Mellersta Norrland | | | | | |
| 209.00 | SE33 | Övre Norrland | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Keywords: Idministrative units, Itatistical regions, possible to attach Itata | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file: | | | | | | |
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | | | | | | |
| f 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: | | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round? | | | | | | |
| samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and proce | edures | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification u | used in coding of occupation (m | ark one only): | | | | |
| SCO08 was derived. In order to study the | First coded to a natio | nal classification based on ISCO a | and then bridged to mat | ch the ISCO08 | 3 | | |
| ransition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| nore closely please deposit any national | No previous coding, o | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Number of occupation coder and a disk to code a line of a disk to code a line of a disk to code a disk to | | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | 10.3 Were any occu (double coding)? | pation strings coded by more t | han one coder | \boxtimes | | | |
| | 10.4 Was an adjudi | cator used? | | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | | | |
| | | By sampling | | | | | |
| | | On difficult cases | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | 10.5 Is some kind o coding process? | f automatic/semiautomatic codi | ng used in the | | | | |
| | | short description of the procedu | | e routines for | checking the codi | ng (e.g. checked | |
| | Each job and educat | ion was coded against the code fr codes they reviewed and decided | ame and it was conduct | | | | |

149



Switzerland

| 1 Data Deposito | r | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: | | | | |
| depositing the data | Michael Ochsner, FORS, Switzerland | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: www.forscenter.ch | | | | |
| 2 Eupding | www.iorscenter.ch | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | |
| Country's funding agency/ies | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies SNFS (Swiss National Science Foundation) | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: www.snsf.ch | | | | |
| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) Research infrastructure Nr. 10FIP4_198472 | | | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: M.I.S. Trend SA, Lausanne, Switzerland | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: https://www.mistrend.ch/accueil | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | |
| | From: 04.05.2021 To: 02.05.2022 | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | | |
| | Face to face: | | | | |
| | Video: | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | |
| | Skype | | | | |
| | Zoom | | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams 🛛 | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: German/Swiss German, French, Italian | | | | |
| | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | |
| register of individuals which have officially | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | | | | |
| opted out of participating in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3102 | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1473 | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 50 | | | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 15 (6 partial and 9 inv | alidated) | | | |

| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0 | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in que | estionnaire: | | | | | |
| | Included in full | \bowtie | | | | | |
| | Included subset | | | | | | |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions incl | uded in questionnair | e: | | | | |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? | | | | | | |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: | | | | | | |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dat | aset deposited to NS | D | | | | |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type | of weight and provide | documentation: | | | | |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork org | anisation(s) who col | lected the pre-test dat | ta: | | | |
| | Same as for main data collection \square | | | | | | |
| | Or; write in: | | | | | | |
| | Name: | | | | | | |
| | Website address: | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | | |
| | . , , | | | | | | |
| | From: 30.03.2021 To: 01.0 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: | 04.2021 | | | | | |
| | the mode and number of pretest interviews. | | | | | | |
| | Face to face: | | Number: 32 | | | | |
| | Video: | \boxtimes | Number: 16 | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? | | | | | | |
| | tape recording of interviews | | | | | | |
| | video recording of interviews | | | | | | |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all the | at apply) | | | | | |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, | complete and correct | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite | | | | | | |
| | Check the translations | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions | | | | | | |
| | Check willingness of people to take part in the surv | vey in context of COVI | D19 pandemic | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Other: Additionally to the checks through the p well as by members of the Swiss ESS team, be two native researchers per language (one in Ita | fore and after the pre | etest. Using a compre | hensive range of respondent-scenarios, | | | |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subsection COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? | | estions from | | | | |
| 5 Contact Form | | | | | | | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used | | | | | | |
| used. | Standard ESS contact form | As is | Adapted by NC/FWO (ESS contact form, eve | (select if any alterations from the standard | | | |
| Indicate which ESS form was used, with or | Individual (no respondent selection) | | | | | | |
| without adaptation | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection | n 🗌 | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection | | | | | | |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection | n 🔲 | | | | | |
| | Address – Birthday respondent selection | | | | | | |

