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Introduction

The ESS10-2020 Country Documentation Report contains Country reports, providing country specific information on data collector, funding agencies, sampling procedures, fieldwork procedures and other country specific study related materials in this round of The European Social Survey.

The report has eight Appendices available as separate documents. Appendix A1 contains documentation of the measurement of educational attainment, Appendix A2 documentation of the income measure, Appendix A3 documentation of political parties, and Appendix A4 documentation of legal marital and relationship status. Appendix A5 contains country by country population statistics, Appendix A6 contains documentation of classifications and standards used in the ESS10-2020 and Appendix A7 contains the ESS10 Codebook. Appendix A8 contains general information on the ESS Ancestry measure, as well as country specific information on showcards and mapping to the harmonised code frame.

The ESS Data Team at Sikt
# Austria

## 1 Data Depositor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The organisation depositing the data</th>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Institute for Advanced Studies (IHS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.2 Website address:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.ihs.ac.at">www.ihs.ac.at</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 2 Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country’s funding agency(ies)</th>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency(ies)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Austrian Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs, Health and Consumer Protection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.2 Website address:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.bmbwf.at">www.bmbwf.at</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.sozialministerium.at">www.sozialministerium.at</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.3 Grant number(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No grant number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 3 Main Data Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFES Institut für empirische Sozialforschung</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.2 Website address:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.ifes.at">www.ifes.at</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From: 30.08.21 To: 06.12.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.4 Mode of data collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Postal recruitment: ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldworker-assisted data collection: □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.5 Fieldworker tasks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final reminder</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes:
No clustering.

3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? ✗

3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? ❌

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?

Before the sample is drawn

After the sample has been drawn

3.11.2 [If 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

3.12 Characteristics of the sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals):</th>
<th>6000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire:</td>
<td>1279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire:</td>
<td>626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team):</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team):</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered):</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:

Included in full ✔

Included subset

3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: ❌

3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? ❌

3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD ❌

3.15.1 [If 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Visits for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY)

From:  
To:  

4.2 Number of pre-test visits

Number of sample units issued:

Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test:

Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit:

Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders:

5 Contact Form for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted approach used:

As is ❌

Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) ❌

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:
### 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures

#### 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the sample units?
- Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact with sample unit
- Other (please specify):

#### 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify:

#### 6.2 Use of respondent incentives

- Unconditional monetary incentives: cash **X**
- Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers
- Amount of unconditional monetary incentives:

- Conditional monetary incentives: cash
- Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers **X**
- Amount of conditional monetary incentives:

If other incentives used, please describe:

#### 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders

- Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?
- Please describe:

- Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?
- Please describe:

#### 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures

- Helpline **X**
- Web-pages **X**
- Other
  **Please describe:**

### 7 Data processing

#### 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire?
- Scan:
- Key **X**

#### 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way):
- Using Qualtrics

#### 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data

- Was the scanned/keyed data verified? **X**
- Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): 20%
8 Quality control back-checks

8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify:

---

9 Region

For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries.

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? [x] 

If 9.1 ticked off: Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:

NUTS level: 2

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AT11</td>
<td>Burgenland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT12</td>
<td>Niederösterreich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT13</td>
<td>Wien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT21</td>
<td>Kärnten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT22</td>
<td>Steiermark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT31</td>
<td>Oberösterreich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT32</td>
<td>Salzburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT33</td>
<td>Tirol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT34</td>
<td>Vorarlberg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

---

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

---

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round? [ ]

If 9.5 ticked off: Please describe:

---

10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08 [ ]

First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 [ ]

No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 [x]

10.2 Number of occupation coders: 2

10.3 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)? [x]

10.4 Was an adjudicator used? [x]

Was adjudication done

By sampling [ ]

On difficult cases [ ]

10.5 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? [x]
10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

job coder: use of catchphrases while typing the occupation; eg if someone types “account” the software suggests “accountant, certified; accountant, chartered; accountant, tax” etc.; however interviewers do not have to use one of the catchphrases but can enter other words and phrases

coding later on used a semiautomatic procedure which basically suggested codes for occupations that had been coded manually earlier; this procedure works with similarity measures to handle typos etc.

No occupation was coded automatically; all procedures only come up with suggestions, which have to be chosen actively
# Belgium

## 1 Data Depositor

*The organisation depositing the data*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor:</th>
<th>Institute for Social and Political Opinion Research, KU Leuven</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="https://soc.kuleuven.be/ceso/ispo">https://soc.kuleuven.be/ceso/ispo</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 2 Funding

*Country’s funding agency/ies*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies</th>
<th>Research Foundation - Flanders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="https://www.fwo.be/en/">https://www.fwo.be/en/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Grant number(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 3 Main Data Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:</th>
<th>Kantar Belgium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="https://www.kantar.be/">https://www.kantar.be/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)</th>
<th>From: 27/10/2021 To: 03/09/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)</th>
<th>Face to face: ☐ Video: ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft Teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Jitsi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:</th>
<th>Dutch and French</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | ☐ |

*An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys. For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).*

| 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | ☐ |

| 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? | ☐ |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 3.9 Characteristics of the sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals):</th>
<th>3531</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid interviews, face to face:</td>
<td>1325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid video interviews:</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 0
Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0

3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full
- Included subset

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?

3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD
- [If 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
- Same as for main data collection
- Or; write in:
  - Name:
  - Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
- From: 15/09/21
- To: 16/09/21

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
- Face to face: Number: 16
- Video: Number:
- Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
- Tape recording of interviews
- Video recording of interviews

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct
- Check layout of CAPI programming and routing
- Check functionality of the survey tool suite
- Check the translations
- Cognitive testing of survey questions
- Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic
- Other:

5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used
- Standard ESS contact form
- As is
- Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)
- Individual (no respondent selection)
- Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection
- Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection
- Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection
- Address – Random CAPI respondent selection
- Address – Birthday respondent selection
- Address – Rizzo respondent selection
### 6 Interviewer Selection

#### 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10

- **What number of interviewers**
  - ... are free-lance interviewers: 71
  - ... work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency): 20 (approximation)
- **Other, please specify:**

#### 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 9

The interviewers used for video interviews:
- ... are a separate panel
- ... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce

#### 6.2 Payment of interviewers

- **Per completed interview**
  - **Please specify:** 5 Euro bonus for each respondent recruited for CRONOS survey
- **Per hours worked**
- **A regular fixed salary**
- **Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts**
- **Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)**
- **Bonus payment scheme**
- **Other**

#### 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

- **Only face to face briefings**
- **Only virtual briefings**
- **Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings**

### 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

#### 7.1 Call schedules, visits

- **Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total:** 5
- **Number of visits required to be on a weekend:** 1
- **Number of visits required to be in the evening:** 1

#### 7.2 Call schedules, telephone

- **Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):**
- **Number of calls required to be on a weekend:**
- **Number of calls required to be in the evening:**

#### 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet

- **How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?**
  - Mailed out with an advance letter
  - Made available by interviewer on doorstep
7.4 Use of respondent incentives

- No respondent incentives
- Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview
- Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
- Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview
- Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:
Conditional non-monetary incentives were offered at a later stage of the fieldwork in order to increase the response rate.

7.5 Re-issue strategies

- Refusal conversion
- Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
- Using different interviewers
- Other

Please describe:

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

- Call-center
- Web-pages
- Other

Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

For NUTS countries:
Please document the used NUTS level.
Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries

For all countries:
Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature).
Example: (official NUTS code and label)
Value: HR050
Label: Grad Zagreb

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? □* [If 9.1 ticked off] Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:
NUTS level: 2

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BE10</td>
<td>Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE21</td>
<td>Prov. Antwerpen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE22</td>
<td>Prov. Limburg (BE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE23</td>
<td>Prov. Oost-Vlaanderen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE24</td>
<td>Prov. Vlaams-Brabant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE25</td>
<td>Prov. West-Vlaanderen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE31</td>
<td>Prov. Brabant wallon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE32</td>
<td>Prov. Hainaut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE33</td>
<td>Prov. Liège</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE34</td>
<td>Prov. Luxembourg (BE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE35</td>
<td>Prov. Namur</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 10 Occupation coding and procedures

#### 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

#### 10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

- When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?
  - At the time of the interview
  - Later on

- Number of occupation coders: 2

- Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?

- Was an adjudicator used?

- Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?

#### 10.3 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

The coders each coded one occupation question so as not to create blind spots in coding. These coders have been coding for many years, and are well trained in occupational coding. Coding of occupation is based on job title and main tasks, with the help of education where necessary to determine level. The industry might also be used. 10% of coding will be checked randomly by the adjudicator. In case of doubt, the ultimate code was also be determined by the adjudicator.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>1 Data Depositor</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The organisation depositing the data</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Name of Data depositor:</td>
<td>Institute of Philosophy and Sociology at BAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="https://ips-bas.org/institute/">https://ips-bas.org/institute/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 Funding</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country's funding agency/ies</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies</td>
<td>Bulgaria, Ministry of Education and Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="https://www.mon.bg">https://www.mon.bg</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Grant number(s)</td>
<td>Д01-281/17.12.2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3 Main Data Collection</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:</td>
<td>Institute of Philosophy and Sociology at BAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="https://ips-bas.org/institute">https://ips-bas.org/institute</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)</td>
<td>From: 28.06.21 30.09.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face to face:</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video:</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skype</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoom</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft Teams</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other:</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgarian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before the sample is drawn</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After the sample has been drawn</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9 Characteristics of the sample</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals):</td>
<td>3848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid interviews, face to face:</td>
<td>2720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid video interviews:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team):</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sample units not contacted at all:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full: yes
- Included subset: no

### 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:
- no

#### 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?
- No

#### 3.11.2 [If 3.11 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

### 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD
- no

#### 3.12.1 [If 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

### 4 Pre-Test Data Collection

#### 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
- Same as for main data collection: yes
- Or; write in:
  - Name: [Name]
  - Website address: [Website address]

#### 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
- From: 19.05.21
- To: 06.06.21

#### 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
- Face to face: yes
  - Number: 40
- Video: no
  - Number: 0
- Other: [Other]

#### 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
- Tape recording of interviews: no
- Video recording of interviews: no

#### 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct: yes
- Check layout of CAPI programming and routing: yes
- Check functionality of the survey tool suite: yes
- Check the translations: yes
- Cognitive testing of survey questions: yes
- Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic: yes
- Other: [Other]

#### 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test?
- yes

### 5 Contact Form

#### 5.1 What type of contact form was used
- Standard ESS contact form As is: [As is]
- Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor): [Adapted]

- Individual (no respondent selection): [Individual]
- Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection: [Dwelling]
- Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection: [Dwelling]
- Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection: [Dwelling]
- Address – Random CAPI respondent selection: [Address]
- Address – Birthday respondent selection: [Address]
- Address – Rizzo respondent selection: [Address]

#### 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:

---
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### 6 Interviewer Selection

**Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10</th>
<th>121</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What number of interviewers</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... are free-lance interviewers:</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency):</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, please specify:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews:</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The interviewers used for video interviews:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... are a separate panel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**6.2 Payment of interviewers**

- Per completed interview: yes
- Per hours worked: no
- A regular fixed salary: no
- Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts: yes
- Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance): yes
- Bonus payment scheme: no
- Other payment schemes: no

**6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?**

- Only face to face briefings: yes
- Only virtual briefings: no
- Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings: no

### 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.1 Call schedules, visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be on a weekend:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be in the evening:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.2 Call schedules, telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be on a weekend:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be in the evening:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**7.3 GDPR Information Sheet**

How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?

- Mailed out with an advance letter: no
- Made available by interviewer on doorstep: yes
- Other (please specify): |

**7.4 Use of respondent incentives**
No respondent incentives
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview - yes
Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview -
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview -
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview -
Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

7.5 Re-issue strategies
Refusal conversion -
Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts) - yes
Using different interviewers - yes
Other -
Please describe:

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures
Call-center -
Web-pages -
Other -
Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

For NUTS countries:
Please document the used NUTS level.
Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries

For all countries:
Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature).
Example: (official NUTS code and label)
Value: HR050
Label: Grad Zagreb

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?
[ ] yes
[f 9.1 ticked off] Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:
NUTS level: 3

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG311</td>
<td>Vidin</td>
<td>BG341</td>
<td>Burgas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG312</td>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>BG342</td>
<td>Silven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG314</td>
<td>Pleven</td>
<td>BG343</td>
<td>Yambol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG315</td>
<td>Lovech</td>
<td>BG344</td>
<td>Stara Zagora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG321</td>
<td>Veliko Tarnovo</td>
<td>BG411</td>
<td>Sofia (stolitsa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG322</td>
<td>Gabrovo</td>
<td>BG412</td>
<td>Sofia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG323</td>
<td>Ruse</td>
<td>BG413</td>
<td>Blagoevgrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG324</td>
<td>Razgrad</td>
<td>BG414</td>
<td>Pernik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG325</td>
<td>Silistra</td>
<td>BG415</td>
<td>Kyustendil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG331</td>
<td>Varna</td>
<td>BG421</td>
<td>Plovdiv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG332</td>
<td>Dobrich</td>
<td>BG422</td>
<td>Haskovo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG333</td>
<td>Shumen</td>
<td>BG423</td>
<td>Pazardzhik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG334</td>
<td>Targovishte</td>
<td>BG424</td>
<td>Smolyan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BG425</td>
<td>Kardzhali</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level  yes

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?  no

[if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived.

In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

At the time of the interview  no
Later on  yes

10.3 Number of occupation coders:  1

10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) no

10.5 Was an adjudicator used?  no

Was adjudication done

- By sampling  no
- On difficult cases  no

10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?  no

10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

Manual coding and double-checking done by an experienced researcher.
### 1 Data Depositor

The organisation depositing the data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor:</th>
<th>Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Zagreb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="https://web2020.ffzg.unizg.hr/">https://web2020.ffzg.unizg.hr/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2 Funding

Country’s funding agency/ies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies</th>
<th>Ministry of Science and Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="https://mzo.gov.hr/">https://mzo.gov.hr/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.3 Grant number(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 3 Main Data Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:</th>
<th>Ipsos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ipsos.com/en-hr">https://www.ipsos.com/en-hr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)</td>
<td>From: 05.05.21 To: 16.11.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)</td>
<td>Face to face: ☒ Video: ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?</td>
<td>Spotify ☐ Zoom ☐ Microsoft Teams ☒ Other:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:</td>
<td>Croatian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys.

For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?</th>
<th>☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?</td>
<td>Before the sample is drawn ☐ After the sample has been drawn ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.9 Characteristics of the sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid video interviews: 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:

- Included in full [X]
- Included subset [ ]

### 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:

- [ ]

### 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?

- [ ]

### 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

- [ ]

### 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD

- [ ]

### 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4 Pre-Test Data Collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same as for main data collection [X]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or; write in:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website address:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)

- From: 20.03.21  
- To: 28.03.21

### 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:

- Face to face: [X]  
- Number: 64
- Video: [X]  
- Number: 10
- Other: [ ]

### 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?

- Tape recording of interviews [ ]  
- Video recording of interviews [ ]

### 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)

- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct [X]
- Check layout of CAPI programming and routing [X]
- Check functionality of the survey tool suite [ ]
- Check the translations [X]
- Cognitive testing of survey questions [ ]
- Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic [X]
- Other: [ ]

### 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test?

- [X]

### 5 Contact Form

#### 5.1 What type of contact form was used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of contact form used</th>
<th>As is</th>
<th>Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual (no respondent selection)</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[X]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Random CAPI respondent selection</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Birthday respondent selection</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Rizzo respondent selection</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: Standard fields from FWO used in addition with fields from ESS standard Contact form
5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used?  

6 Interviewer Selection

**Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers**

### 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10

- **179**
  - are free-lance interviewers: 179
  - work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency): 160

**Other, please specify:**

### 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews

- **27**
  - are a separate panel
  - overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce

### 6.2 Payment of interviewers

- Per completed interview
- Per hours worked
- A regular fixed salary
- Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts
- Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)
- Bonus payment scheme
  - Please specify:
- Other
  - Please specify:

### 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

- Only face to face briefings
- Only virtual briefings
- Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings

7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

### 7.1 Call schedules, visits

- Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: **4**
- Number of visits required to be on a weekend: **1**
- Number of visits required to be in the evening: **1**

**Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone**

### 7.2 Call schedules, telephone

- Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):
- Number of calls required to be on a weekend:
- Number of calls required to be in the evening:

### 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet

- How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?
  - Mailed out with an advance letter
  - Made available by interviewer on doorstep
  - Other (please specify):

### 7.4 Use of respondent incentives
No respondent incentives

Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview

Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview

Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview

Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:
Each respondents at the end of an interview got an voucher from drogerie shop with about 6,5 euros

7.5 Re-issue strategies

Refusal conversion
Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
Using different interviewers

Other Please describe:

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

Call-center
Web-pages

Other Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR021</td>
<td>Bjelovarsko-bilogorska županija</td>
<td>HR036</td>
<td>Istarska županija</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR022</td>
<td>Virovitičko-podravska županija</td>
<td>HR037</td>
<td>Dubrovačko-neretvanska županija</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR023</td>
<td>Požežko-slavonska županija</td>
<td>HR050</td>
<td>Grad Zagreb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR024</td>
<td>Brodsko-posavska županija</td>
<td>HR061</td>
<td>Međimurska županija</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR025</td>
<td>Osječko-baranjska županija</td>
<td>HR062</td>
<td>Varaždinska županija</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR026</td>
<td>Vukovarsko-srijemska županija</td>
<td>HR063</td>
<td>Koprivničko-križevačka županija</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR027</td>
<td>Karlovačka županija</td>
<td>HR064</td>
<td>Krapinsko-zagorska županija</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR028</td>
<td>Sisačko-moslavačka županija</td>
<td>HR065</td>
<td>Zagrebačka županija</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR031</td>
<td>Primorsko-goranska županija</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR032</td>
<td>Ličko-senjska županija</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR033</td>
<td>Zadarska županija</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR034</td>
<td>Šibensko-kninska županija</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR035</td>
<td>Štajersko-savinjska županija</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data
### 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative samples for each regional unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suggested grouping of the regional categories:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

#### 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

| First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08 |
| First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 |
| No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 |

#### 10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

- At the time of the interview
- Later on

#### 10.3 Number of occupation coders:

| 2 |

#### 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?

| |

#### 10.5 Was an adjudicator used?

| |

| Was adjudication done |
| By sampling |
| On difficult cases |

#### 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?

| |

#### 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):
## 1 Data Depositor

**The organisation depositing the data**

### 1.1 Name of Data depositor:
University of Centre for Field Studies, University of Cyprus

### 1.2 Website address:
https://ucyweb.ucy.ac.cy/pakepe/en/

## 2 Funding

### 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies
Deputy Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digital Policy

### 2.2 Website address:

### 2.3 Grant number(s)
N/A

## 3 Main Data Collection

### 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:
University of Centre for Field Studies, University of Cyprus

### 3.2 Website address:
https://ucyweb.ucy.ac.cy/pakepe/en/

### 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)
From: 09.03.22  To: 19.08.22

### 3.4 Mode of data collection
- **Postal recruitment:** ✔
- **Fieldworker-assisted data collection:** ✗

If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used:
Cyprus Post, https://www.cypruspost.post/

### 3.5 Fieldworker tasks
If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed:
We have used fieldworkers at the end of data collection to pursue responses only in four districts (Limassol, Larnaka, Pafos and Ammochostos), We have visited around 500 cases, so collection fieldwork was not our main data collection method.