| | Address – Rizzo respondent s | election | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please | se specify the ad | aptations: | | | | |
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork managem | ent system app | (FMS) used? | \boxtimes | | | |
| 6 Interviewer Se | lection | | · · · | | | | |
| Please do not include | 6.1 Total number of interviewers | in ESS round 1 | 0 58 CAPI (for i | interviews) + 17 CATI (for contact only) | | | |
| any telephone interviewers from the | What number of interviewers | | | | | | |
| contacting phase in these numbers | are free-lance interviewers: 58 (we do not know for each interviewer whether she/he works for another survey agency too \rightarrow even if we feel that most of them do exclusively work for us, we prefer to put all of them into this first category) work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: 17 | | | | | | |
| | Other, please specify: | | | | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS ro | ounds: 34 | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, bu | ut not done any fa | ace to face interview | ing work before: 22 | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing ex | perience whatso | ever: 19 | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used | for video intervie | ws: 15 | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video int | erviews: | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face inte | erviewer fieldforce | e | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely | reporting of conta | act attempts | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses | s (e.g. mileage all | owance) | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme | | Please specify: | | | | |
| | Other | | lump-sum for each Additionally to the | terviewers are paid per completed interview and compensated with a n contact attempt resp. for travel fees. CAPI interviewers, the fieldwork agency hired CATI interviewers (for DNLY). These were remunerated on an hourly basis. | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in th | e briefing of inte | erviewers? | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings | hriafiana | | | | | |
| | Mix of both face to face and virtual | bhenngs | \bowtie | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respo | ondents, and | l response rat | e enhancing procedures | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits | s per respondent/s | sampling unit in tota | l: 5 | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be or | n a weekend: | | 1 | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be in | the evening: | | 1 | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone Number of minimum required calls | ner respondent (| in total): | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in t | he evening: | | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet | <u>y</u> | | | | | |
| | How was the ESS10 GDPR Inform | ation Sheet made | e available to the sa | mple units? | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter | \boxtimes | | | | | |

| | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|---|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|--|---------------|--------------------|--|
| | Made available by interviewer on doorstep | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify | /): Made | available on dedicated national v | webpage | | | | | | | |
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No respondent incen | tives | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monet | ary incen | ntives, paid independently of an ir | nterview | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Conditional monetary | / incentiv | ves, paid upon completion of the | interview | | | | | | | |
| | - | | ncentives (incl. vouchers), paid i | | of an inter | view | | | | | |
| | | • | entives (incl. vouchers), paid upo | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | on your incentive scheme: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | · | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strate | gies | | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Extending the number | er of cont | tact attempts (in case of non-con | tacts) | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Using different interv | iewers | | | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Other | \boxtimes | Please describe: After the face fixed-line telephone number w | | | | cedure, al | non-res | pondents wi | th a known | |
| | 7.6 Use of additiona | al respor | nse enhancing measures | | by priorite | | | | | | |
| | Call-center | .⊠ | Ū | | | | | | | | |
| | Mah nama | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | \boxtimes | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | \boxtimes | Please describe: For responde | ents: | | | | | | | |
| | | | - Sampled units were sent an | | Ŭ | | | | | | |
| | | | - The fieldwork agency provide | | | | | its. Imber and including response card as | | | |
| | | | possible means to make an ap | | | | | | ig response | | |
| | | | - Interviewers had Topline Res | | | | | | <i>"</i> . • | | |
| | | | Accommodated on the FORS that was made available to the | | | pondent | -specific v | vep-page | (in Germar | and French) | |
| | | | For interviewers: | | | | | | | | |
| | Interviewers were regularly sent a dashboard with their outcomes, con Outcomes close to target or above mean were in green, those below a glance where they have to put more efforts (contacts, avoid refusals | | | | | below in | red (or o | | | | |
| | | | The conditional non-monetary | incentive aim | s at makin | g them | feel more | comforta | ble in the in | teraction with the | |
| | | | respondents. They are so able | | - | | | | rk wo orga | nicod on onlino | |
| | With the interviewers receiving extra batch of addresses at the end of the fieldwork, we organised an onlin re-briefing event where we informed them about points from the intermediary data report, exchanged | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | experiences etc. | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-cnecks | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Interv | views | | Ineligib | es | | | |
| | | | | Р | Т | V | P | Т | М | | |
| | Number of units sel | ected for | back-check: | | 560 | | 4 | 1 | | | |
| | Number of back-che | | | | 323 | | 4 | 0 | | | |
| | Number of back-che | | | | 323 281 | | 4 | 0 | | | |
| | Number of units when Number of | | ome was not confirmed: | | 42 | | 4 | | | | |
| | | | on (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only i | in overational | oiroumsta | | | 10 | | | |
| | Mode of back-check. | in perso | | in exceptional | Gilcumsta | 1003) (1 | i), video (| V) | | | |
| 9 Region | | | | | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: | | | he NUTS nomenclature or Euro | ostat's | | | | | | | |
| Please document the used NUTS level. | statistical regions f | or EFTA | and Candidate countries? | | ت | | | | | | |
| Please see Statistical | | | y the NUTS level used for the re | gional variable | | evel [.] 2 | | | | | |
| regions in the European Union and | REGIONXX in the data file: | | | | | | | | | | |
| partner countries | 9.2 Categories | | | | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | Value | Label | | Value (cont.) |) | Lab | el (cont.) | | | | |