### 3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire)
We send one invitation and 3 reminder letters.

#### 3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included?
In our main sample we have send the paper questionnaire in the 3rd mailing, that's 2nd reminder along with paper questionnaire. For our reserve sample we have mailed the paper questionnaire along with invitation letter.

#### 3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted?
Due to time restrictions and public holidays(Easter) our mailing timings were slightly different from recommended timings: MAIN SAMPLE +7, +13, +23, RESERVE SAMPLE +18, +10, +14.

### 3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:
Greek

### 3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents?
N/A

### 3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach?
- ✔

#### 3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes:
Our sample size for f2f collection was 2250 (main 2050+200 reserve), while for self-completion mode was 6040 (5500 main+ 540 reserve).
### 3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### 3.11.1 [if 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?

- Before the sample is drawn
- After the sample has been drawn

#### 3.11.2 [if 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

| n/a |

### 3.12 Characteristics of the sample

- Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 6040 (5500 main sample + 540 reserve sample)
- Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 586
- Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 289
- Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 69
- Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 10
- Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 4809

### 3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:

- Included in full
- Included subset

### 3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:

- [ ]

#### 3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?

- [ ]

#### 3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

| n/a |

### 3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD

- [ ]

#### 3.15.1 [if 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

| n/a |

### 4 Pre-Test Visits for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

#### 4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY)

| From: n/a | To: n/a |

#### 4.2 Number of pre-test visits

- Number of sample units issued: n/a
- Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test: n/a
- Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit: n/a
- Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders: n/a

### 5 Contact Form for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

#### 5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted approach used:

- As is
- [ ] Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)

#### 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: Fieldwork approach was not our main data method for delivery of letters.
### 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures

#### 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the sample units?
- Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact with sample unit
- Other (please specify):

#### 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify:
- No

#### 6.2 Use of respondent incentives
- Unconditional monetary incentives: cash
- Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers
- Amount of unconditional monetary incentives:
  - 5 euro electric appliances
- Conditional monetary incentives: cash
- Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers
- Amount of conditional monetary incentives:
  - 15 euro (10 euro gas, bakery and super-market + 5 euro electric appliances)
- If other incentives used, please describe:
  - No

#### 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders
- Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?
- Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?
  - Yes
  - Please describe: We have used fieldworkers at the end of data collection to pursue responses only in four districts (Limasol, Larnaka, Pafos and Ammochostos). We have visited around 500 cases, so collection fieldwork was not our main data collection method.

#### 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures
- Helpline
- Web-pages
- Other
  - Please describe:

### 7 Data processing

#### 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire?
- Scan:
- Key:

#### 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way):
- We have entered responses into Qualtrics.

#### 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data
- Was the scanned/keyed data verified?
- Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): ALL paper questionnaires were checked.
8 Quality control back-checks

8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify:

No

9 Region

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

[ ] Yes [x] No

[9.1 ticked off] Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:

NUTS level:

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CY011</td>
<td>Urban Nicosia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY012</td>
<td>Rural Nicosia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY021</td>
<td>Urban Limasol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY022</td>
<td>Rural Limasol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY031</td>
<td>Urban Larnaka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY032</td>
<td>Rural Larnaka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY041</td>
<td>Urban Pafos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY042</td>
<td>Rural Pafos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY052</td>
<td>Rural Ammochostos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

n/a

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

[ ] Yes [x] No

Suggested grouping of the regional categories: District and area of residence (rural and urban)

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[ ] Yes [x] No

[if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

10 Occupation coding and procedures

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

[ ] Yes [x] No

10.2 Number of occupation coders:

2

10.3 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?

[ ] Yes [x] No

10.4 Was an adjudicator used?

[ ] Yes [x] No

Was adjudication done

- By sampling
- On difficult cases

10.5 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?

[ ] Yes [x] No

10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

When coding occupations, it was helpful to draw on additional information that enables the occupational activity to be classified as precisely as possible. In some cases without this supplementary information, some details cannot be coded completely, or at all. When coding occupations, use be made of the following variables: Status in employment, Sector, Self-employment and sometimes educational level. The question about self-employment used to distinguish between the owner and the manager of a business.
# Czechia

## 1 Data Depositor

The organisation depositing the data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor:</th>
<th>Institute of Sociology CAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.soc.cas.cz">www.soc.cas.cz</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 2 Funding

Country's funding agency/ies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies</th>
<th>Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports, Czech Republic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.msmt.cz">www.msmt.cz</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies</td>
<td>LM2018138, LTT20011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 3 Main Data Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:</th>
<th>ppm factum research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.factum.cz">www.factum.cz</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)</td>
<td>From: 7 July 21 To: 29 September 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)</td>
<td>Face to face: yes Video:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 [If 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?</td>
<td>Skype, Zoom, Microsoft Teams, Other:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:</td>
<td>Czech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An opt-out list is a register of individuals who have officially opted out of participating in surveys. For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.8.1 [If 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?</td>
<td>Before the sample is drawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8.2 [If 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.9 Characteristics of the sample

- Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): **3402**
- Number of valid interviews, face to face: **2477**
- Number of valid video interviews: **0**
- Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): **0**
- Number of sample units not contacted at all: **12**

| 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire: | Included subset |
3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:  
3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?  
3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:  
3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD  
3.12.1 [If 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:  

4 Pre-Test Data Collection  
4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:  
Same as for main data collection  Yes  
Or; write in:  
Name:  
Website address:  
4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)  
From: 17 June 21  To: 24 June 21  
4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:  
Face to face:  Yes  Number: 30  
Video:  
Other:  
4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?  
tape recording of interviews  No  
video recording of interviews  No  
4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)  
Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct  ☒  
Check layout of CAPI programming and routing  ☒  
Check functionality of the survey tool suite  ☒  
Check the translations  ☒  
Cognitive testing of survey questions  ☒  
Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic  ☒  
Other:  
4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test?  ☒  

5 Contact Form  
5.1 What type of contact form was used  
Standard ESS contact form  As is  Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)  
Individual (no respondent selection)  ☐  ☐  
Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection  ☐  ☐  
Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection  ☐  ☒  
Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection  ☐  ☐  
Address – Random CAPI respondent selection  ☐  ☐  
Address – Birthday respondent selection  ☐  ☐  
Address – Rizzo respondent selection  ☐  ☐  
5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:  
5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used?  No
6 Interviewer Selection

6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 219

What number of interviewers

... are free-lance interviewers: 219

... work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: don’t know

Other, please specify:

What number of interviewers

... have worked on previous ESS rounds: 200

... have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before:

... have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever:

6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews:

The interviewers used for video interviews:

... are a separate panel

... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce

6.2 Payment of interviewers

Per completed interview

Per hours worked

A regular fixed salary

Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts

Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)

Bonus payment scheme

Please specify:

Other

Please specify:

6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

Only face to face briefings

Only virtual briefings

Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings

7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

7.1 Call schedules, visits

Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4

Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1

Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone

7.2 Call schedules, telephone

Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):

Number of calls required to be on a weekend:

Number of calls required to be in the evening:

7.3 GDPR Information Sheet

How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?

Mailed out with an advance letter

Made available by interviewer on doorstep

Other (please specify):

7.4 Use of respondent incentives

No respondent incentives

Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview

Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview

Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

7.5 Re-issue strategies

Refusal conversion

Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)

Using different interviewers

Other  Please describe:

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

Call-center  Please describe:

Web-pages  Please describe:

Other  Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of units selected for back-check: 990
Number of back-checks conducted: 909
Number of back-checks achieved: 909
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 909
Number of units where outcome was not confirmed: 0

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

[ ] Yes  [x] No

If 9.1 ticked off Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file: NUTS level: 3

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CZ010</td>
<td>Hlavní město Praha</td>
<td>CZ080</td>
<td>Moravskoslezský kraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ020</td>
<td>Středočeský kraj</td>
<td>CZ031</td>
<td>Jihočeský kraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ032</td>
<td>Plzeňský kraj</td>
<td>CZ041</td>
<td>Karlovarský kraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ042</td>
<td>Ústecký kraj</td>
<td>CZ051</td>
<td>Liberecký kraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ052</td>
<td>Královéhradecký kraj</td>
<td>CZ053</td>
<td>Pardubický kraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ063</td>
<td>Kraj Vysočina</td>
<td>CZ064</td>
<td>Jihomoravský kraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ071</td>
<td>Olomoucký kraj</td>
<td>CZ072</td>
<td>Zlínský kraj</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

[ ] Yes  [ ] No

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[ ] Yes [ ] No

If 9.5 ticked off Please describe:
### 10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived.

In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

**10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Choice</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Marked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**10.2 Occupation coding procedures:**

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Choice</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At the time of the interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>Later on</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**10.3 Number of occupation coders:**

3

**10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?**

No

**10.5 Was an adjudicator used?**

Yes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Choice</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>By sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>On difficult cases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?**

No

**10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):**

Not only 4 digit codes (ISCO) or 2 digit codes (NACE) were used when coding, but more detailed 5 digit (ISCO) / 4 digit (NACE) codes were ready for checking in case of difficulties while coding. Very simple non-automatic check - occupation X role at work (F33-F34) was done.
### 1 Data Depositor

**The organisation depositing the data**

1.1 Name of Data depositor: University of Tartu

1.2 Website address: www.ut.ee

### 2 Funding

**Country's funding agency/ies**

2.1 Name of funding agency/ies
University of Tartu, Ministry of Social Affairs, Ministry of Science and Education, TallTech

2.2 Website address:

2.3 Grant number(s)
2016-2020.4.01.16-0122

### 3 Main Data Collection

3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:
Statistics Estonia

3.2 Website address:
www.stat.ee

3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)
From: 07.06.21 To: 31.12.21

3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)
- Face to face: ☒
- Video: ☒

3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?
- Skype ☒
- Zoom ☒
- Microsoft Teams ☐
- Other:

3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:
- Estonian
- Russian

3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork?

3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?

3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?
- Before the sample is drawn ☐
- After the sample has been drawn ☐

3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

### 3.9 Characteristics of the sample

- Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3300
- Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1302
- Number of valid video interviews: 240
- Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 8
4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:

Same as for main data collection ☒

Or; write in:

Name:

Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)

From: 25.05.20  To: 07.06.21

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:

Face to face: ☒ Number: 30

Video: ☒ Number: 50

Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?

tape recording of interviews ☐

video recording of interviews ☐

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)

Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct ☒

Check layout of CAPI programming and routing ☐

Check functionality of the survey tool suite ☐

Check the translations ☐

Cognitive testing of survey questions ☐

Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic ☒

Other:

5 Contact Form

Type of contact form used.
Indicate which ESS form was used, with or without adaptation

5.1 What type of contact form was used

Standard ESS contact form ☐

As is ☒

Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)

Individual (no respondent selection) ☐

Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection ☐

Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection ☐

Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection ☐

Address – Random CAPI respondent selection ☐

Address – Birthday respondent selection ☐

Address – Rizzo respondent selection ☐
### 6 Interviewer Selection

**Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers**

#### 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 53

**What number of interviewers**

... are free-lance interviewers: 0

... work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency): 99

Other, please specify:

**What number of interviewers**

... have worked on previous ESS rounds: 32

... have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 4

... have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 7

#### 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 8

The interviewers used for video interviews:

... are a separate panel ☒

... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce ☐

#### 6.2 Payment of interviewers

- Per completed interview ☒
- Per hours worked ☐
- A regular fixed salary ☐
- Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts ☐
- Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) ☐

Bonus payment scheme ☐ Please specify: 

Other ☐ Please specify: 

#### 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

- Only face to face briefings ☐
- Only virtual briefings ☒
- Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings ☐

### 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

#### 7.1 Call schedules, visits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be on a weekend</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be in the evening</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone

#### 7.2 Call schedules, telephone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be on a weekend</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be in the evening</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet

How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?

- Mailed out with an advance letter ☒
- Made available by interviewer on doorstep ☐
- Other (please specify): Also on web
7.4 Use of respondent incentives

- No respondent incentives
- Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview
- Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
- Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview
- Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:
Voucher for a journal

7.5 Re-issue strategies

- Refusal conversion
- Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
- Using different interviewers
- Other

Please describe: The choice of a strategy was dependent on case and regional resources.

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

- Call-center
- Web-pages
- Other

Please describe: Media coverage of ESS results and survey process - 2 times per month during the fieldwork period.

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>1333</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

For NUTS countries:
Please document the used NUTS level.
Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

If 9.1 ticked off Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:
NUTS level: 3

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EE00A</td>
<td>Kirde-Eesti</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE008</td>
<td>Lõuna-Eesti</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE009</td>
<td>Kesk-Eesti</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE001</td>
<td>Põhja-Eesti</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE004</td>
<td>Lääne-Eesti</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 10 Occupation coding and procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Option 1</th>
<th>Option 2</th>
<th>Option 3</th>
<th>Option 4</th>
<th>Option 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggested grouping of the regional categories:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Occupation coding and procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2 Occupation coding procedures:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the time of the interview</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Later on</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3 Number of occupation coders:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5 Was an adjudicator used?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was adjudication done</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By sampling</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On difficult cases</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):</td>
<td>3 very experienced coders do all the work. In case of difficult cases they consult with each other.</td>
<td>3 very experienced coders do all the work. In case of difficult cases they consult with each other.</td>
<td>3 very experienced coders do all the work. In case of difficult cases they consult with each other.</td>
<td>3 very experienced coders do all the work. In case of difficult cases they consult with each other.</td>
<td>3 very experienced coders do all the work. In case of difficult cases they consult with each other.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 1 Data Depositor

The organisation depositing the data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor:</th>
<th>Statistics Finland (Tilastokeskus)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.stat.fi">www.stat.fi</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2 Funding

Country's funding agency/ies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency</th>
<th>Academy of Finland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.aka.fi">www.aka.fi</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies

| 2.3 Grant number(s)       | 328218                          |

### 3 Main Data Collection

Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:</th>
<th>Statistics Finland (Tilastokeskus)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Website address:

| 3.2 Website address: | www.stat.fi |

Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)

| From: 31.08.2021 | To: 31.01.2022 |

Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)

| Face to face: | ☒ |
| Video:        | ☒ |

Which tool was used for video interview?

| Skype | ☐ |
| Zoom  | ☐ |
| Microsoft Teams | ☒ |
| Other: | |

Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:

| Finnish, Swedish |

Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork?

| ☐ |

An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys.

For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).

Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?

| ☒ |

When does the opt-out list take effect?

| Before the sample is drawn | ☒ |
| After the sample has been drawn | ☐ |

Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?

Include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

People with a security classification are excluded from the sampling frame as well as people who have responded to large surveys conducted by Statistics Finland within the last 3 years.
### 3.9 Characteristics of the sample

Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3,900

Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1,577

Number of valid video interviews: 240

Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 4

Number of sample units not contacted at all: 454

### 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:

- Included in full
- Included subset

### 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:

- [ ]

### 3.11.1 (If 3.11 ticked off) Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?

- [ ]

### 3.11.2 (If 3.11.1 not ticked off) Please specify if not approved by HQ:

- [ ]

### 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD

- [ ]

### 3.12.1 (If 3.12 ticked off) Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

- Post-stratification, (design weights) weighting by gender, age group, education, language, region as well as degree of urbanisation.

### 4. Pre-Test Data Collection

#### 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:

- Same as for main data collection
- Or; write in:
  - Name:
  - Website address:

#### 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)

- From: 18.08.2021
- To: 31.08.2021

#### 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:

- Face to face: [ ] Number: 220
- Video: [ ] Number: 40
- Other:

#### 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?

- Tape recording of interviews
- Video recording of interviews

#### 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)

- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct
- Check layout of CAPI programming and routing
- Check functionality of the survey tool suite
- Check the translations
- Cognitive testing of survey questions
- Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic
- Other: Familiarize the interviewers with the questionnaire to ensure a smooth start for the interviews

#### 4.6 (If 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off) Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test?

- [ ]
### 5 Contact Form

**Type of contact form used.**

*Indicate which ESS form was used, with or without adaptation*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of contact form used</th>
<th>As is</th>
<th>Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual (no respondent selection)</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Random CAPI respondent selection</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Birthday respondent selection</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Rizzo respondent selection</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: The following questions were not applicable in Finland since the information was obtained from the sample: Interviewer’s estimation of age of target and Interviewer’s estimation of gender of target.

### 6 Interviewer Selection

**Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers**

6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10: 147

**What number of interviewers**

- … are free-lance interviewers: 0
- … work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency: 147

Other, please specify:

**What number of interviewers**

- … have worked on previous ESS rounds: 100
- … have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 142
- … have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 0

6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 20

The interviewers used for video interviews:

- … are a separate panel
- … overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce

6.2 Payment of interviewers

Per completed interview

Per hours worked

A regular fixed salary

Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts

Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)

Bonus payment scheme Please specify:

Other Please specify:

6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

- Only face to face briefings
- Only virtual briefings
- Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings
### 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

#### 7.1 Call schedules, visits
- Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
- Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
- Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

**Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone**

#### 7.2 Call schedules, telephone
- Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): 4
- Number of calls required to be on a weekend: 1
- Number of calls required to be in the evening: 1

#### 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet
How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?
- Mailed out with an advance letter [x]
- Made available by interviewer on doorstep [ ]
- Other (please specify):

#### 7.4 Use of respondent incentives
- No respondent incentives [ ]
- Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview [ ]
- Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview [ ]
- Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview [x]
- Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview [x]

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

Attachable soft reflectors to all respondents (delivered inside the advance letter envelope). In addition to that, the respondents who completed the interview received a statistical pocketbook and took part to a lottery of a grocery store voucher of EUR 300.

#### 7.5 Re-issue strategies
- Refusal conversion [ ]
- Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts) [x]
- Using different interviewers [x]
- Other [ ]

Please describe:

#### 7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures
- Call-center [ ]
- Web-pages [x]
- Other [x]

Please describe:
A cardboard card asking the respondent to contact the interviewer about a survey conducted by Statistics Finland. The card could be sent by post with a reminder letter or delivered to the respondent’s address personally.
8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>317</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted:</td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries.

For all countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONNxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature).

Example: (official NUTS code and label)
Value: HR050 Label: Grad Zagreb

Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? NUT

[N] [Y]

If 9.1 ticked off, please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONFI in the data file:

NUTS level: 3

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F11B1</td>
<td>Helsinki-Uusimaa</td>
<td>F195</td>
<td>Ostrobothnia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F11C1</td>
<td>Varsinais-Suomi</td>
<td>F195</td>
<td>Central Ostrobothnia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1196</td>
<td>Satakunta</td>
<td>F1D5</td>
<td>North Ostrobothnia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F11C2</td>
<td>Kanta-Häme</td>
<td>F1D4</td>
<td>Kainuu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1197</td>
<td>Pirkanmaa</td>
<td>F1D7</td>
<td>Lapland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F11C3</td>
<td>Päijät-Häme</td>
<td>F200</td>
<td>Aland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F11C4</td>
<td>Kymenlaakso</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F11C5</td>
<td>South Karelia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F11D1</td>
<td>South Savo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F11D2</td>
<td>North Savo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F11D3</td>
<td>North Karelia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1193</td>
<td>Central Finland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1194</td>
<td>South Ostrobothnia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

[N]

Suggested grouping of the regional categories: NUTS3, NUTS2. In both cases F1200 (Åland) should be combined with F11C1 (Varsinais-Suomi) due to low number of observations.

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONFI) since the last ESS round?

[ ]

If 9.5 ticked off, please describe:
## 10 Occupation coding and procedures

### 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

- First coded to a national classification **based on ISCO** and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

### 10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

- At the time of the interview
- Later on

### 10.3 Number of occupation coders: 147 + 2

### 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) ☒

### 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? ☒

Was adjudication done?

- By sampling ☐
- On difficult cases ☒

### 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? ☒

### 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

Interviewers coded the occupations into the 5-digit ISCO 08 during the interview. The post coding procedures (coding the unknown cases and converting national 5-digit codes into 4-digit) were done after composing the SAS data set. The FW team conducted a post-coding of unclear occupation classifications after the active FW period had ended.
### 1 Data Depositor

**The organisation depositing the data**

1.1 Name of Data depositor: Agnalys Michaud

1.2 Website address: agnalys.michaud@sciencespo.fr

### 2 Funding

**Country’s funding agency/ies**

2.1 Name of funding agency/ies

IR* Progedo

2.2 Website address: https://www.progedo.fr/

### 3 Main Data Collection

3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:

Kantar France

3.2 Website address: https://www.kantar.com/fr

3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)

From: 23/08/2021 To: 31/12/2021

3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)

- Face to face: ✔
- Video: ✔

3.5 [If 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?

- Skype
- Zoom
- Microsoft Teams
- Other: Developed by Kantar

3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:

- French

3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork?

- ✔

3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?

- ✔

3.8.1 [If 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?

- Before the sample is drawn
- After the sample has been drawn

3.8.2 [If 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

- An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys.

For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).

3.9 Characteristics of the sample

- Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 6687
- Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1933
- Number of valid video interviews: 44
- Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 0
- Number of sample units not contacted at all: 1396 (reserves not used). All the sample units opened were contacted (with 4 contacts attempts)
3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full
- Included subset

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?

3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD
- INSEE weight

4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
- Same as for main data collection
- Or; write in:
  - Name:
  - Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (15.06.21)
- From: 22/06/21
- To: 30/06/21

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
- Face to face: Number: 48
- Video: Number: 10
- Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
- Tape recording of interviews
- Video recording of interviews

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct
- Check layout of CAPI programming and routing
- Check functionality of the survey tool suite
- Check the translations
- Cognitive testing of survey questions
- Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic
- Other:

4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test?

5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used
- Standard ESS contact form
- Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)
  - Individual (no respondent selection)
  - Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection
  - Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection
  - Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection
  - Address – Random CAPI respondent selection
  - Address – Birthday respondent selection
  - Address – Rizzo respondent selection

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:
5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used?  

6 Interviewer Selection

**Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10</th>
<th>121</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What number of interviewers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... are free-lance interviewers:</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency):</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, please specify:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The interviewers used for video interviews:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... are a separate panel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.2 Payment of interviewers</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per completed interview</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per hours worked</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A regular fixed salary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonus payment scheme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please specify:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please specify: Travel package (not depending on the distance done)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Only face to face briefings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only virtual briefings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

7.1 Call schedules, visits

| Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: | 4   |
| Number of visits required to be on a weekend:                          | 1   |
| Number of visits required to be in the evening:                        | 1   |

7.2 Call schedules, telephone

| Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):            |     |
| Number of calls required to be on a weekend:                          |     |
| Number of calls required to be in the evening:                        |     |

7.3 GDPR Information Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How was the GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mailed out with an advance letter</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Made available by interviewer on doorstep</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.4 Use of respondent incentives
No respondent incentives

Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview

Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview

Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview

Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:
Incentives have been used only at the end of the fieldwork to encourage participation and interview completion for categories clearly underrepresented in the sample (young people, people with low income)

7.5 Re-issue strategies

Refusal conversion
Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
Using different interviewers

Other

Please describe:

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

Call-center
Web-pages

Other

Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check: 504</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

A backcheck revealed that the interviewee was not the person selected but his wife. The interview was kept because the person had already been integrated into CRONOS 2 and contacted for W0 when we became aware of it.

9 Region

For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level.

For all countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature).

Example: (official NUTS code and label)
Value: HR050
Label: Grad Zagreb

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

If 9.1 ticked off Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:
NUTS level: 2

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRH1</td>
<td>AQUITAINE</td>
<td>FRJ1</td>
<td>LANGUEDOC-ROUSSILLON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRD1</td>
<td>BASSE-NORMANDIE</td>
<td>FRJ2</td>
<td>MIDI-PYRENEES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRG0</td>
<td>PAYS DE LOIRE</td>
<td>FRJ3</td>
<td>POITOU-CHARENTES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR10</td>
<td>ILE DE FRANCE</td>
<td>FRC2</td>
<td>FRANCE-COMTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRK2</td>
<td>RHONE-ALPES</td>
<td>FRD2</td>
<td>HAUTE-NORMANDIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRF3</td>
<td>LORRAINE</td>
<td>FRF2</td>
<td>CHAMPAGNE-ARDENNES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRB0</td>
<td>CENTRE</td>
<td>FRK1</td>
<td>AUVERGNE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRE1</td>
<td>NORD</td>
<td>FRF1</td>
<td>BRETAGNE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRE2</td>
<td>PACA</td>
<td>FRE1</td>
<td>ALSACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC1</td>
<td>BOURGOGNE</td>
<td>FRE2</td>
<td>PICARDIE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>suggested grouping of the regional categories:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NUTS 2 regions have been used for stratification. Inference is then theoretically possible at the NUTS2 level. We warn however about the limited size of the sample, for provides not enough units per NUTS2 region to get precise enough estimates. Groupings can be done at the NUTS1 level (with important variance in regional sample size).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable [REGIONxx] since the last ESS round?

[if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

10 Occupation coding and procedures

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mark one only:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>At the time of the interview</th>
<th>Later on</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

10.3 Number of occupation coders:

1

10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?


10.5 Was an adjudicator used?

| Yes |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By sampling</th>
<th>On difficult cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semi-automatic coding used in the coding process?


10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):
### 1 Data Depositor

**The organisation depositing the data**

1.1 Name of Data depositor:  
GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences

1.2 Website address:  
https://www.gesis.org

### 2 Funding

**Country's funding agency/ies**

2.1 Name of funding agency/ies  
GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences (publicly funded institute)

2.2 Website address:  
https://www.gesis.org

**Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies**

2.3 Grant number(s)  
NA

### 3 Main Data Collection

**Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:**

3.1 infas Institut für angewandte Sozialwissenschaft GmbH

3.2 Website address:  
https://www.infas.de

3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)  
From: 05.10.2021  
To: 04.01.2022

3.4 Mode of data collection

- Postal recruitment: ✔
- Fieldworker-assisted data collection: ☐

If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used:  

3.5 Fieldworker tasks

If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed:  
Fieldworkers were used in 3 municipalities where no sample of addresses was delivered in time by the local register authorities. In those exceptional municipalities, fieldworkers visited randomly selected addresses and listed all names on the door bell nameplate. One name/household was randomly selected and an invitation letter was sent to this household. Fieldworkers did not have direct contact with the household and/or target person, the selection within households was done by the households themselves.

3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire)

- 4

3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included?

- In the third letter, except for randomly selected 50% of all target persons older than 60 years, who received the paper questionnaire with the first letter

3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted?

- Yes

3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:

- German

3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents?

3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach?  
☑
3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes:
While the number of PSUs was not changed compared to the face-to-face sample design, the number of cases selected within PSUs was increased from 47 to 120.

3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? ☒

3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? □

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?
Before the sample is drawn □
After the sample has been drawn □

3.11.2 [If 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys.
For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).

3.12 Characteristics of the sample
Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 23,997 individuals
Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 5,723 (not considering item nonresponse < 50% of all applicable items)
Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 3,022 (not considering item nonresponse < 50% of all applicable items)
Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 395
Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 193
Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 1,125

3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
Included in full ☒
Included subset □

3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: □

3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? □

3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD □

3.15.1 [If 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Visits for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY)
From: To:

4.2 Number of pre-test visits
Number of sample units issued:
Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test:
Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit:
Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders:

5 Contact Form for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted approach used:
As is □
Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) □

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:
6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures

6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the sample units?
- Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact
- Other (please specify): The Data Protection Information Sheet was included in each of the four mailings

6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify:

6.2 Use of respondent incentives

| Incentives                | Yes | No
|---------------------------|-----|-----
| Unconditional monetary incentives: cash | ☒   |     
| Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers |     | ☐   
| Amount of unconditional monetary incentives: €5 |     |     
| Conditional monetary incentives: cash | ☒   |     
| Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers |     | ☐   
| Amount of conditional monetary incentives: €10 |     |     
| If other incentives used, please describe: |     |

Incentives were tested with an experimental design. 1/3 of the gross sample was invited with a €5 unconditional monetary incentive, while 2/3 received €5 unconditionally and €10 conditionally. Those 2/3 were split in half, with 50% getting notified about the conditional incentive in the first letter, and the other 50% getting notified in the 2nd reminder (delayed conditional incentive).

6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders

| Reminders/Visits to non-responders | Yes | No
|------------------------------------|-----|-----
| Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | ☐   | ☒   
| Please describe: |     |
| Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)? | ☐   | ☒   
| Please describe: |     |

6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures

| Measures               | Yes | No
|------------------------|-----|-----
| Helpline               | ☒   |     
| Web-pages              | ☒   |     
| Other                  |     | ☒   
| Please describe:       |     |

7 Data processing

7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire?

| Method     | Yes | No
|------------|-----|-----
| Scan       | ☒   |     
| Key        |     | ☐   

7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way):

7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data

| Verification | Yes | No
|--------------|-----|-----
| Was the scanned/keyed data verified? | ☒   |     

Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): 1% of all PAPI questionnaires were checked by GESIS. Errors for a single item were found in two questionnaires.
8 Quality control back-checks

8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify:

All respondents were contacted by mail and received a 1-page backcheck questionnaire and an envelope to send it back free of charges. This questionnaire asked about whether respondents received our letters, whether they participated themselves or whether another person in their household participated, and in which mode they participated (Web or PAPI). Moreover, the questionnaire asked about the gender and year of birth and how much they liked or disliked the survey interview. 4,905 backcheck questionnaires were sent back to the survey agency (55.4% of all cases) and the replies were used to identify cases in the net sample that were invalid.

9 Region

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? ☑

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DE1</td>
<td>Baden-Württemberg</td>
<td>DEE</td>
<td>Sachsen-Anhalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE2</td>
<td>Bayern</td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td>Schleswig-Holstein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE3</td>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td>DEG</td>
<td>Thüringen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE4</td>
<td>Brandenburg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE5</td>
<td>Bremen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE6</td>
<td>Hamburg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE7</td>
<td>Hessen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE8</td>
<td>Mecklenburg-Vorpommern</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE9</td>
<td>Niedersachsen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEA</td>
<td>Nordrhein-Westfalen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEB</td>
<td>Rheinland-Pfalz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC</td>
<td>Saarland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DED</td>
<td>Sachsen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round? ☑

[If 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

10 Occupation coding and procedures

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08 ☑
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 ☑
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 ☑

10.2 Number of occupation coders: 5

10.3 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)? ☑

10.4 Was an adjudicator used? ☑

Was adjudication done

By sampling ☑
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>On difficult cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.5 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):**

The occupation coders of the survey agency have received intensive training in the coding of ISCO-08 and regular follow-up training. Coding takes place with the help of a coding software, which also considers several variables (e.g., emprrel, emlno) in addition to the ISCO items. Then, coders check the plausibility of the codes and forward roughly 30% of all codes to another coder if they come to another decision than the coding software. An experienced adjudicator finally checks all codes, especially those cases which are more difficult to code.
## Greece

### 1 Data Depositor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The organisation depositing the data</th>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor: National Centre for Social Research (EKKE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 Website address: <a href="http://www.ekke.gr">www.ekke.gr</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2 Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country's funding agency/ies</th>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2 Website address: <a href="http://www.elidek.gr">www.elidek.gr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant number(s) connected to the</td>
<td>2.3 Grant number(s) 01414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>funding agency/ies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3 Main Data Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:</th>
<th>QED S.A and ABACUS S.A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.qed.gr">www.qed.gr</a> <a href="http://www.abacus-research.gr">www.abacus-research.gr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)</td>
<td>From: 09/11/21 To: 23/05/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)</td>
<td>Face to face: ☒ Video: ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?</td>
<td>Skype: ☐ Zoom: ☒ Microsoft Teams: ☐ Other:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:</td>
<td>GREEK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?</td>
<td>Before the sample is drawn: ☐ After the sample has been drawn: ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9 Characteristics of the sample</td>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 5,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of valid interviews, face to face: 2,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of valid video interviews: 28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
Included in full
Included subset

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?

3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD

4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
Same as for main data collection √

Or; write in:
Name:
Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
From: 15/10/21 To: 25/10/21
1/11/21 video interviewing 5/11/21

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
Face to face: √ Number: 30
Video: √ Number: 10
Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
tape recording of interviews
video recording of interviews

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct √
Check layout of CAPI programming and routing √
Check functionality of the survey tool suite √
Check the translations √
Cognitive testing of survey questions √
Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic
Other:

4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test?

5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used
Standard ESS contact form As is Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)
Individual (no respondent selection)
Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection
Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection
Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection
Address – Random CAPI respondent selection
6 Interviewer Selection

Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers.

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:

5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? ☒

6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10

139+10 field supervisors

What number of interviewers

… are freelance interviewers: 139

… work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency):

Other, please specify:

What number of interviewers

… have worked on previous ESS rounds: 5

… have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 17

… have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 14

6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 8

The interviewers used for video interviews:

… are a separate panel

… overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce

6.2 Payment of interviewers

Per completed interview ☒

Per hours worked ☐

A regular fixed salary ☐

Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts ☐

Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) ☒

Bonus payment scheme ☒ Please specify: 42 interviewers received a bonus, based on the overall assessment of their work in the ESS10, i.e., quality and efficiency

Other ☐ Please specify:

6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

Only face to face briefings ☐

Only virtual briefings ☐

Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings ☒

7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

7.1 Call schedules, visits

Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4

Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1

Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

7.2 Call schedules, telephone

Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):

Number of calls required to be on a weekend:

Number of calls required to be in the evening:

7.3 GDPR Information Sheet

How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?

Mailed out with an advance letter ☐
7.4 Use of respondent incentives

- No respondent incentives
- Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview
- Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
- Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of the interview
- Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

Towards the end of fieldwork, incentives were given to 668 respondents, mainly residents of urban areas to enhance response rate. Interviewers informed respondents about the incentive, upon completion of the interview the fieldwork agency sent the monetary incentives to respondents.

7.5 Re-issue strategies

- Refusal conversion
- Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
- Using different interviewers

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

- Call-center
- Web-pages

Other

Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

<p>|  | Interviews | Ineligibles |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

[ ] Yes [ ] No

If 9.1 ticked off Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EL30</td>
<td>Άττική</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL41</td>
<td>Βόρειο Αιγαίο</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL42</td>
<td>Νότιο Αιγαίο</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL43</td>
<td>Κρήτη</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL51</td>
<td>Ανατολική Μακεδονία, Θράκη</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL52</td>
<td>Κεντρική Μακεδονία</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL53</td>
<td>Δυτική Μακεδονία</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL54</td>
<td>Ήπειρος</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL61</td>
<td>Θεσσαλία</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL62</td>
<td>Ιονία Νησιά</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL63</td>
<td>Δυτική Ελλάδα</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL64</td>
<td>Στερεά Ελλάδα</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL65</td>
<td>Πελοπόννησος</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

If 9.5 ticked off, please describe:

10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived.

In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):
- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

10.2 Occupation coding procedures: 5

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?
- At the time of the interview
- Later on

10.3 Number of occupation coders: 2

10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?

10.5 Was an adjudicator used?

Was adjudication done:
- By sampling
- On difficult cases

10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semi-automatic coding used in the coding process?

10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

Coding was conducted against other coders and against a list of titles.
### 1 Data Depositor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The organisation depositing the data</th>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor: Centre for Social Sciences (Társadalomtudományi Kutatóközpont)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 Website address: <a href="http://www.tk.hu">www.tk.hu</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2 Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country’s funding agency/ies</th>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Eötvös Loránd Research Network (Eötvös Loránd Kutatóhálózat, ELKH)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2 Website address: <a href="http://www.elkh.org/en">www.elkh.org/en</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant number(s) connected to the funding agencies</th>
<th>2.3 Grant number(s) There is no specific grant associated with the project.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 3 Main Data Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: TÁRKI Társadalomkutatási Intézet Zrt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Website address: <a href="http://www.tarki.hu">www.tarki.hu</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)</th>
<th>From: 10.06.2021 To: 16.10.2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)</th>
<th>Face to face: ☒ Video: ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?</th>
<th>Skype ☐ Zoom ☐ Microsoft Teams ☐ Other: n/a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Hungarian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?</th>
<th>☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys. For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?</th>
<th>Before the sample is drawn ☐ After the sample has been drawn ☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.9 Characteristics of the sample</th>
<th>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 4700</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1851</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of valid video interviews: 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 97 (based on backcheck results)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of sample units not contacted at all:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full
- Included subset

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:
- [ ]

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?
- [ ]

3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD
- [ ]

3.12.1 [If 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:

- Same as for main data collection

Or; write in:

- Name:
- Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)

- From: 06.05.2021
- To: 11.05.2021

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:

- Face to face: [ ] Number: 30
- Video: [ ] Number: 2
- Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?

- Tape recording of interviews: [ ]
- Video recording of interviews: [ ]

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)

- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct
- Check layout of CAPI programming and routing
- Check functionality of the survey tool suite
- Check the translations
- Cognitive testing of survey questions
- Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic
- Other:

4.6 [If 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test?
- [ ]

5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used

- Standard ESS contact form
- As is
- Individual (no respondent selection)
- [ ]
- Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection
- [ ]
- Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection
- [ ]
- Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection
- [ ]
- Address – Random CAPI respondent selection
- [ ]
- Address – Birthday respondent selection
- [ ]
- Address – Rizzo respondent selection
- [ ]

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:

- Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)
- [ ]

5.1.2 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:

- [ ]

5.1.3 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:

- [ ]

5.1.4 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:

- [ ]

5.1.5 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:

- [ ]

5.1.6 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:

- [ ]
### 5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used?