| Diana lint li | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Please list the categories in the | CH01 | Région lémanique (VD, VS, GE) | | | | | |
| variable REGIONxx in | 01102 | Espace Mittelland (BE, FR, SO, NE, | | | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries | CH02 | JU) | | | | | |
| not included in the NUTS nomenclature). | CH03 | Nordwestschweiz (BS, BL, AG) | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | CH04 | Zürich (ZH) Ostschweiz (GL, SH, AR, AI, SG, | | | | | |
| code and label) | CH05 | GR, TG) | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 Label: Grad Zagreb | CH06 | Zentralschweiz (LU, UR, SZ, OW, NW, ZG) | | | | | |
| , i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | CH07 | Ticino (TI) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is | s not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please | e give a sh | nort description o | of the regional level used in the data file: | | |
| | 9.4 Statistical infere | nce possible at the regional level | | | \boxtimes | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how | Suggested grouping of | of the regional categories: | | | | | |
| the regional categories can be grouped to | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS | | | | | | |
| produce representative samples for each regional unit. | [if 9.5 ticked off] Pleas | se describe: | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and proce | edures | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. | First coded to a national classification <i>based on ISCO</i> and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| In order to study the transition to ISCO08 | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| more closely please | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | | | | | |
| deposit any national occupational variables | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | | | |
| used in the transition to ISCO08. | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | | | |
| | When did the coding | of occupation for respondent and partner take | place? | | | | |
| | At the time of the inte | rview 🛛 | | | | | |
| | Later on | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occ | upation coders: | | 3 | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occu (double coding | pation strings coded by more than one cod | ler | \boxtimes | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudi | cator used? | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Was adjudication dor | ne | | | | | |
| | | By sampling | | | | | |
| | | On difficult cases | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the | | | | | | |
| | coding process? 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against | | | | | | |
| | other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): When answering the first occupation question (title of occupation), the interviewers could choose a denomination from the comprehensive occupation list of the SFSO (Swiss Federal Statistical Office). The closest denominations were filtered while entering the text. If nothing corresponded, a free text could be entered. In any case, a description of the main activities had to be given as open text. The list of the SFSO also proposes a bridging to the ISCO-08 codes. The codes were however checked with the the other relevant variables (F31-F34, | | | | | | |
| | Difficult cases were of ambiguous cases. Co | for the respondent and equivalently for partner discussed with a team member. Information abo oding was finally validated via crossing with sev cation necessary for job, economic branch. | out supervi | ision and the orga | nisation was used to check and decide | | |