☐

### 6 Interviewer Selection

**Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10</th>
<th>110</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**What number of interviewers**

- ... are free-lance interviewers: **110 (subcontractors via regional supervisors)**
- ... work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency): Other, please specify:

**What number of interviewers**

- ... have worked on previous ESS rounds: **80**
- ... have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: **0**
- ... have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: **0**

#### 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews:

The interviewers used for video interviews:

- ... are a separate panel
- ... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce

#### 6.2 Payment of interviewers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Payment</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per completed interview</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per hours worked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A regular fixed salary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonus payment scheme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>Please specify:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

- Only face to face briefings
- Only virtual briefings ☒
- Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings

### 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

#### 7.1 Call schedules, visits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits required to be on a weekend</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits required to be in the evening</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone**

#### 7.2 Call schedules, telephone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum required calls per respondent (in total)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls required to be on a weekend</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls required to be in the evening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet

How was the **ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet** made available to the sample units?

- Mailed out with an advance letter
- Made available by interviewer on doorstep ☒
- Other (please specify):

#### 7.4 Use of respondent incentives
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No respondent incentives

Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview

Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview

Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview

Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

7.5 Re-issue strategies

Refusal conversion

Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)

Using different interviewers

Other Please describe:

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

Call-center

Web-pages

Other Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Number of units selected for back-check: | 897
| Number of back-checks conducted | 897
| Number of back-checks achieved: | 432
| Number of units where outcome was confirmed: | 335
| Number of units where outcome was not confirmed: | 97

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries.

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

If 9.1 ticked off Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file: NUTS level: 3

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HU110</td>
<td>Budapest</td>
<td>HU313</td>
<td>Nógrád</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU120</td>
<td>Pest</td>
<td>HU321</td>
<td>Hajdú-Bihar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU211</td>
<td>Fejér</td>
<td>HU322</td>
<td>Jász-Nagykun-Szolnok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU212</td>
<td>Komárom-Esztergom</td>
<td>HU323</td>
<td>Szabolcs-Szatmár-Bereg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU213</td>
<td>Veszprém</td>
<td>HU331</td>
<td>Bács-Kiskun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU221</td>
<td>Győr-Moson-Sopron</td>
<td>HU332</td>
<td>Békés</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU222</td>
<td>Vas</td>
<td>HU333</td>
<td>Csongrád</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU223</td>
<td>Zala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU231</td>
<td>Baranya</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU232</td>
<td>Somogy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU233</td>
<td>Tolna</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU311</td>
<td>Borosod-Abáu-Zemplén</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU312</td>
<td>Heves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:
9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

- At the time of the interview [ ]
- Later on [ ☒ ]

10.3 Number of occupation coders: 3

10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) [ ]

10.5 Was an adjudicator used? [ ]

Was adjudication done

- By sampling [ ]
- On difficult cases [ ]

10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? [ ]

10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

The fieldwork agency (Tárki) has coded the occupation into Hungarian occupational categories, then it was translated into isco8 and nace2 by Hungarian NT.
## Data Depositor

### 1.1 Name of Data depositor:
The Social Science Research Institute of the University of Iceland

### 1.2 Website address:
https://ssri.is/is

## Funding

### 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies
Mennta- og menningarmálaraðuneytið (Ministry of education, culture and science), Háskóli Íslands (University of Iceland)

### 2.2 Website address:
https://www.stjornarradid.is/raduneyti/mennta-og-barnamalaraduneytid/ ; https://www.hi.is/

### 2.3 Grant number(s)
No formal grants, only funding.

## Main Data Collection

### 3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:
The Social Science Research Institute of the University of Iceland

### 3.2 Website address:
https://ssri.is/is

### 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)
From: 28.07.21  To: 11.02.22

### 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)
- Face to face: ☒
- Video: ☒

### 3.5 Which tool was used for video interview?
- Skype
- Zoom
- Microsoft Teams
- Other: Whereby.com

### 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:
Icelandic, Polish

### 3.8 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork?
☒

### 3.8.1 When does the opt-out list take effect?
Before the sample is drawn

### 3.8.2 Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

### 3.9 Characteristics of the sample

- Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 2758
- Number of valid interviews, face to face: 570
- Number of valid video interviews: 333
- Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 3
Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0

3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
included in full ☒
included subset ☐

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: ☐

3.11.1 (If 3.11 ticked off) Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? ☐

3.11.2 (If 3.11.1 not ticked off) Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD: ☐

3.12.1 (If 3.12 ticked off) Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
Same as for main data collection ☒
Or; write in:
Name:
Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
From: 30.06.21 To: 25.07.21

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
Face to face: ☒ Number: 29
Video: ☒ Number: 13
Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
tape recording of interviews ☐
video recording of interviews ☐

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct ☒
Check layout of CAPI programming and routing ☒
Check functionality of the survey tool suite ☒
Check the translations ☒
Cognitive testing of survey questions ☐
Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic ☐
Other: As part of the interviewer training.

4.6 (If 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off) Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? ☒

5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used
Standard ESS contact form As is
Individual (no respondent selection) ☐
Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection ☒
Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection ☐
Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection ☐
Address – Random CAPI respondent selection ☐
Address – Birthday respondent selection ☐
Address – Rizzo respondent selection ☐
Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:

5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? ☐

## 6 Interviewer Selection

### 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What number of interviewers</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>... are free-lance interviewers:</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other, please specify:

### 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 44

The interviewers used for video interviews:

- ... are a separate panel ☐
- ... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce ☒

### 6.2 Payment of interviewers

- Per completed interview ☒
- Per hours worked ☐
- A regular fixed salary ☐
- Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts ☒
- Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) ☒

Bonus payment scheme ☒ Please specify: After first 15 interviews there was a bonus. After the following 10 again etc.

Other ☐ Please specify:

### 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

- Only face to face briefings ☐
- Only virtual briefings ☐
- Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings ☒

## 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

### 7.1 Call schedules, visits

- Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
- Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
- Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

**Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone**

### 7.2 Call schedules, telephone

- Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): 4
- Number of calls required to be on a weekend: 1
- Number of calls required to be in the evening: 1

### 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet

How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?

- Mailed out with an advance letter ☒
- Made available by interviewer on doorstep ☒
7.4 Use of respondent incentives

- No respondent incentives
- Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview
- Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
- Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview
- Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

Every respondent was entered into a raffle with the chance of winning a rather substantial monetary prize or one of 10 smaller ones.

7.5 Re-issue strategies

- Refusal conversion
- Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
- Using different interviewers

Other Please describe:

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

- Call-center
- Web-pages

Other Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

Number of units selected for back-check:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

For NUTS countries:
Please document the used NUTS level. Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries

[9.1 ticked off] Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:

NUTS level: NUTS-3

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IS001</td>
<td>Hófuðborgarsvæði (Capital Region)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS002</td>
<td>Landsbygð (rest of country)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value: HR050
Label: Grad Zagreb
9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

10 Occupation coding and procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

At the time of the interview | ☐ |
Later on | ☒ |

10.3 Number of occupation coders: 1

10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | ☐ |

10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | ☐ |

Was adjudication done

By sampling | ☐ |
On difficult cases | ☒ |

10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | ☐ |

10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):
# Ireland

## 1 Data Depositor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The organisation depositing the data</th>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor: UCD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 Website address: <a href="https://ess.ucd.ie">https://ess.ucd.ie</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 2 Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country’s funding agency/ies</th>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Irish Research Council (IRC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2 Website address: <a href="https://research.ie/">https://research.ie/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 3 Main Data Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:</th>
<th>Behaviour &amp; Attitudes (B&amp;A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:</td>
<td>Behaviour &amp; Attitudes (B&amp;A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="https://banda.ie/about/">https://banda.ie/about/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)</td>
<td>From: 23.11.2021 To: 16.12.2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)</td>
<td>Face to face: <strong>✓</strong> Video:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?</td>
<td>Skype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:</td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?</td>
<td>Before the sample is drawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9 Characteristics of the sample</td>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): <strong>4728</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid interviews, face to face:</td>
<td><strong>1770</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid video interviews:</td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 0
Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0

3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full ✓
- Included subset

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: No

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? ✓

3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD No

3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

### 4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
- Same as for main data collection ✓
- Or, write in:
  - Name:
  - Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
- From: 15.11.2021
- To: 16.02.2022 (Ireland started with a soft launch substituting pre-testing)

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
- Face to face: ✓ Number:
- Video: Number:
- Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded? No
- Tape recording of interviews
- Video recording of interviews

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct ✓
- Check layout of CAPI programming and routing ✓
- Check functionality of the survey tool suite
- Check the translations
- Cognitive testing of survey questions
- Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic ✓
- Other:

4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test?

### 5 Contact Form
5.1 What type of contact form was used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of contact form used.</th>
<th>Indicate which ESS form was used, with or without adaptation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard ESS contact form</td>
<td>As is Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual (no respondent selection)</td>
<td>☐ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection</td>
<td>☐ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection</td>
<td>☐ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection</td>
<td>☐ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Random CAPI respondent selection</td>
<td>☐ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Birthday respondent selection</td>
<td>☐ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Rizzo respondent selection</td>
<td>☐ ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:

5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? ☐

6 Interviewer Selection

Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers

6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What number of interviewers</th>
<th>67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>... are free-lance interviewers:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency):</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other, please specify:

What number of interviewers

| ... have worked on previous ESS rounds: | 52 |
| ... have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: | 0 |
| ... have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: | 0 |

6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 0

The interviewers used for video interviews:

| ... are a separate panel | ☐ |
| ... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | ☐ |

6.2 Payment of interviewers

| Per completed interview | ☑ |
| Per hours worked | ☐ |
| A regular fixed salary | ☐ |
| Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts | ☐ |
| Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) | ☐ |
| Bonus payment scheme | ☐ Please specify: |
| Other | ☐ Please specify: |

6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

| Only face to face briefings | ☐ |
7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

7.1 Call schedules, visits
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 3
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

7.2 Call schedules, telephone
Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): NA
Number of calls required to be on a weekend: NA
Number of calls required to be in the evening: NA

7.3 GDPR Information Sheet
How was the GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?
- Mailed out with an advance letter
- Made available by interviewer on doorstep
- Other (please specify):

7.4 Use of respondent incentives
- No respondent incentives
- Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview
- Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
- Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview
- Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

7.5 Re-issue strategies
- Refusal conversion
- Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
- Using different interviewers
- Other

Please describe:

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures
- Call-center
- Web-pages
- Other

Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>283</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>283</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)
9 Region

For NUTS countries:
Please document the used NUTS level.
Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries.

If 9.1 ticked off) Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONIE in the data file:

NUTS level: 3

9.2 Categories

For all countries:
Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature).

Example: (official NUTS code and label)
Value: HR050
Label: Grad Zagreb

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IE041</td>
<td>Border</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE042</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE051</td>
<td>Mid-West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE052</td>
<td>South-East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE053</td>
<td>South-West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE061</td>
<td>Dublin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE062</td>
<td>Mid-East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE063</td>
<td>Midland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

NO

If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative samples for each regional unit.

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONIE) since the last ESS round?

NO

10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived.
In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

At the time of the interview
| 10.3 Number of occupation coders: | 1 |
| 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) | NO |
| 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? | NO |
| Was adjudication done | |
| By sampling | NO |
| On difficult cases | YES |
| 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? | NO |

10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

The documents provided via ESS were used in coding. A random selection of records were reviewed by a supervisor (including the difficult cases mentioned at 10.5)
## 1 Data Depositor

**The organisation depositing the data**

1.1 Name of Data depositor:
B.I Cohen, Irit Adler

1.2 Website address:
https://social-sciences.tau.ac.il/bicohen

## 2 Funding

**Country's funding agency/ies**

2.1 Name of funding agency/ies
The Council for Higher Education (CHE), The Planning and Budgeting Committee (PBC)

2.2 Website address:
https://che.org.il

2.3 Grant number(s)

## 3 Main Data Collection

3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:
B.I. Cohen Institute for Public Opinion Research

3.2 Website address:
https://social-sciences.tau.ac.il/bicohen

3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)
From: 1/2/2022 To: 17/7/2022

3.4 Mode of data collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postal recruitment</th>
<th>☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fieldworker-assisted data collection</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used:

3.5 Fieldworker tasks

If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed:

1. **FIRST CONTACT:**
   Identify the address; Try to make contact; If contact, give explanation about survey, how to select respondent, identify if prefer paper questionnaire (and if yes, give one in the preferred language); Ask for phone number for future communication (preferred, the respondents’ phone number); Leave invitation letter, DP Leaflet and if FWs wanted, leave his/her phone number
   If no contact: leave invitation letter, DP Leaflet and if FWs wanted, leave his/her phone number

2. **SECOND CONTACT:**
   personal: try to make contact for giving first remainder/leave first remainder.
   Phone: make contact for first remainder collect paper questionnaire, if available.
   If no contact: leave first remainder

3. **THIRD CONTACT:**
   try to make contact for giving second remainder + paper questionnaire/leave second remainder + paper questionnaire.
   Collect paper questionnaire, if available.
   If no contact: leave second remainder + paper questionnaire

4. **FINAL CONTACT:**
   personal: try to make contact for giving final remainder/leave final remainder.
   Collect paper questionnaire, if available.
   Phone: make contact for first remainder.

3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire)

4
### 3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included?

The 3rd visit (mailing) usually unless respondent asked for paper questionnaire in a previous visit.

### 3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, +14 days) adopted?

Yes

### 3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:

Hebrew, Arabic and Russian

### 3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents?

- Hebrew and Russian: IWERs carried paper questionnaires in both languages and offer the respondents the questionnaire in the language of his/her choice (in mixed PSUs, IWERs had Arabic questionnaires as well).
- If paper questionnaire was required in later stage, after first visit, the IWER already knew what language the respondent prefers and delivered the paper questionnaire in the this language.
- Arabic: paper questionnaire in Arabic will be offered in the Arabic PSUs (which characterize in Arab population only). In the mixed PSUs IWERs carried questionnaires in Hebrew, Russian and Arabic.

### 3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach?

Yes

### 3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied?

**Yes**

### 3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?

Yes

#### 3.11.1 When does the opt-out list take effect?

- Before the sample is drawn
- After the sample has been drawn

**Yes**

#### 3.11.2 Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

None

### 3.12 Characteristics of the sample

- Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 4000
- Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 1061
- Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 247
- Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 10
- Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 0
- Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 16

### 3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:

- Included in full
- Included subset

### 3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:

- Included

#### 3.14.1 Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?

**Yes**

#### 3.14.2 Please specify if not approved by HQ:

None

### 3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD

No

#### 3.15.1 Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

None

### Pre-Test Visits for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

#### 4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>To:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1.2022</td>
<td>18.1.2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.2 Number of pre-test visits

None
| Number of sample units issued: | 60 |
| Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test: | 4 |
| Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit: | 2 |
| Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders: | 4-5 days |

### 5 Contact Form for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted approach used:

- [x] As is
- [ ] Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:
### 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures

#### 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the sample units?
- Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact
- Other (please specify):

#### 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify:

#### 6.2 Use of respondent incentives
- Unconditional monetary incentives: cash
- Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers
- Amount of unconditional monetary incentives:
- Conditional monetary incentives: cash
- Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers
- Amount of conditional monetary incentives: 50INS (~15 euro)

If other incentives used, please describe:

#### 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders
- Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?
- Please describe:
- Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?
  - Please describe: Each week FWs received list of partial completed interviews and made directed the next contact (phone/personal) to encourage respondent to complete.

#### 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures
- Helpline
- Web-pages
- Other

Please describe:

### 7 Data processing

#### 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire?
- Scan:
- Key:

#### 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way):
- entering responses into Qualtrics

#### 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data
- Was the scanned/keyed data verified?
- Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked):
8 Quality control back-checks

8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify:

9 Region

For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries.

For all countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature).

Example: (official NUTS code and label)
Value: HR050
Label: Grad Zagreb

Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

[ ]

If 9.1 ticked off Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

Israel do not deposit any region variable

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

[ ]

Suggested grouping of the regional categories: Israel do not deposit any region variable

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[ ]

If 9.5 ticked off Please describe: Israel do not deposit any region variable

10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08
[ ]

First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
[ ]

No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08
[ ]

10.2 Number of occupation coders:

2

10.3 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?

[ ]

10.4 Was an adjudicator used?

[ ]

Was adjudication done

By sampling
[ ]

On difficult cases
[ ]

10.5 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?

[ ]

10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

The coder used ISCO-08 codes. Difficult/problematic answers were discussed with the adjudicator. The adjudicator also sampled around 1/3 of each PSU interviews to check the coding.
### 1 Data Depositor

**The organisation depositing the data**

1.1 Name of Data depositor: Inapp

1.2 Website address: https://www.inapp.org

### 2 Funding

**Country’s funding agency/ies**

2.1 Name of funding agency/ies: Inapp

2.2 Website address: https://www.inapp.org

**Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies**

2.3 Grant number(s): Not applicable. The funding agency supported the survey through its institutional funds and selected the survey agency through a public call for tender. The reference number of the stipulated contract with the survey agency is C.I.G. 83198193D5

### 3 Main Data Collection

3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: DOXA Spa

3.2 Website address: www.bva-doxa.com

3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)

From: 25.10.21 To: 26.04.22

3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)

- Face to face: X
- Video: X

3.5 [If 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?

- Skype: □
- Zoom: □
- Microsoft Teams: X
- Other: □

3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Italian

3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? No

3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No

3.8.1 [If 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?

- Before the sample is drawn: □
- After the sample has been drawn: □

3.8.2 [If 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys. For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).

### 3.9 Characteristics of the sample

- Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 5461
- Number of valid interviews, face to face: 2183
- Number of valid video interviews: 457
- Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 263
3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full: X
- Included subset: 

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:
- [ ]

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?
- [ ]

3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:
- 

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD
- [ ]

3.12.1 [If 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:
- 

4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
- Same as for main data collection: X

Or, write in:
- Name:
- Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
- From: 21.09.21
- To: 27.09.21

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
- Face to face: X Number: 30
- Video: X Number: 11
- Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
- [ ] [ ]

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct: X
- Check layout of CAPI programming and routing: X
- Check functionality of the survey tool suite: X
- Check the translations: X
- Cognitive testing of survey questions: X
- Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic: X
- Other:

4.6 [If 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test?
- X

5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used
- Standard ESS contact form
- As is
- Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)
  - Individual (no respondent selection): X
  - Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection: 
  - Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection: 
  - Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection: 
  - Address – Random CAPI respondent selection: 
  - Address – Birthday respondent selection: 
  - Address – Rizzo respondent selection: 

Number of sample units not contacted at all: 702
5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:

5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used?  YES

6 Interviewer Selection

6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 205

What number of interviewers
... are free-lance interviewers: 205
... work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency): 0

Other, please specify:

What number of interviewers
... have worked on previous ESS rounds: 16
... have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 18
... have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 0

6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 112

The interviewers used for video interviews:

... are a separate panel
... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce

6.2 Payment of interviewers

Per completed interview  X
Per hours worked  
A regular fixed salary  
Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts  X
Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)  X

Bonus payment scheme  X
Please specify: Interviewers received 30% increased payment if they completed the assigned interviews by a given anticipated deadline
Other  
Please specify:

6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

Only face to face briefings  X
Only virtual briefings  
Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings  

All the 200 interviewers initially selected for the fieldwork were briefed face-to-face. Virtual briefings were only exceptionally used on 2 additional sessions held in January 2022 to train a small additional team of expert interviewers added to the original group for further support.

7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

7.1 Call schedules, visits

Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 6
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone

7.2 Call schedules, telephone

Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):
Number of calls required to be on a weekend:
Number of calls required to be in the evening:

7.3 GDPR Information Sheet

How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?
Mailed out with an advance letter

Made available by interviewer on doorstep

Other (please specify):

7.4 Use of respondent incentives

- No respondent incentives
- Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview
- Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
- Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview
- Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

Petrol coupons worth 10€ were paid for the F2F interviews: they are usually very well accepted by survey respondents (even those not driving a car), as they may be gifted to family members. For video-interviews we foresaw a digital coupon worth 12€ to be spent on one of the major e-commerce portals; in this case the amount was slightly higher, as a little more demanding was the effort required to the respondent.

7.5 Re-issue strategies

- Refusal conversion
- Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
- Using different interviewers

Other Please describe:

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

- Call-center
- Web-pages

Other Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region AREA

For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level.
Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries.

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

- [ ] Yes

If 9.1 ticked off Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONIT in the data file:

NUTS level: 1

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITC</td>
<td>Nord-Ouest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITF</td>
<td>Sud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITG</td>
<td>Isole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITH</td>
<td>Nord-Est</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITI</td>
<td>Centro</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value: HR050
Label: Grad Zagreb
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9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

Suggested grouping of the regional categories: NUTS 1

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

If 9.5 ticked off, please describe:

10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived.

In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08

First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08

No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

At the time of the interview

Later on

10.3 Number of occupation coders:

1

10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?

No

10.5 Was an adjudicator used?

No

Was adjudication done

By sampling

On difficult cases

10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?

No

10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

Open-ended answers were downloaded during the fieldwork in branches. The coding was done by the expert in charge following the instruction given in the Protocol, with the strict supervision of the Project Manager and the data-process senior analyst who checked all the coding outcome.
### 1 Data Depositor

**The organisation depositing the data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor:</th>
<th>University of Latvia (Latvijas Universitāte)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.lu.lv">www.lu.lv</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2 Funding

**Country’s funding agency/ies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies</th>
<th>State Education Development Agency (Valsts izglītības attīstības aģentūra)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="https://www.viaa.gov.lv/">https://www.viaa.gov.lv/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.3 Grant number(s)</th>
<th>ES RTD/2021/1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 3 Main Data Collection

**Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:**

Central Statistical Bureau (Centrālā statistikas pārvalde)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.2 Website address:</th>
<th><a href="https://www.csp.gov.lv/en">https://www.csp.gov.lv/en</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Date of main data collection** *(DD.MM.YY)*

From: 1 November 2021  To: 31 January 2022

**Mode of data collection**

- Postal recruitment: ☑️
- Fieldworker-assisted data collection: ☐

If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used:

Latvia Post (Latvijas Pasts), www.pasts.lv

**Fieldworker tasks**

If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed:

No fieldworkers used

**How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver?** *(For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire)*

5

**In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included?**

3rd mailing (2nd reminder)

**Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, +14 days) adopted?**

Occasional deviations of 2-4 days due to high workload of postal service had to be tolerated

**Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:**

Latvian; Russian

**If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents?**

Russian questionnaire was sent on request as sending official information in foreign languages is legally restricted

**Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach?**

☑️

**If yes, please give a short description of the changes:**

Gross sample size was enlarged to 4400 respondents
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11.1 [if 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before the sample is drawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After the sample has been drawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11.2 [if 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents who refused to participate were registered and no further reminders or other survey materials were sent to them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.12 Characteristics of the sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 4400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as undelivered): 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Included in full                                                         ☒
| Included subset                                                         ☐
| 3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:   |
| ☐                                                                       |
| 3.14.1 [if 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? |
| ☐                                                                       |
| 3.14.2 [if 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ: |
| 3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD   |
| ☐                                                                       |
| 3.15.1 [if 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation: |

### 4 Pre-Test Visits for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Number of pre-test visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sample units issued:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5 Contact Form for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted approach used:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures

#### 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the sample units?

- Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact with sample unit
- Other (please specify):  

#### 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify:

- No

#### 6.2 Use of respondent incentives

- Unconditional monetary incentives: cash
- Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers
- Amount of unconditional monetary incentives: 4400; lottery tickets used to to legal restrictions in use of either cash or vouchers
- Conditional monetary incentives: cash
- Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers
- Amount of conditional monetary incentives: 1400
- If other incentives used, please describe:
  - Retail store chain gift card 7 EUR worth

#### 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders

- Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?  
- Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?

#### 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures

- Helpline
- Web-pages
- Other Please describe:

### 7 Data processing

#### 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire?

- Scan:  
- Key:  

#### 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way):

- Entering responses into Qualtrics

#### 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data

- Was the scanned/keyed data verified?  
- Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): 10 per cent
### 8 Quality control back-checks

8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify:

### 9 Region

#### For NUTS countries:

- Please document the used NUTS level.
- Please see [Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries](#).

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? [x]

[If 9.1 ticked off] Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:

- NUTS level: NUTS 3

#### For all countries:

- Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature).
- Example: (official NUTS code and label)
  - Value: HR050
  - Label: Grad Zagreb

#### 9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LV003</td>
<td>KURZEME</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV005</td>
<td>LATGALE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV006</td>
<td>RĪGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV007</td>
<td>PIERĪGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV008</td>
<td>VIDZEME</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV009</td>
<td>ZEMGALE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

#### 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

- Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

#### 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[If 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

### 10 Occupation coding and procedures

#### 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08 [x]
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

#### 10.2 Number of occupation coders:

2

#### 10.3 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?

#### 10.4 Was an adjudicator used?

- Was adjudication done
  - By sampling
  - On difficult cases [x]

#### 10.5 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?

#### 10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): 

Checks against a list of titles
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 Data Depositor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The organisation depositing the data</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Name of Data depositor:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Website address:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country’s funding agency/ies</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Website address:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3 Main Data Collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Website address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 01.07.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face to face:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? |
| Skype | ☐ |
| Zoom | ☐ |
| Microsoft Teams | ☐ |
| Other: | ☐ |

| 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: |
| Lithuanian, Russian |

| 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | ☒ |

| 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? | ☐ |
| 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? |
| Before the sample is drawn | ☐ |
| After the sample has been drawn | ☐ |
| 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: |

| 3.9 Characteristics of the sample |
| Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): | **5830** |
| Number of valid interviews, face to face: | **1660** |
| Number of valid video interviews: |  |
| Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): | **7** |
| Number of sample units not contacted at all: | **0** |
3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full ✗
- Included subset

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: ✗

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?: ✗

3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD
- [If 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
- Same as for main data collection
- Or; write in:
  - Name:
  - Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
- From: 30.09.20
- To: 14.10.20

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
- Face to face: ✗ Number: 60
- Video:
- Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
- tape recording of interviews
- video recording of interviews

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct ✗
- Check layout of CAPI programming and routing ✗
- Check functionality of the survey tool suite
- Check the translations
- Cognitive testing of survey questions
- Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic
- Other:

4.6 [If 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test?: ✗

5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used
- Standard ESS contact form
- As is
- Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)
- Individual (no respondent selection)
- Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection
- Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection ✗
- Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection
- Address – Random CAPI respondent selection
- Address – Birthday respondent selection
- Address – Rizzo respondent selection

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:
### 6 Interviewer Selection

**6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10**  
150

- What number of interviewers are free-lance interviewers: 34
- Work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency): 49
- Other, please specify: work in the survey agency and other agencies - 67

- What number of interviewers have worked on previous ESS rounds: 56
- Have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 53
- Have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 41

**6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews:**

- The interviewers used for video interviews:
  - Are a separate panel
  - Overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce

**6.2 Payment of interviewers**

- Per completed interview
- Per hours worked
- A regular fixed salary
- Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts
- Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)
- Bonus payment scheme
  - Please specify: Gifts cards for interviewers who fulfilled all quality and fieldwork time requirements
- Other

**6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?**

- Only face to face briefings
- Only virtual briefings
- Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings

### 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

**7.1 Call schedules, visits**

- Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
- Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
- Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

**7.2 Call schedules, telephone**

- Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):
- Number of calls required to be on a weekend:
- Number of calls required to be in the evening:

**7.3 GDPR Information Sheet**

- How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?
  - Mailed out with an advance letter
  - Made available by interviewer on doorstep
  - Other (please specify):

**7.4 Use of respondent incentives**
No respondent incentives

Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview

Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview

Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview

Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

Respodent get incentives (2 items, not vouchers) after interview

7.5 Re-issue strategies

Refusal conversion

Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)

Using different interviewers

Other Please describe:

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

Call-center

Web-pages

Other Please describe: Paid infomercials [audio-tracks] were broadcasted by the radio national broadcaster (LRT radijas) about ESS fieldwork in Lithuania to bring awareness among general population in Lithuania during some slower periods of fieldwork. Some Facebook paid adds were used during the slower periods of fieldwork too.

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P T V P T M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>4 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted:</td>
<td>4 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>4 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>0 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>4 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level.

Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries

For all countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature).

Example: (official NUTS code and label)

Value: HR050
Label: Grad Zagreb

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:

[f 9.1 ticked off] NUTS level: 3

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LT011</td>
<td>Vilniaus apskritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT021</td>
<td>Alytaus apskritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT022</td>
<td>Kauno apskritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT023</td>
<td>Klaipėdos apskritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT024</td>
<td>Marijampolės apskritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT025</td>
<td>Panevėžio apskritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT026</td>
<td>Šiaulių apskritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT027</td>
<td>Tauragės apskritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT028</td>
<td>Telšių apskritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT029</td>
<td>Utenos apskritis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[If 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived.
In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):
- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

10.2 Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?
- At the time of the interview
- Later on

10.3 Number of occupation coders: 2

10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?

10.5 Was an adjudicator used?
- Yes
- No

Was adjudication done
- By sampling
- On difficult cases

10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?

10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):
Codings were made during and after the fieldwork (3 data withdraws in total). Coding of data was carried out by 2 experienced coders (who were familiar already with isco08 classification) while using code frames. Each case has been assigned the code by one coder, and later has been checked by another coder.
## Montenegro

### 1 Data Depositor

The organisation depositing the data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor:</th>
<th>Olivera Komar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Website address:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2 Funding

Country’s funding agency/ies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies</th>
<th>Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="https://www.gov.me/">https://www.gov.me/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies

| 2.3 Grant number(s)          | |

### 3 Main Data Collection

3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:

Olivera Komar NC from the Faculty of Political Science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.2 Website address:</th>
<th>Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)

From: 03.11.2021 To: 30.03.2022

3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)

- Face to face: ❌
- Video: ❌

3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?

- Skype: ❌
- Zoom: ❌
- Microsoft Teams: ❌
- Other: 

3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:

- Montenegrin and Albanian

3.8 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork?

An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys.

For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before the sample is drawn</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After the sample has been drawn</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.9 Characteristics of the sample

- Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 2250
- Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1278
- Number of valid video interviews: 0
- Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 62
Number of sample units not contacted at all: 33

3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
   Included in full
   Included subset

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: ☒

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? ☒

3.11.2 [If 3.11 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD

3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
   Same as for main data collection ☒
   Or; write in:
   Name:
   Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
   From: 25.10.2021 To: 30.10.2021

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
   Face to face: ☒ Number: 40
   Video: ☐ Number:
   Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
   tape recording of interviews ☐
   video recording of interviews ☐

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
   Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct ☒
   Check layout of CAPI programming and routing ☒
   Check functionality of the survey tool suite ☐
   Check the translations ☐
   Cognitive testing of survey questions ☐
   Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic ☒
   Other:

4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? ☐

5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used
   Standard ESS contact form As is
   Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)
   Individual (no respondent selection) ☐ ☐
   Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection ☐ ☐
   Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection ☒ ☐
   Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection ☐ ☐
   Address – Random CAPI respondent selection ☐ ☐
   Address – Birthday respondent selection ☐ ☐
   Address – Rizzo respondent selection ☐ ☐
### 6 Interviewer Selection

#### 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What number of interviewers</strong></td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... are free-lance interviewers</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other, please specify:

#### 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The interviewers used for video interviews:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... are a separate panel</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6.2 Payment of interviewers

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per completed interview</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per hours worked</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A regular fixed salary</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonus payment scheme</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please specify:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please specify:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Only face to face briefings</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only virtual briefings</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

#### 7.1 Call schedules, visits

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be on a weekend</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be in the evening</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 7.2 Call schedules, telephone

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be on a weekend:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be in the evening:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailed out with an advance letter</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Made available by interviewer on doorstep</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other (please specify):

7.4 Use of respondent incentives

- No respondent incentives
- Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview
- Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
- Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview
- Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

7.5 Re-issue strategies

- Refusal conversion
- Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
- Using different interviewers
- Other

Please describe: Using experienced and trustworthy interviewers in cases where others have faked visits and data.

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

- Call-center
- Web-pages
- Other

Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

[X]

If 9.1 ticked off Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:

NUTS level: /

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

For all countries:

Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature).

Example: (official NUTS code and label)

Value: HR050
Label: Grad Zagreb
9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:
Montenegro is a part of NUTS classification but due to its size the whole country is classified as one region. Instead of this approach, we used 4 regions segregated to rural and urban areas. North, Central region, South and Capitol of Podgorica as a separate level.

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

| Suggested grouping of the regional categories: North, Central region, South |

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

| [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe: Podgorica as capitol was singled out for its size as a separate region. In between the two ESS rounds a former part of Podgorica, Tuzi has gained a status of Municipality and was treated as a part of the Central region. |

10 Occupation coding and procedures

| 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only): |
| First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08 |
| First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08 |
| No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08 |

| 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: |
| When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place? |
| At the time of the interview |
| Later on |

| 10.3 Number of occupation coders: |
| 2 |

| 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) |

| 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? |

| Was adjudication done |
| By sampling |
| On difficult cases |

| 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? |

| 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software): |
| Two coders we used to code occupation for the respondent and spouse, and interchangeably used to check the coding of the other. |
# Netherlands

## 1 Data Depositor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The organisation depositing the data</th>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor: Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 Website address: <a href="https://nidi.nl/en/">https://nidi.nl/en/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 2 Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country’s funding agency(ies)</th>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency(ies) Dutch Research Council / Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2 Website address: <a href="https://www.nwo.nl/en">https://www.nwo.nl/en</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency(ies)</th>
<th>2.3 Grant number(s) 471-00-300</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## 3 Main Data Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: I&amp;O Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Website address: <a href="https://www.ioresearch.nl/">https://www.ioresearch.nl/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) From: 01.10.21 To: 03.04.22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)</th>
<th>Face to face: ☒ Video: ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?</th>
<th>Skype ☐ Zoom ☐ Microsoft Teams ☒ Other:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Dutch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?</th>
<th>☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys. For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?</th>
<th>Before the sample is drawn ☐ After the sample has been drawn ☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:</th>
<th>There is no special register to be opted out from surveys. However, people can ask the population register to keep their addresses ‘secret’. These names and addresses are included in the sample drawn, and have been provided to us, but have not been used in the process and are not included in the SDDF either.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.9 Characteristics of the sample</th>
<th>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 4187 individuals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of valid video interviews: 248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 7 (1 interview was not approved because of a data fabrication issue, 6 interviews were not approved because the NC team believed someone other than the intended respondent completed the interview).

Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0

3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full [X]
- Included subset [ ]

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: [ ]

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?: [ ]

3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD: [ ]

3.12.1 [If 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

### 4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
- Same as for main data collection [X]
- Or; write in:
  - Name:
  - Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
- From: 25.08.21
- To: 15.09.21

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
- Face to face: [X] Number: 42
- Video: [X] Number: 6
- Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
- Tape recording of interviews: [ ]
- Video recording of interviews: [ ]

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct: [X]
- Check layout of CAPI programming and routing: [X]
- Check functionality of the survey tool suite: [X]
- Check the translations: [X]
- Cognitive testing of survey questions: [ ]
- Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic: [X]
- Other: Check if video interviews offer a reliable alternative to face-to-face; check output data, e.g. regarding missingness, interview times, and satisfaction of respondents and interviewers with interviews.

4.6 [If 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test?: [X]

### 5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used
- Standard ESS contact form [X]
- Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) [ ]
### 6 Interviewer Selection

**Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10</strong></th>
<th>94</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What number of interviewers</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… are free-lance interviewers: 94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency): unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, please specify:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>What number of interviewers</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… have worked on previous ESS rounds: 38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 35**

The interviewers used for video interviews:

- … are a separate panel
- … overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce

**6.2 Payment of interviewers**

- Per completed interview
- Per hours worked
- A regular fixed salary
- Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts
- Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)
- Bonus payment scheme
- Other Please specify: travel time

**6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?**

- Only face to face briefings
- Only virtual briefings
- Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings

### 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

**7.1 Call schedules, visits**

- Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 8
- Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
- Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

**7.2 Call schedules, telephone**

- Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):
- Number of calls required to be on a weekend:
- Number of calls required to be in the evening:

**7.3 GDPR Information Sheet**

How was the **ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet** made available to the sample units?

- Mailed out with an advance letter
7.4 Use of respondent incentives

No respondent incentives
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview
Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

All incentives were paid in the form of gift cards from bol.com, a large web shop in the Netherlands. The unconditional incentives were included in the advance letter, the conditional incentives were sent to respondents who completed an interview within 2 weeks after the interview. At the start of fieldwork, respondents received an unconditional incentive of 5 euros and a conditional incentive of 15 euros. In reaction to the low response rates, we increased the conditional incentive to 40 euros in the refusal conversion. This was announced in the letters sent in the first week of December 2021 to respondents who initially refused to participate in the survey. In a final effort to increase response rates, the conditional incentive was increased to 50 euros in the first week of March 2022. This increased incentive was advertised in a letter sent to all addresses that were still ‘open’, meaning those that were then coded as non-contacts as well as part of the addresses in the ‘Other’ group that we felt might still participate.

7.5 Re-issue strategies

Refusal conversion
Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
Using different interviewers
Other

Please describe:
Prior to a new attempt by an interviewer, a respondent received a tailor-made card in which the reason for earlier refusal was specifically addressed (such as ‘bad timing’ and ‘not interested’).