United Kingdom

| 1 Data Deposito | r | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| The organisation | 1.1 Name of Data depositor: | | | | | |
| depositing the data | NatCen Social Reseach | | | | | |
| | 1.2 Website address: | | | | | |
| | https://natcen.ac.uk/ | | | | | |
| 2 Funding | | | | | | |
| Country's funding | 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies | | | | | |
| agency/ies | Economic & Social Research Council | | | | | |
| | 2.2 Website address: | | | | | |
| | https://www.ukri.org/councils/esrc/ | | | | | |
| Grant number(s) | 2.3 Grant number(s) | | | | | |
| connected to the funding agency/ies | FWRECR17109ESRC | | | | | |
| 3 Main Data Col | lection | | | | | |
| | 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: | | | | | |
| | NatCen Social Reseach | | | | | |
| | 3.2 Website address: | | | | | |
| | https://natcen.ac.uk/ | | | | | |
| | 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) | | | | | |
| | From: 15/08/2021 To: 02/09/2022 | | | | | |
| | 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) | | | | | |
| | Face to face: X Video: X | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? | | | | | |
| | Skype Zoom | | | | | |
| | Microsoft Teams | | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | |
| | 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: | | | | | |
| | English 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | \square | | | | |
| | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | | | | | |
| An opt-out list is a register of individuals | | | | | | |
| which have officially opted out of participating | 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | _ | | | | |
| in surveys. | Before the sample is drawn | | | | | |
| For more information see ESS10 Data | After the sample has been drawn | | | | | |
| Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA). | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its u | se: | | | | |
| | 3.9 Characteristics of the sample | | | | | |
| | Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 5,885 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1,094 | | | | | |
| | Number of valid video interviews: 55 | | | | | |
| | Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 8 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | Number of sample units not contacted at all: 364 |
|--|--|
| | 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: |
| | Included in full |
| | Included subset |
| | 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: |
| | 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? |
| | 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: |
| | 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD |
| | 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: |
| 4 Pre-Test Data | Collection |
| | 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data: |
| | Same as for main data collection 🛛 |
| | Or; write in: |
| | Name: |
| | Website address: |
| | 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY) |
| | From: 26/05//21 To: 23/06/21 |
| | 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews: |
| | Face to face: Number: 31 |
| | Video: Number: |
| | Other: |
| | 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? |
| | tape recording of interviews |
| | video recording of interviews |
| | 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply) |
| | Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct |
| | Check layout of CAPI programming and routing |
| | Check functionality of the survey tool suite |
| | Check the translations |
| | Cognitive testing of survey questions |
| | Other: |
| | 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? |
| 5 Contact Form | |
| Type of contact form | 5.1 What type of contact form was used |
| used. Indicate which ESS | Standard ESS contact form As is Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) |
| form was used, with or without adaptation | Individual (no respondent selection) |
| | Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection |
| | Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection |
| | Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection |
| | Address – Random CAPI respondent selection Image: Comparison of the comp |
| | |

| | 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? | | | | | | | |
| 6 Interviewer Selection | | | | | | | | |
| Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers | 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 233 What number of interviewers are free-lance interviewers: 233 | | | | | | | |
| | work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: n/a | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | What number of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | have worked on previous ESS rounds: 59 | | | | | | | |
| | have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: | | | | | | | |
| | have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: | | | | | | | |
| | 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video |) interviews: 5 | | | | | | |
| | The interviewers used for video interviews: | | | | | | | |
| | are a separate panel | | | | | | | |
| | overlap with the face to face interviewer | fieldforce | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 Payment of interviewers | | | | | | | |
| | Per completed interview | \boxtimes | | | | | | |
| | Per hours worked | | | | | | | |
| | A regular fixed salary | | | | | | | |
| | Per completed contact form/timely reporting | g of contact attempts | | | | | | |
| | Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) | | | | | | | |
| | Bonus payment scheme | Please specify: | | | | | | |
| | Other 🛛 | Please specify: admin fee per address | | | | | | |
| | 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? | | | | | | | |
| | Only face to face briefings | | | | | | | |
| | Only virtual briefings Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 7 Contact sched | ule, information for respondent | s, and response rate enhancing procedures | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 Call schedules, visits | | | | | | | |
| | Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 6 | | | | | | | |
| | Number of visits required to be on a week | | | | | | | |
| Only fort' | Number of visits required to be in the even | ning: 2 | | | | | | |
| Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone | 7.2 Call schedules, telephone Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): | | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be on a weekend: | | | | | | | |
| | Number of calls required to be in the evening: | | | | | | | |
| | 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet | | | | | | | |
| | eet made available to the sample units? | | | | | | | |
| | Mailed out with an advance letter | | | | | | | |
| | Made available by interviewer on doorstep | | | | | | | |

| | Other (please specify) | : | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----|----------------|---|
| | 7.4 Use of respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | |
| | No respondent incentives | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview | | | | | | | | |
| | | Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Please specify further details on your incentive scheme: £5 Love2Shop voucher included with advance letter. In addition, each interviewer had 2 x £25 gift vouchers they could use as conditional incentives at their discretion. In the last few months of fieldwork, due to low response, £25 was allowed for all cases. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | is conditional | |
| | 7.5 Re-issue strateg | ies | | | | | | | | |
| | Refusal conversion | | | | | | | | | |
| | Extending the number | of contact attempts (in case of non-cont | acts) | | | | | | | |
| | Using different intervie | ewers | | | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Other [| Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Use of additional | response enhancing measures | | | | | | | | |
| | Call contor | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| | Web perce | | | | | | | | | |
| | Web-pages | \boxtimes | | | | | | | | |
| | Other [| Please describe: | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Quality control | back-checks | | | | | | | | | |
| | Interviews Ineligible | | | | امع | | | | | |
| | | | | P | T | V | P | T | М | |
| | Number of units sele | cted for back-check: | | | 216 | | | | | |
| | Number of back-chec | cks conducted | | | 216 | | 58 | | 58 | |
| | Number of back-checks achieved: | | | | 216 | | | | | |
| | | re outcome was confirmed: re outcome was not confirmed: | | | | | 58 | | | |
| | | | | | | · | | 0.0 | I | • |
| | Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V) | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 Pagion | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Region | 9.1 Is your country p | art of the NUTS nomenclature or Euro | stat's | | | | | | | |
| For NUTS countries: Please document the | statistical regions fo | r EFTA and Candidate countries? | otut o | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| used NUTS level. Please see Statistical | If 9.1 ticked offl Please | e specify the NUTS level used for the red | nional v | /ariahle | | | | | | |
| regions in the | REGIONxx in the data | | Jonar | anabic | NUTS | level: 1 | | | | |
| European Union and partner countries | | | | | | | | | | |
| For all countries: | 9.2 Categories Value Label Value (cont.) | | | | | | hal (cant) | | | |
| Please list the categories in the | Value | | value | e (cont.) | | La | bel (cont.) | | | |
| variable REGIONxx in | | North East (England) North West (England) | | | | | | | | |
| the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries | UKE | Yorkshire and the Humber | | | | | | | | |
| not included in the NUTS nomenclature). | UKF | East Midlands (England) | | | | | | | | |
| Example: (official NUTS | UKG | West Midlands (England) | | | | | | | | |
| code and label) | UKH | East of England | | | | | | | | |
| Value: HR050 Label: Grad Zagreb | UKI | London | | | | | | | | |
| Lason. Crud Lugico | UKJ | South East (England) | | | | | | | | |
| | UKK | South West (England) | | | | | | | | |
| | UKL | Wales | | | | _ | | | | |
| | UKM UKN | Scotland | | | | | | | | |
| | | Northern Ireland | | | | | | | | |

| Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data | 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file: | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level | | | | | | | |
| If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative samples for each regional unit. | Suggested grouping of the regional categories: The sample design stipulated a representative sample of those aged 15+ in the UK. Given the varying population sizes across regions, the sample sizes in each region also vary. Owing to smaller sample sizes we do not recommend analysing by region. | | | | | | | |
| | 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) sinc round? | e the last ESS | | | | | | |
| | [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: | | | | | | | |
| 10 Occupation c | oding and procedures | | | | | | | |
| Please indicate how the | 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): | | | | | | | |
| ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national | First coded to a national classification <i>based on ISCO</i> and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | | |
| | First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 | | | | | | | |
| | No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 | | | | | | | |
| occupational variables used in the transition to | 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: | | | | | | | |
| ISCO08. | When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? | | | | | | | |
| | At the time of the interview | | | | | | | |
| | Later on | | | | | | | |
| | 10.3 Number of occupation coders: 9 | | | | | | | |
| | 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | | | | | | | |
| | 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | | | | | | | |
| | Was adjudication done | | | | | | | |
| | By sampling | \boxtimes | | | | | | |
| | On difficult cases | \boxtimes | | | | | | |
| | 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | \boxtimes | | | | | | |
| | 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): | | | | | | | |
| | After the job information coding files are recieved they are loaded into a coding tool, CASCOT. The coder go throigh each case and apply a SOC code leaving any difficult or hard to code questions as a 'No Conclusion' plus any where we don't have enough information to code. Once complete a CASCOT auto-coded file is provided to the Data Manager (DM). The DM processes the files and send back any that have been coded as a 'No Conclusion' plus 10% fo cases where the coder code and the CASCOT auto code do no tmatch for quality checking purposes. There are then quality checked and sent back to the DM for processing. | | | | | | | |