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

Call-center
Web-pages
Other

Please describe:
150 euro bonus for each interviewer that gave complete follow-up on the contact strategy for each address on the case list
For interviewers the fieldwork agency provided regular newsletters to show the progress of the fieldwork and to motivate them to keep up the good work
Not-at-home cards which motivated respondents to make contact for an appointment

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>1477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted:</td>
<td>1477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

If 9.1 ticked off Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file: NUTS level: 2 (provinces)

9.2 Categories

Value | Label | Value (cont.) | Label (cont.)
Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS code and label)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value:</th>
<th>Label:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NL11</td>
<td>Groningen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL12</td>
<td>Friesland (NL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL13</td>
<td>Drenthe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL21</td>
<td>Overijssel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL22</td>
<td>Gelderland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL23</td>
<td>Flevoland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL31</td>
<td>Utrecht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL32</td>
<td>Noord-Holland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL33</td>
<td>Zuid-Holland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL34</td>
<td>Zeeland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL41</td>
<td>Noord-Brabant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL42</td>
<td>Limburg (NL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99999</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

Suggested grouping of the regional categories: NUTS 1: North Netherlands (Groningen, Friesland, and Drenthe), East Netherlands (Overijssel, Flevoland, and Gelderland), West Netherlands (Utrecht, Noord-Holland, Zuid-Holland, and Zeeland), and South Netherlands (Noord-Brabant and Limburg).

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round? [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived.

In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

At the time of the interview
Later on

10.3 Number of occupation coders: 2

10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)

10.5 Was an adjudicator used?

Was adjudication done

By sampling
On difficult cases

10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?

10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

Coding was done manually by two representatives of the fieldwork organisation, and was already started during fieldwork (in batches) because of the large number of items that needed coding. In difficult cases the ESS project manager of the fieldwork organisation was available for answering questions. A random part of the coding was checked by a fieldwork representative who operated as an adjudicator.
## North Macedonia

### 1 Data Depositor

The organisation depositing the data

1.1 Name of Data depositor:
Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje,
Institute for sociological, political and juridical research- Skopje

1.2 Website address:
www.isppi.ukim.edu.mk

### 2 Funding

Country’s funding agency/ies

2.1 Name of funding agency/ies
Ministry of education and science of the Republic of North Macedonia

2.2 Website address:
www.mon.gov.mk

Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies

2.3 Grant number(s)
N/A

### 3 Main Data Collection

3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:
Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje,
Institute for sociological, political and juridical research

3.2 Website address:
www.isppi.ukim.edu.mk

3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)
From: 23.10.2021 To: 08.03.2022

3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)
- Face to face: ☑
- Video: ☑

3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?
- Skype
- Zoom ☑
- Microsoft Teams
- Other:

3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:
Macedonian and Albanian

3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork?

An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys.

For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).

3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?

3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?
Before the sample is drawn

3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

3.9 Characteristics of the sample

- Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): **2580**
- Number of valid interviews, face to face: **1432**
- Number of valid video interviews: **4**
- Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): **86**
Number of sample units not contacted at all: 2

### 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full [x]
- Included subset [ ]

### 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: [x]

#### 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? [x]

#### 3.11.2 [If 3.11 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

### 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD [ ]

#### 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

## 4 Pre-Test Data Collection

### 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:

- Same as for main data collection [x]

Or; write in:

**Name:**

**Website address:**

### 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)

**From:** 23.09.2021  
**To:** 05.10.2021

### 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:

- **Face to face:** [x]  
  **Number:** 34

- **Video:** [x]  
  **Number:** 9

**Other:**

### 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?

- **Tape recording of interviews** [ ]
- **Video recording of interviews** [ ]

### 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)

- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct [x]
- **Check layout of CAPI programming and routing** [x]
- **Check functionality of the survey tool suite** [x]
- **Check the translations** [x]
- **Cognitive testing of survey questions** [x]
- **Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic** [ ]

**Other:**

### 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? [x]

## 5 Contact Form

### 5.1 What type of contact form was used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard ESS contact form</th>
<th>As is</th>
<th>Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual (no respondent selection)</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Random CAPI respondent selection</td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Birthday respondent selection</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Rizzo respondent selection</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Type of contact form used:**  
Indicate which ESS form was used, with or without adaptation.
## 6 Interviewer Selection

**Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers**

### 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10

**What number of interviewers**
- are free-lance interviewers: 27
- work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency): 6

*Other, please specify:*

**What number of interviewers**
- ...have worked on previous ESS rounds: N/A
- ...have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 0
- ...have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 13

### 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 4

The interviewers used for video interviews:
- ... are a separate panel
- ... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce

### 6.2 Payment of interviewers

- Per completed interview
- Per hours worked
- A regular fixed salary
- Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts
- Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)

**Bonus payment scheme**
- Please specify:

**Other**
- Please specify: Small amount paid in case of refusals and invalid addresses.

### 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

- Only face to face briefings
- Only virtual briefings
- Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings

---

## 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

### 7.1 Call schedules, visits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be on a weekend</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be in the evening</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone**

### 7.2 Call schedules, telephone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be on a weekend</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be in the evening</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet

**How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?**

- Mailed out with an advance letter
- Made available by interviewer on doorstep

---
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7.4 Use of respondent incentives

No respondent incentives
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview
Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:
Tote bag, coffee or disinfectant available for 80% of respondents

7.5 Re-issue strategies

Refusal conversion
Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
Using different interviewers
Other

Please describe:

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

Call-center
Web-pages
Other

Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

If 9.1 ticked off Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:
NUTS level: 3

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MK001</td>
<td>Vardarsi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK002</td>
<td>Istoichen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK003</td>
<td>Jugozapaden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK004</td>
<td>Jugoistoichen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK005</td>
<td>Pelagoniski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK006</td>
<td>Poloshki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK007</td>
<td>Severistoichen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK008</td>
<td>Skopski</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Grouping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>NUTS 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suggested grouping of the regional categories: NUTS 3

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived. In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

- At the time of the interview
- Later on

10.3 Number of occupation coders: 5

10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) ☑️

10.5 Was an adjudicator used?

- Yes
- No

Was adjudication done?

- By sampling
- On difficult cases ☑️

10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? ☑️

10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):
## 1 Data Depositor

The organisation depositing the data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor:</th>
<th>University of Bergen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Website address:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.uib.no/en/digsscore">www.uib.no/en/digsscore</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 2 Funding

Country's funding agency/ies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies</th>
<th>The Research Council of Norway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Website address:</td>
<td>forskningsradet.no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies

| 2.3 Grant number(s)          | 311281 |

## 3 Main Data Collection

Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ipsos Norway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Website address:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.2 Website address:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://www.ipsos.com/nb-no">https://www.ipsos.com/nb-no</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)

| From: 10.06.2021 | To: 04.05.2022 |

Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)

- Face to face: [ ]
- Video: [x]

Which tool was used for video interview?

- Skype: [ ]
- Zoom: [ ]
- Microsoft Teams: [x]
- Other: [ ]

Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:

- Norwegian

Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork?

- [x]

An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys.

For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).

3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?

- [ ]

3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?

- Before the sample is drawn: [ ]
- After the sample has been drawn: [ ]

3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

- [ ]

Characteristics of the sample

- Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3880
- Number of valid interviews, face to face: 920
- Number of valid video interviews: 491
- Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 0
3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
Included in full  [x]  
Included subset  [ ]

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?

3.11.2 [If 3.11 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD

3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
Same as for main data collection  [x]  
Or; write in:
Name:
Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
From: 23.09.2020 To: 29.10.2020

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
Face to face:  [x]  Number: 30
Video:  [x]  Number: 38
Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
tape recording of interviews  [ ]
video recording of interviews  [ ]

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct  [x]
Check layout of CAPI programming and routing  [x]
Check functionality of the survey tool suite  [x]
Check the translations  [ ]
Cognitive testing of survey questions  [x]
Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic  [x]
Other:

4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test?  [x]

5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used
Standard ESS contact form  As is
Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)
Individual (no respondent selection)  [x]
Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection  [ ]
Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection  [ ]
Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection  [ ]
Address – Random CAPI respondent selection  [ ]
Address – Birthday respondent selection  [ ]
Address – Rizzo respondent selection  [ ]
### 6 Interviewer Selection

**5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:**

**5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used?**

### 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10

**What number of interviewers**

... are free-lance interviewers: 0

... work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency): 82

Other, please specify:

**What number of interviewers**

...have worked on previous ESS rounds: 30

...have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 25

...have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 27

**6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews:**

The interviewers used for video interviews:

... are a separate panel

... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce

**6.2 Payment of interviewers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Marked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per completed interview</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per hours worked</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A regular fixed salary</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonus payment scheme</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please specify: Voucher lottery with amount of entries based on number of completed interviews.

**6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?**

Only face to face briefings

Only virtual briefings

Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings

### 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

**7.1 Call schedules, visits**

Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4

Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1

Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

**7.2 Call schedules, telephone**

Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): 4

Number of calls required to be on a weekend: 1

Number of calls required to be in the evening: 1

**7.3 GDPR Information Sheet**

How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?

Mailed out with an advance letter

Made available by interviewer on doorstep
Other (please specify): By email for video interviews or if not received by mail.

7.4 Use of respondent incentives

- No respondent incentives
- Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview
- Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
- Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview
- Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:
During the beginning of the FW, respondents received either lottery tickets or vouchers if more than 18 yo. Those below 18 yo received voucher for cinema tickets. Later on in the project, all respondents were offered vouchers.

7.5 Re-issue strategies

- Refusal conversion
- Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
- Using different interviewers
- Other

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

- Call-center
- Web-pages
- Other

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of units selected for back-check: 400
Number of back-checks conducted: 9
Number of back-checks achieved: 271
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 271
Number of units where outcome was not confirmed: 114

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries.

For all countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature). Example: (official NUTS code and label) Value: HR050 Label: Grad Zagreb

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

[ ] 9.1 ticked off

Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file: NUTS level:

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oslo og Viken</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Innlandet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Agder og Sør-øst</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vestlandet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Trøndelag</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nord-Norge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

The 6 regional levels are based on the present 11 counties (administrative regions) in Norway. The regions and counties are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGIONxx</th>
<th>Number of counties</th>
<th>Counties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Oslo og Viken</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oslo, Viken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Innlandet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Innlandet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Agder og Sør-øst</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Agder, Vestfold og Telemark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Vestlandet</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rogaland, Vestland, Møre og Romsdal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Trøndelag</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Trøndelag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Nord-Norge</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nordland, Troms og Finnmark</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

Suggested grouping of the regional categories: See point 9.3

### 9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

Yes, there have been changes. The county structure in Norway was officially changed in 2020, and the previous NUTS level 2 and level 3 valid in Norway as a member of EFTA are no longer applicable.

---

### 10 Occupation coding and procedures

#### 10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

#### 10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

- At the time of the interview
- Later on

#### 10.3 Number of occupation coders:

- 2

#### 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?

- Yes

#### 10.5 Was an adjudicator used?

- Yes

#### 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?

- Yes

#### 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

Verbatims where checked against ISCO and NACE guidelines. Coders cooperated on verbatims that were more challenging to code. ESS9 code list was also used to ensure consistency.
### 1 Data Depositor

**The organisation depositing the data**

1.1 **Name of Data depositor:**
Institute of Philosophy and Sociology, Polish Academy of Sciences

1.2 **Website address:**
ifispan.edu.pl

### 2 Funding

**Country’s funding agency/ies**

2.1 **Name of funding agency/ies**
The Ministry of Education and Science

2.2 **Website address:**
mein.gov.pl

2.3 **Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies**
DIR/WK/2017/2022/10-2

### 3 Main Data Collection

3.1 **Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:**
The Centre of Sociological Research at the Institute of Philosophy and Sociology of the Polish Academy of Sciences, subcontractor: Public Opinion Research Center

3.2 **Website address:**
http://rdsrc.ifispan.pl

3.3 **Date of main data collection** (DD.MM.YY)
From: 25.01.2022 To: 25.05.2022

3.4 **Mode of data collection**
- Postal recruitment:
- Fieldworker-assisted data collection:

If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used:
Poczta Polska, www.poczta-polska.pl

3.5 **Fieldworker tasks**
If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed:
The fieldworkers performed their tasks in the last phase of the fieldwork. They were reaching those respondents who did not respond to the postal invitation. The fieldworkers' tasks were as follows:
- visiting the respondent at the address indicated in the sample,
- establishing contact with the respondent in case of the respondent's absence, determining whether it will be possible to contact the respondent during the survey implementation period,
- conducting an interview about the reasons for not completing the postal questionnaire,
- convincing the respondent to complete the ESS questionnaire,
- leaving a paper questionnaire for the respondent to complete on their own,
- collecting the completed questionnaire from the respondent,
- delivering the completed survey to the regional coordinator.

3.6 **How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver?** (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire)
4

3.6.1 **In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included?**
1

3.6.2 **Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, +14 days) adopted?**
Timing was slightly modified: +7, +10, +14

3.7 **Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:**
Polish
3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents?

3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach?

3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes:

A new sample was selected for the study using a self-completion approach. Simple random sampling was used for the urban population, while 4-person clusters were selected for the rural population. The sampling scheme was agreed upon with the ESS Sampling and Weighting Expert Panel. A total of 5,728 persons were drawn. The sample was selected by the Polish Centre for Information Technology [Centralny Ośrodek Informatyki] which administers the PESEL register (universal electronic system for registration of the population, i.e. Polish national identification number).

3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied?

3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?

Before the sample is drawn

After the sample has been drawn

3.11.2 [If 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

3.12 Characteristics of the sample

Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 5,728
Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 695
Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 1354
Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 7
Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 27
Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 644

3.13 Optional COVID-19-module included in questionnaire:

Included in full

Included subset

3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:

3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?

3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD

3.15.1 [If 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Visits for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY)

From: 
To: 

4.2 Number of pre-test visits

Number of sample units issued:

Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test:

Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit:

Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders:

5 Contact Form for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted approach used:

As is

An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys.

For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).
5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations: Set of questions related to the reasons for not responding postal invitation were added.
6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures

6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the sample units?

Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact with sample unit

Other (please specify):

6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify:

6.2 Use of respondent incentives

Unconditional monetary incentives: cash

Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers

Amount of unconditional monetary incentives: 10 PLN

Conditional monetary incentives: cash

Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers

Amount of conditional monetary incentives: PLN 80 if the completed questionnaire is returned within 3 weeks of delivery; PLN 60 if the questionnaire is returned later but within 6 weeks of delivery, PLN 60 if the questionnaire is returned to the fieldworker.

If other incentives used, please describe:

6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders

Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?

Please describe:

Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?

Please describe: Fieldworkers visited 2,722 respondents who did not respond to a postal invitation to the survey. Due to the cost of the study, fieldworkers attempted to contact respondents in municipalities with at least two respondents. The total number of respondents who did not respond to the invitation letter amounted to 3,367; thus, 92.3% of the uncompleted sample was covered by the fieldworkers (100% * 2,722/3,367). At least one attempt to contact each respondent was required; fieldworkers often made more attempts at contacting respondents.

6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures

Helpline

Web-pages

Other Please describe:

7 Data processing

7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire?

Scan:

Key:

7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way):

In ESS, we have been using and developing the same survey data management system since 2002. The system helps to manage the various phases of data processing in a consistent manner: data entry, data consistency testing, data editing, combining data from various research tools and finally preparing data for dissemination in accordance with standards described in the Data Protocol. The operation of the system is based on scripts in the source code, which provides great flexibility in data formatting and the implementation of unusual research tools. Before each ESS round, a documentation is prepared, based on the questionnaire, Contact Form and Data Protocol, which allows for the integration of various phases of data processing. The data entry module is also based on this documentation. This allows the data entry operator to control the relationship between the respondents’ responses and to make adjustments in cases of inconsistent responses. This system is ideal for self-administered questionnaires, where the answers are often incomplete or inconsistent and therefore require treatment.

7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data

Was the scanned/keyed data verified?

Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked):
8 Quality control back-checks

8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify:

The work of fieldworkers who worked on the fieldwork phase was back-checked. The institution responsible for fieldwork (CBOS - Center for Public Opinion Research) controlled cases of respondents who did not fill in the ESS questionnaire but provided an interview about the reasons for not responding to the postal invitation. They controlled 5% of respondents. On the other hand, the control of respondents who completed the ESS questionnaires was conducted by the Institute of Philosophy and Sociology of the Polish Academy of Sciences (IFIS PAN). This audit covered more than 20% of the respondents who completed the ESS questionnaires.

For the control, we used the information collected by the interviewers in contact forms regarding the respondent's date of birth and phone number. The back-check procedure had two stages. Firstly, we checked whether the respondent's birth date reported by the fieldworker matched the birth data from the sample. If there was no match, all questionnaires of a given fieldworker were controlled in the second stage. We contacted respondents by telephone and asked questions from the control questionnaire. It was checked whether the interviewer visited the respondent and whether the respondent self-completed the ESS questionnaire. Moreover, selected questions from the ESS questionnaire were asked to controlled respondents (e.g. a number of persons in the household, Covid-19 infection). Due to suspected interviewer dishonesty, 19 ESS questionnaires were classified as incorrectly completed.

9 Region

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

[ ] 9.1 ticked off Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file: NUTS level: 2

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PL21</td>
<td>Malopolskie</td>
<td>PL82</td>
<td>Podkarpackie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL22</td>
<td>Śląskie</td>
<td>PL84</td>
<td>Podląskie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL41</td>
<td>Wielkopolskie</td>
<td>PL91</td>
<td>Warszawski stoleczny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL42</td>
<td>Zachodniopomorskie</td>
<td>PL92</td>
<td>Mazowiecki regionalny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL43</td>
<td>Lubuskie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL51</td>
<td>Dolnośląskie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL52</td>
<td>Opolskie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL61</td>
<td>Kujawsko-pomorskie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL62</td>
<td>Warmińsko-mazurskie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL63</td>
<td>Pomorskie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL71</td>
<td>Łódzkie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL72</td>
<td>Świętokrzyskie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL81</td>
<td>Lubelskie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

[ ] 9.4 ticked off

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[ ] 9.5 ticked off Please describe: The new NUT2 classification has been applied. See below for recoding the old categories to the new ones (old value -> new value):

21->21; 22->22; 41->41; 42->42; 43->43; 51->51; 52->52; 61->61; 62->62; 63->63; 11->71; 33->72; 31->81; 32->82; 34->84; 12->91; 12->92. Note: in the new classification, mazowiecki (MAzovian region, old value 12) was split into two categories: 91 - warszawski stoleczny (the capital city of Warsaw) and 92 - mazowiecki regionalny (the rest of the Mazovian region).
10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived.
In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 10.2 Number of occupation coders: | 1 |

| 10.3 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)? |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10.4 Was an adjudicator used?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Was adjudication done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On difficult cases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 10.5 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? |

10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g., checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):
A dedicated computer program has been used to support coding occupations with ISCO-08. In addition, all occupations were simultaneously and independently coded using the national classification, which to some extent is consistent with the ISCO. At the end, the two coding results were crossed with each other, and all inconsistencies were thoroughly discussed with an adjudicator.
1 Data Depositor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The organisation depositing the data</th>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor: Instituto de Ciências Sociais</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 Website address: <a href="https://www.ics.ulisboa.pt">https://www.ics.ulisboa.pt</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country’s funding agency/ies</th>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2 Website address: <a href="https://www.fct.pt">https://www.fct.pt</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) PTDC/SOC-SOC/6692/2020 |

3 Main Data Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: GfK-Metris</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Website address: <a href="http://www.gfk.pt/">http://www.gfk.pt/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 23/08/2021 To: 09/03/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face to face: □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video: □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 [If 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skype □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoom □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft Teams □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8.1 [If 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before the sample is drawn □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After the sample has been drawn □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8.2 [If 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys. For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).

3.9 Characteristics of the sample

| Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 5254  |
| Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1830                                  |
| Number of valid video interviews: 8                                             |
| Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 0            |
Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0

3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
Included in full ☒
Included subset ☐

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:
☐

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?
☐

3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD
☐

3.12.1 [If 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
Same as for main data collection ☒
Or; write in:
Name:
Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
From: 20/07/2021 To: 02/08/2021

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
Face to face: ☒ Number: 30
Video: ☐ Number:
Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
tape recording of interviews ☒
video recording of interviews ☐

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct ☒
Check layout of CAPI programming and routing ☒
Check functionality of the survey tool suite ☐
Check the translations ☐
Cognitive testing of survey questions ☐
Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic ☒
Other:

4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? ☒

5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used
Standard ESS contact form ☐
Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) ☒
Individual (no respondent selection) ☐
Individual (with respondent selection) ☐
Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection ☐
Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection ☐
Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection ☐
Address – Random CAPI respondent selection ☒
Address – Birthday respondent selection ☐
Address – Rizzo respondent selection ☐
### 6 Interviewer Selection

**Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers**

#### 6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10

**What number of interviewers**

- are free-lance interviewers: **67**
- work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency): **0**

Other, please specify:

**What number of interviewers**

- have worked on previous ESS rounds: **27**
- have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: **0**
- have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: **0**

#### 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: **5**

The interviewers used for video interviews:

- are a separate panel
- overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce

#### 6.2 Payment of interviewers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payment Type</th>
<th>Selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per completed interview</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per hours worked</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A regular fixed salary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bonus payment scheme: ☑ Please specify: Depending on the number of interviews achieved per week

Other: ☑ Please specify:

#### 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

- Only face to face briefings
- Only virtual briefings
- Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings

### 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

#### 7.1 Call schedules, visits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total:</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be on a weekend:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be in the evening:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone**

#### 7.2 Call schedules, telephone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be on a weekend:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be in the evening:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 7.3 GDPR Information Sheet

How was the GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?

- Mailed out with an advance letter: ☑
- Made available by interviewer on doorstep: ☑
7.4 Use of respondent incentives

No respondent incentives
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview
Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:
A 5 euro voucher of a retail chain was given by the interviewer after the completion of the full-length interview.

7.5 Re-issue strategies

Refusal conversion
Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
Using different interviewers

Other Please describe:

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

Call-center
Web-pages

Other Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check: (*)</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)
* Additional units selected for back-check (ICS): 117

9 Region

For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level.
Please see [Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries](#)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

If 9.1 ticking off) Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONPT in the data file: NUTS level: 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9.2 Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived.

In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

- At the time of the interview
- Later on

10.3 Number of occupation coders:

1

10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)

10.5 Was an adjudicator used?

- Was adjudication done
  - By sampling
  - On difficult cases

10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?

10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

- No coding software used, we applied a manual coding directly into the 4-digit ISCO08
- A second person checked the coding of a percentage of randomly selected questionnaires
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>1 Data Depositor</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The organisation depositing the data</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.1 Name of Data depositor:</strong></td>
<td>University of Belgrade Faculty of Philosophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.2 Website address:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>2 Funding</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country’s funding agency/ies</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies</strong></td>
<td>Ministry of education, science and technological development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.2 Website address:</strong></td>
<td><a href="https://mpn.gov.rs/">https://mpn.gov.rs/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.3 Grant number(s)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>3 Main Data Collection</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:</strong></td>
<td>The Institute for Sociological Research, Faculty of Philosophy, University of Belgrade, Institute for Social Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.2 Website address:</strong></td>
<td><a href="http://isi.f.bg.ac.rs/">http://isi.f.bg.ac.rs/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)</strong></td>
<td>From: 11.01.2022 To: 25.05.2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.4 Mode of data collection</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postal recruitment: ✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fieldworker-assisted data collection: ✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If postal recruitment:</strong> Name and website address of delivery service(s) used:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Savska 2, 11000 Belgrade, <a href="https://www.posta.rs/">https://www.posta.rs/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.5 Fieldworker tasks</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1) Visit the address: non-respondent address are visited once by a fieldworker, who tried to make contact with the respondent. No repeat visits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) Letter and paper questionnaire: If contact is made, then the fieldworker provided the respondent with both a letter (i.e., a reminder letter, including the survey background information and their Qualtrics web link and access code) and the paper questionnaire. If it was not possible to make contact, then the fieldworker left the letter and paper questionnaire at the address.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3) Contact form: Fieldworkers filled in a contact form for each contact attempt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver?</strong> (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included?</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted?</strong></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:</strong></td>
<td>Serbian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents?</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach? □

3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes:

3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? ☒

3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? □

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?

Before the sample is drawn ☒

After the sample has been drawn □

3.11.2 [If 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

3.12 Characteristics of the sample

Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 5192
Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 648
Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 729
Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 12
Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 29
Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 576

3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:

Included in full ☒

Included subset □

3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: □

3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? □

3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD □

3.15.1 [If 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Visits for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY)

From: To:

4.2 Number of pre-test visits

Number of sample units issued:

Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test:

Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit:

Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders:

5 Contact Form for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted approach used:

As is ☒

Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) □

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:
### 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures

#### 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the sample units?

- Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact with sample unit

- Other (please specify): via institute webpage

#### 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify:

- No

#### 6.2 Use of respondent incentives

- Unconditional monetary incentives: cash
- Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers

- Amount of unconditional monetary incentives:

- Conditional monetary incentives: cash
- Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers

- Amount of conditional monetary incentives:

- 5 €

- If other incentives used, please describe:

#### 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders

- Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?

- Please describe: After first reminder we used telephone reminders for addresses where the phone number was available.

- Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?

- Please describe: We have organised follow up visits by fieldworkers. They visited 1051 households and tried to make contacts. They left the letter and paper questionnaire.

#### 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures

- Helpline
- Web-pages

- Other

- Please describe: Phone calls during the fieldwork.

### 7 Data processing

#### 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire?

- Scan:

- Key:

#### 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way):

- We have entered responses into Qualtrics. String data was recoded to numerical before entering (due the time and budget limit)

#### 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data

- Was the scanned/keyed data verified?

- Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked): 20% of questionnaires were checked
8 Quality control back-checks

8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify:

9 Region

For NUTS countries:
Please document the used NUTS level. Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries

For all countries:
Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature).

Example: (official NUTS code and label)
Value: HR050
Label: Grad Zagreb

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?
[ ]

[ ] Specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:

NUTS level: 2

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RS11</td>
<td>Београдски регион</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS12</td>
<td>Регион Војводине</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS21</td>
<td>Регион Шумадије и Западне Србије</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS22</td>
<td>Регион Јужне и Источне Србије</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

[ ]

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[ ]

10 Occupation coding and procedures

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

10.2 Number of occupation coders: 4

10.3 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?
[ ]

10.4 Was an adjudicator used?

[ ]

Was adjudication done

By sampling

On difficult cases

10.5 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?

[ ]

10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

It was checked by 3 supervisors. Supervisors checked and compared the work of coders.
## 1 Data Depositor

**The organisation depositing the data**

### 1.1 Name of Data depositor:
Institute of Social Sciences CSPS SAS

### 1.2 Website address:
https://svusav.sk/en

## 2 Funding

**Country’s funding agency/ies**

### 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies
Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic

### 2.2 Website address:
https://www.minedu.sk/

### 2.3 Grant number(s)

## 3 Main Data Collection

**Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:**
Go4insight s.r.o., ACRC s.r.o.

### 3.2 Website address:

g4insight.com, www.acrc.sk

### 3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)
From: 25.05.2021
To: 31.10.2021

### 3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)

- [x] Face to face:
- [ ] Video:

### 3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?

- [ ] Skype
- [ ] Zoom
- [ ] Microsoft Teams
- [ ] Other:

### 3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:
Slovak, Hungarian

### 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork?

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

**An opt-out list is a register of individuals which have officially opted out of participating in surveys.**

For more information see ESS10 Data Protocol item E4 p. 28 (INTERVA).

### 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

#### 3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?

- [ ] Before the sample is drawn
- [ ] After the sample has been drawn

#### 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

- [ ]

### 3.9 Characteristics of the sample

- **Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals):** 3258
- **Number of valid interviews, face to face:** 1418
- **Number of valid video interviews:** 0
- **Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team):** 0
- **Number of sample units not contacted at all:** 386
### 3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full
- Included subset

### 3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire:

### 3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork?

### 3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

### 3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD

#### 3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

### 4 Pre-Test Data Collection

#### 4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
- Same as for main data collection
- Or; write in:
  - Name:
  - Website address:

#### 4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
- From: 06.05.2021
- To: 13.05.2021

#### 4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
- Face to face: Number: 30
- Video: Number: 20
- Other:

#### 4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
- Tape recording of interviews
- Video recording of interviews

#### 4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct
- Check layout of CAPI programming and routing
- Check functionality of the survey tool suite
- Check the translations
- Cognitive testing of survey questions
- Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic
- Other:

#### 4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test?

### 5 Contact Form

#### 5.1 What type of contact form was used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of contact form used</th>
<th>As is</th>
<th>Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual (no respondent selection)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Random CAPI respondent selection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Birthday respondent selection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address – Rizzo respondent selection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:
5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? ☒

6 Interviewer Selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10</th>
<th>196</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What number of interviewers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… are free-lance interviewers: 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, please specify:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What number of interviewers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… have worked on previous ESS rounds: 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: |     |
| The interviewers used for video interviews: | |
| … are a separate panel | ☒ |
| … overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce | ☐ |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.2 Payment of interviewers</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per completed interview</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per hours worked</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A regular fixed salary</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonus payment scheme</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers? |     |
| Only face to face briefings | ☐ |
| Only virtual briefings      | ☒ |
| Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings | ☐ |

7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.1 Call schedules, visits</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total:</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be on a weekend:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be in the evening:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.2 Call schedules, telephone</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be on a weekend:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be in the evening:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.3 GDPR Information Sheet</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailed out with an advance letter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Made available by interviewer on doorstep</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 7.4 Use of respondent incentives |     |
7.5 Re-issue strategies

- Refusal conversion
- Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
- Using different interviewers
- Other

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

- Call-center
- Web-pages
- Other

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SK010</td>
<td>Bratislavský kraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK021</td>
<td>Trnavský kraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK022</td>
<td>Trenčiansky kraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK023</td>
<td>Nitriansky kraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK031</td>
<td>Žilinský kraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK032</td>
<td>Banskobystrický kraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK041</td>
<td>Prešovský kraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK042</td>
<td>Košický kraj</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:
9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level
   - Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?
   - [if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

__10 Occupation coding and procedures__

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>10.2 Occupation coding procedures:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the time of the interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Later on</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>10.3 Number of occupation coders:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding):</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[✓]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>10.5 Was an adjudicator used?</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[✓]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[✓]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### 1 Data Depositor

**The organisation depositing the data**

**1.1 Name of Data depositor:**

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences, Public Opinion and Mass Communication Research Center

**1.2 Website address:**

https://www.cjm.si/

### 2 Funding

**Country's funding agency/ies**

**2.1 Name of funding agency/ies**

Slovenian Research Agency, Ministry of Education, Science and Sport

**2.2 Website address:**


**Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies**

**2.3 Grant number(s)**

Infrastructure programme I0-0022-0510

### 3 Main Data Collection

**3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:**

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences, Public Opinion and Mass Communication Research Center

**3.2 Website address:**

https://www.cjm.si/

**3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)**

From: 18.09.20
To: 26.08.21

**3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)**

- Face to face: 
- Video: 

**3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview?**

- Skype
- Zoom
- Microsoft Teams
- Other: Jitsi

**3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:**

Slovenian

**3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork?**

- ❌

**3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?**

- ✗

**3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?**

- Before the sample is drawn
- After the sample has been drawn

**3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:**

**3.9 Characteristics of the sample**

- **Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals):** 2400
- **Number of valid interviews, face to face:** 1252
- **Number of valid video interviews:** 0
- Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 0
Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0

3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full ✔
- Included subset 

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: 

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? 

3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD

3.12.1 [if 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
- Same as for main data collection ✔
- Or; write in: 
  - Name: 
  - Website address: 

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
- From: 26.08.20
- To: 04/09.20

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
- Face to face: ✔ Number: 30
- Video: ✔ Number: 10
- Other: 0

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
- □ Tape recording of interviews
- □ Video recording of interviews

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
- □ Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct
- □ Check layout of CAPI programming and routing
- □ Check functionality of the survey tool suite
- □ Check the translations
- □ Cognitive testing of survey questions
- □ Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic
- □ Other:

4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? ✔

5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used
- Standard ESS contact form ✔
- As is 
- Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)

- Individual (no respondent selection) ✔
- Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection 
- Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection 
- Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection 
- Address – Random CAPI respondent selection 
- Address – Birthday respondent selection 
- Address – Rizzo respondent selection 

Indicate which ESS form was used, with or without adaptation.
6 Interviewer Selection

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:

5.2 Was the Fieldwork management system app (FMS) used? □

6 Interviewer Selection

Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers

6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10 58

What number of interviewers:
... are free-lance interviewers: 32
... work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency): 15

Other, please specify: students (3) and other employees - second job (23)

What number of interviewers:
... have worked on previous ESS rounds: 38
... have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before: 0
... have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever: 3

6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 3

The interviewers used for video interviews:
... are a separate panel □
... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce ✔

6.2 Payment of interviewers

Per completed interview ✔
Per hours worked □
A regular fixed salary □
Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts ✔
Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance) □

Bonus payment scheme □ Please specify: depends on response rate (50-55% or even 100% in PSU)

Other □ Please specify: Briefing attending fee

6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?

Only face to face briefings ✔
Only virtual briefings □
Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings □

7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

7.1 Call schedules, visits

Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone

7.2 Call schedules, telephone

Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):
Number of calls required to be on a weekend:
Number of calls required to be in the evening:

7.3 GDPR Information Sheet

How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?

Mailed out with an advance letter ✔
Made available by interviewer on doorstep □
Other (please specify):
7.4 Use of respondent incentives

No respondent incentives

Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview

Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview

Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview

Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

Every participant was awarded with 10€ gift card (for a major retail chain in Slovenia). In second part of the field, we gave the interviewers the opportunity to offer an additional 5 euros gift card for different retail chain - as exit incentives (to give to the hesitant). So, 25% of those who participated in the second part of the field phase got 2 gift cards.

7.5 Re-issue strategies

Refusal conversion

Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)

Using different interviewers

Other

Please describe:

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

Call-center

Web-pages

Other

Please describe:

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of units selected for back-check: 562 109 62

Number of back-checks conducted 562 109 55

Number of back-checks achieved: 332 80 42

Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 330 80

Number of units where outcome was not confirmed: 2 0

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries.

For all countries: Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature).

Example: (official NUTS code and label)

Value: HR050
Label: Grad Zagreb

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

[ ] Yes

[ ] No

[f 9.1 ticked off] Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file: NUTS level: 3

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SI031</td>
<td>Pomurska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI032</td>
<td>Podravska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI033</td>
<td>Koroska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI034</td>
<td>Savinjska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI035</td>
<td>Zasavsko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI036</td>
<td>Posavska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI037</td>
<td>Jugovzhodna Slovenija</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI038</td>
<td>Primorsko-notranjska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI041</td>
<td>Osrednjeslovenska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI042</td>
<td>Gorenjska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI043</td>
<td>Goriska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI044</td>
<td>Obalno-kraska</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

### 10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived.
In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 10.2 Occupation coding procedures: |

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

| At the time of the interview | Later on |

| 10.3 Number of occupation coders: |

1

| 10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding) |

| 10.5 Was an adjudicator used? |

| 10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process? |

| 10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

Coding was performed by the dedicated experienced person. Some special cases were discussed within the national team.
### Spain

#### 1 Data Depositor

**The organisation depositing the data**

1.1 Name of Data depositor: Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas

1.2 Website address: www.cis.es

#### 2 Funding

**Country’s funding agency/ies**

2.1 Name of funding agency/ies

Ministry of Science and Innovation (ERIC-ESS contribution)/Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas (Fieldwork organisation and funding)

2.2 Website address: 

https://www.ciencia.gob.es/ www.cis.es

**Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies**

2.3 Grant number(s)

#### 3 Main Data Collection

**Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:** Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas

3.2 Website address: www.cis.es

3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)

From: 21.01.2022 To: 31.05.2022

3.4 Mode of data collection

Postal recruitment:

Fieldworker-assisted data collection:

If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used:
Sociedad Estatal Correos y Telegrafos (www.correos.es)

3.5 Fieldworker tasks

If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed:

3.6 How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire)

4

3.6.1 In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included?

3

3.6.2 Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted?

Yes (in some cases +1 or 2 days for organizational issues)

3.7 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:

Spanish, Castilian
Catalan

3.8 If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents?

Both the Spanish and the Catalan version of the questionnaire were sent to all individuals selected in the sample in Catalonia.

3.9 Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach?

X

3.9.1 If yes, please give a short description of the changes:

The basic design was the same, but both the size of the main sample and the reserve one were increased (the change was approved by the ESS SWEP).
3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? ✗

3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? ☐

3.11.1 [if 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?

Before the sample is drawn ☐

After the sample has been drawn ☐

3.11.2 [if 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

3.12 Characteristics of the sample

Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 6734

Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 1754

Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 529

Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 245

Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 34

Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 165

3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:

Included in full ☒

Included subset ☐

3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire? ☐

3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? ☐

3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD ☐

3.15.1 [if 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Visits for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY)

From: ☐

To: ☐

4.2 Number of pre-test visits

Number of sample units issued:

Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test:

Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit:

Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders:

5 Contact Form for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted approach used:

As is ☐

Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) ☐

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:
### 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures

#### 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the sample units?

- Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact
- Other (please specify):

#### 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify:

- No

#### 6.2 Use of respondent incentives

- Unconditional monetary incentives: cash
- Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers
- Amount of unconditional monetary incentives:
  - No monetary unconditional incentive was used (see below).
- Conditional monetary incentives: cash
- Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers
- Amount of conditional monetary incentives:
  - No monetary incentive was used.
- If other incentives used, please describe:
  - A folded cloth bag with the name of the survey organisation was sent to all individuals in the sample with the invitation letter.

#### 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders

- Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?
- Please describe:

- Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?
- Please describe:

#### 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures

- Helpline
- Web-pages
- Other

### 7 Data processing

#### 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire?

- Scan:
- Key:

#### 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way):

- Entering responses into Qualtrics

#### 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data

- Was the scanned/keyed data verified?

Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked):

- Around 20% were randomly checked, and the process of assessing whether there were duplicates and also the validity of achieved questionnaires also served as a way to check for mistakes in the data from paper questionnaires which were registered in Qualtrics.
8 Quality control back-checks

8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify:

See above.

9 Region

For NUTS countries:

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

[ ] 9.1 ticked off

Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:

NUTS level: 2

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ES61</td>
<td>Andalucía</td>
<td>ES62</td>
<td>Región de Murcia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES24</td>
<td>Aragón</td>
<td>ES22</td>
<td>Comunidad Foral de Navarra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES12</td>
<td>Principado de Asturias</td>
<td>ES21</td>
<td>País Vasco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES53</td>
<td>Illes Balears</td>
<td>ES23</td>
<td>La Rioja</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES70</td>
<td>Canarias</td>
<td>ES63</td>
<td>Ciudad Autónoma de Ceuta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES13</td>
<td>Cantabria</td>
<td>ES64</td>
<td>Ciudad Autónoma de Melilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES42</td>
<td>Castilla-La Mancha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES41</td>
<td>Castilla y León</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES51</td>
<td>Cataluña</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES52</td>
<td>Comunidad Valenciana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES43</td>
<td>Extremadura</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES11</td>
<td>Galicia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES30</td>
<td>Comunidad de Madrid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

[ ]

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?

[ ]

[if 9.5 ticked off] Please describe:

10 Occupation coding and procedures

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

[ ] First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08

[ ] First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08

[ ] No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

10.2 Number of occupation coders: 6

10.3 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?

[ ]

10.4 Was an adjudicator used?

[ ]

Was adjudication done

By sampling

[ ]

On difficult cases

[ ]

10.5 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?

[ ]

10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

The coding consisted on the following steps:

1. First, interviewers register respondents’ literal answer to the questions on occupation.
2. Coders assign a 4-digit ISCO08 code to respondents’ answers.
3. A back-coding procedure is implemented always when coding proved difficult.
# 1 Data Depositor

The organisation depositing the data

| 1.1 Name of Data depositor: | Department of Sociology, Umeå University, Sweden |
| 1.2 Website address: | https://www.umu.se/en/department-of-sociology/ |

# 2 Funding

Country's funding agency/ies

| 2.1 Name of funding agency/ies | Vetenskapsrådet (The Swedish Research Council) |
| 2.2 Website address: | https://www.vr.se/english.html |

Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies

| 2.3 Grant number(s) | 2017-00667 |

# 3 Main Data Collection

Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:

Ipsos AB
Ipsos Observer Sweden, S:t Göransgatan 63, Box 12236, 102 26 Stockholm

| 3.2 Website address: | https://www.ipsos.com/sv-se |

**Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)**

From: 10.12.21 To: 17.01.22

**Mode of data collection**

- [ ] Postal recruitment:
- [ ] Fieldworker-assisted data collection:

If postal recruitment: Name and website address of delivery service(s) used:


**Fieldworker tasks**

If you used fieldworkers at any stage of the self-completion data collection, please list here all the task that fieldworkers performed:

A small number of fieldworkers performed telephone reminders to respondents

**How many mailings (invitation plus reminders) did you send out/deliver? (For fieldworker-assisted countries: Do not include the final visit to collect the paper questionnaire)**

4 (+1 soft launch invitation)

**In which of the mailings was the paper questionnaire included?**

Second and third

**Was the recommended mailing timings (+7, +14, + 14 days) adopted?**

No, due to web survey issues and cases of fake respondents, we had a one-month break in our data collection (between 10/28/21 - 11/23/21). Apart from these issues, we followed the recommended mailing timings

**Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:**

Swedish

**If more than one language was used, how did you provide the paper questionnaires in multiple languages to the respondents?**

**Was the existing sample design modified to fit a self-completion approach?**

No

**If yes, please give a short description of the changes:**
3.10 Was a soft launch (issuing 100 sample units 14 days before contacting the rest of the gross sample) applied? ☒

3.11 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? ☐

3.11.1 [if 3.11 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect?
- Before the sample is drawn ☐
- After the sample has been drawn ☐

3.11.2 [if 3.11 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

3.12 Characteristics of the sample
- Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 6251
- Number of valid interviews, web questionnaire: 1345
- Number of valid interviews, paper questionnaire: 944
- Number of invalid interviews, web questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 433
- Number of invalid interviews, paper questionnaire (interviews not approved by the national team): 143
- Number of sample units not contacted at all (for postal countries, insert number of letters returned as underlivered): 131

3.13 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full ☐
- Included subset ☒

3.14 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: ☐

3.14.1 [If 3.14 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? ☐

3.14.2 [If 3.14.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.15 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD ☐

3.15.1 [If 3.15 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Visits for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

4.1 Date of pre-test visits (DD.MM.YY)
  From: ☐
  To: ☐

4.2 Number of pre-test visits
  Number of sample units issued: ☐
  Number of fieldworkers involved in the pre-test: ☐
  Number of visits included in pre-test, per sample unit: ☐
  Intervals between the invitation letter and the different reminders:

5 Contact Form for Fieldworker-Assisted Approach

5.1 How was the ESS self-completion contact form for fieldworker-assisted approach used:
  As is ☐
  Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) ☐

5.1.1 If adapted by NC/FWO, please specify the adaptations:
### 6 Information for respondents and response rate enhancing procedures

#### 6.1 How was the Data Protection Information Sheet (standard version) or (for CRONOS-2 countries) made available to the sample units?
- Sent out with the invitation letter/ provided at first contact
- Other (please specify): Data protection information was sent out with the invitation letter, first reminder and second reminder

#### 6.1.1 Was any change other than translation made to the Data Protection Information Sheet? Please specify:
- No

#### 6.2 Use of respondent incentives
- Unconditional monetary incentives: cash
- Unconditional monetary incentives: vouchers
- Amount of unconditional monetary incentives: 50SEK
- Conditional monetary incentives: cash
- Conditional monetary incentives: vouchers
- Amount of conditional monetary incentives: 300 SEK (and then 600 SEK for respondents with stolen ID:s).
- If other incentives used, please describe:

#### 6.3 Telephone reminders/follow-up visits to non-responders
- Have telephone reminders been used (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?
- Please describe: Three telephone reminders were used. The first two shortly after the second reminder and the third telephone contact shortly after the third reminder.
- Have follow-up visits been made by fieldworkers to encourage non-responders to complete the survey (in addition to the obligatory mailings/visits)?
- Please describe:

#### 6.4 Use of additional response enhancing measures
- Helpline
- Web-pages
- Other
- Please describe:

### 7 Data processing

#### 7.1 When merging the paper questionnaire data with the web survey data, did you scan or key the data from the paper questionnaire?
- Scan: 
- Key: 

#### 7.1.1 If keyed, please specify how this was done (i.e., entering responses into Qualtrics or in another way):

#### 7.2 Verification of scanning/keying of data
- Was the scanned/keyed data verified? 
Please describe (i.e. proportion of questionnaires checked):

- All questionnaires are scanned page by page using a specialized software where the coding of every question is predefined for every project. In the case of ESS the "ESS10SCms 2022" template was used to get all variable names, values, and measures.
  - The software is programmed, and every checkbox and open answer area is defined.
- The questionnaires are divided into batches and are scanned. Every batch is marked in case there is a need to go back it is easily found. The batch number is also included in the
- All scanned questionnaires are manually checked to see that the correct number of pages is scanned.
- The program checks the scanned data against the predefined programming and if a question is correctly filled out it is marked as correct but if
  - On a single answer question there are two answers the question is highlighted
  - All multiple questions are highlighted
  - All questions containing numbers are highlighted
  - All open-ended questions are highlighted
  - If there are markings outside a predefined area the questions is highlighted
- All highlighted questions are manually checked and verified.
- A supervisor is present at every moment to be able to give a second opinion/verify manually entered questions.
- After all questions have been verified the data is exported the SPSS/Excel/CSV.
8 Quality control back-checks

8.1 Were any back-checks performed? Please specify:
No

9 Region

For NUTS countries:
Please document the used NUTS level.
Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries

For all countries:
Please list the categories in the variable REGIONxx in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature).
Example: (official NUTS code and label)
Value: HR050
Label: Grad Zagreb

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?
[ ]

[ ]

9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SE11</td>
<td>Stockholm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE12</td>
<td>Östra Mellansverige</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE21</td>
<td>Småland med Öarna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE22</td>
<td>Sydsverige</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE23</td>
<td>Västsverige</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE31</td>
<td>Norra Mellansverige</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE32</td>
<td>Mellersta Norrland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE33</td>
<td>Övre Norrland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level
[ ]

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last ESS round?
[ ]

If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative samples for each regional unit.

10 Occupation coding and procedures

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):
[ ] First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08
[ ] First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
[ ] No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

10.2 Number of occupation coders:
2

10.3 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?
[ ]

10.4 Was an adjudicator used?
[ ]

Was adjudication done
- By sampling
- On difficult cases

10.5 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?
[ ]

10.6 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

Each job and education was coded against the code frame and it was conducted independently by two coders. If the coders had suggested different codes they reviewed and decided on which code was the most correct. The coding frame used was the one supplied in the ESS-template.
1 Data Depositor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The organisation depositing the data</th>
<th>1.1 Name of Data depositor: Michael Ochsner, FORS, Switzerland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 Website address: <a href="http://www.forscenter.ch">www.forscenter.ch</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country’s funding agency/ies</th>
<th>2.1 Name of funding agency/ies SNFS (Swiss National Science Foundation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2 Website address: <a href="http://www.snsf.ch">www.snsf.ch</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies | 2.3 Grant number(s) Research infrastructure Nr. 10FIP4_198472 |

3 Main Data Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data:</th>
<th>3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: M.I.S. Trend SA, Lausanne, Switzerland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Website address:</td>
<td>3.2 Website address: <a href="https://www.mistrend.ch/accueil">https://www.mistrend.ch/accueil</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY)</th>
<th>3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) From: 04.05.2021 To: 02.05.2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of data collection (mark all that apply)</th>
<th>3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) Face to face: X Video: X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Which tool was used for video interview?</th>
<th>3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? Skype Zoom Microsoft Teams Other:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language(s) in which the survey was conducted:</th>
<th>3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: German/Swiss German, French, Italian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? | 3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? X |

| Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?         | 3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? X |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>When does the opt-out list take effect?</th>
<th>3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? Before the sample is drawn After the sample has been drawn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: | 3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of the sample</th>
<th>3.9 Characteristics of the sample Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3102</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid interviews, face to face:</td>
<td>3.9 Characteristics of the sample Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of valid video interviews:</td>
<td>3.9 Characteristics of the sample Number of valid video interviews: 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team):</td>
<td>3.9 Characteristics of the sample Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 15 (6 partial and 9 invalidated)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Number of sample units not contacted at all: 0

3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full [x]
- Included subset [ ]

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: [ ]

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? [ ]

3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD [ ]

3.12.1 [If 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
- Same as for main data collection [x]
- Or; write in:
  - Name:
  - Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
- From: 30.03.2021
- To: 01.04.2021

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
- Face to face: [x] Number: 32
- Video: [x] Number: 16
- Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
- Tape recording of interviews [ ]
- Video recording of interviews [ ]

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct [x]
- Check layout of CAPI programming and routing [x]
- Check functionality of the survey tool suite [ ]
- Check the translations [ ]
- Cognitive testing of survey questions [ ]
- Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic [x]
- Other: Additionally to the checks through the pretests, every language version has been controlled by the survey organization as well as by members of the Swiss ESS team, before and after the pretest. Using a comprehensive range of respondent-scenarios, two native researchers per language (one in Italian) tested CAPI programming on consistency of routing, wording and lay-out.

4.6 [if 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? [x]

5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used
- Standard ESS contact form As is
  - Individual (no respondent selection) [ ]
  - Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection [ ]
  - Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection [ ]
  - Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection [ ]
  - Address – Random CAPI respondent selection [ ]
  - Address – Birthday respondent selection [ ]
- Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor) [x]
### 6 Interviewer Selection

**Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10</th>
<th>58 CAPI (for interviews) + 17 CATI (for contact only)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What number of interviewers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... are free-lance interviewers: 58 (we do not know for each interviewer whether she/he works for another survey agency too → even if we feel that most of them do exclusively work for us, we prefer to put all of them into this first category)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency): 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, please specify:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews: 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The interviewers used for video interviews:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... are a separate panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.2 Payment of interviewers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per completed interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per hours worked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A regular fixed salary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonus payment scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please specify:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please specify: Interviewers are paid per completed interview and compensated with a lump-sum for each contact attempt resp. for travel fees. Additionally to the CAPI interviewers, the fieldwork agency hired CATI interviewers (for contact attempts ONLY). These were remunerated on an hourly basis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Only face to face briefings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only virtual briefings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.1 Call schedules, visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.2 Call schedules, telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be on a weekend:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be in the evening:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.3 GDPR Information Sheet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailed out with an advance letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.4 Use of respondent incentives

No respondent incentives
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview
Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

7.5 Re-issue strategies

Refusal conversion
Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
Using different interviewers

Other Please describe: After the face-to-face refusal conversion procedure, all non-respondents with a known fixed-line telephone number were contacted by phone.

7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

Call-center
Web-pages

Other Please describe: For respondents:
- Sampled units were sent an advance letter including a brochure with details on the ESS.
- The fieldwork agency provided a toll-free hotline for respondents.
- Non-contacts were sent a reminder letter showing a hotline number and including response card as possible means to make an appointment for an interview.
- Interviewers had Topline Results Brochures to show to respondents
- Accommodated on the FORS website, there is a respondent-specific web-page (in German and French) that was made available to the whole sample.

For interviewers:
Interviewers were regularly sent a dashboard with their outcomes, comparing them to the target and mean. Outcomes close to target or above mean were in green, those below in red (or orange). So they could see at a glance where they have to put more efforts (contacts, avoid refusals, etc.).

The conditional non-monetary incentive aims at making them feel more comfortable in the interaction with the respondents. They are so able to offer something back after the interview.

With the interviewers receiving extra batch of addresses at the end of the fieldwork, we organised an online re-briefing event where we informed them about points from the intermediary data report, exchanged experiences etc.

8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

9 Region

For NUTS countries: Please document the used NUTS level. Please see Statistical regions in the European Union and partner countries.

For all countries:

9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

If 9.1 ticked off Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:

NUTS level: 2

9.2 Categories

| Value | Label | Value (cont.) | Label (cont.) |
Please list the categories in the variable `REGIONxx` in the dataset (both NUTS countries and countries not included in the NUTS nomenclature).

Example: (official NUTS code and label)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH01</td>
<td>Région lémanique (VD, VS, GE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH02</td>
<td>Espace Mittelland (BE, FR, SO, NE, JU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH03</td>
<td>Nordwestschweiz (BS, BL, AG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH04</td>
<td>Zürich (ZH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH05</td>
<td>Ostschweiz (GL, SH, AR, SG, GR, TG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH06</td>
<td>Zentralschweiz (LU, UR, SZ, OW, NW, ZG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH07</td>
<td>Ticino (TI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Keywords: administrative units, statistical regions, possible to attach contextual data

9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

Suggested grouping of the regional categories:

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (`REGIONxx`) since the last ESS round?

10 Occupation coding and procedures

Please indicate how the ISCO08 was derived.

In order to study the transition to ISCO08 more closely please deposit any national occupational variables used in the transition to ISCO08.

10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):

- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08

10.2 Occupation coding procedures:

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

- At the time of the interview
- Later on

10.3 Number of occupation coders:

- 3

10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)

- ☒

10.5 Was an adjudicator used?

- ☒

Was adjudication done

- By sampling
- On difficult cases

10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?

- ☒

10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):

When answering the first occupation question (title of occupation), the interviewers could choose a denomination from the comprehensive occupation list of the SFSO (Swiss Federal Statistical Office). The closest denominations were filtered while entering the text. If nothing corresponded, a free text could be entered. In any case, a description of the main activities had to be given as open text. The list of the SFSO also proposes a bridging to the ISCO-08 codes. The codes were however checked with the the other relevant variables (F31-F34, sometimes with F15 for the respondent and equivalently for partner). The open answers were coded manually.

Difficult cases were discussed with a team member. Information about supervision and the organisation was used to check and decide ambiguous cases. Coding was finally validated via crossing with several variables such as education, size of company, number of supervised staff, education necessary for job, economic branch.
### United Kingdom

#### 1 Data Depositor

**The organisation depositing the data**

1.1 Name of Data depositor: 
**NatCen Social Research**

1.2 Website address: 
[https://natcen.ac.uk/](https://natcen.ac.uk/)

#### 2 Funding

**Country’s funding agency/ies**

2.1 Name of funding agency/ies 
**Economic & Social Research Council**

2.2 Website address: 
[https://www.ukri.org/councils/esrc/](https://www.ukri.org/councils/esrc/)

2.3 Grant number(s) connected to the funding agency/ies 
**FWREC17109ESRC**

#### 3 Main Data Collection

3.1 Name of fieldwork organisation(s) that collected the main data: 
**NatCen Social Research**

3.2 Website address: 
[https://natcen.ac.uk/](https://natcen.ac.uk/)

3.3 Date of main data collection (DD.MM.YY) 
**From: 15/08/2021**
**To: 02/09/2022**

3.4 Mode of data collection (mark all that apply) 
- **Face to face:** 
- **Video:**

3.5 [if 3.4 Video ticked off] Which tool was used for video interview? 
- **Skype**
- **Zoom**
- **Microsoft Teams**
- **Other:**

3.6 Language(s) in which the survey was conducted: 
**English**

3.7 Was a reserve sample drawn in advance of R10 fieldwork? 
**✓**

3.8 Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? 
**☐**

3.8.1 [if 3.8 ticked off] When does the opt-out list take effect? 
- **Before the sample is drawn** 
- **After the sample has been drawn**

3.8.2 [if 3.8 ticked off] Please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:

3.8.3 Characteristics of the sample 
- **Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 5,885**
- **Number of valid interviews, face to face: 1,094**
- **Number of valid video interviews: 55**
- **Invalid interviews (interviews not approved by the national team): 8**
3.10 Optional COVID19-module included in questionnaire:
- Included in full: ☒
- Included subset: ☐

3.11 Additional country-specific questions included in questionnaire: ☐

3.11.1 [If 3.11 ticked off] Were these approved by HQ before fieldwork? ☐

3.11.2 [If 3.11.1 not ticked off] Please specify if not approved by HQ:

3.12 National weight variable(s) included in dataset deposited to NSD: ☐

3.12.1 [If 3.12 ticked off] Please specify what type of weight and provide documentation:

4 Pre-Test Data Collection

4.1 Name and website address of fieldwork organisation(s) who collected the pre-test data:
- Same as for main data collection: ☒
- Or; write in:
  - Name:
  - Website address:

4.2 Date of pre-test data collection (DD.MM.YY)
- From: 26/05/21
- To: 23/06/21

4.3 Mode and number of pretest interviews:
- Face to face: ☒
  - Number: 31
- Video: ☐
  - Number:
- Other:

4.4 Was the pre-test interview recorded?
- Tape recording of interviews: ☐
- Video recording of interviews: ☐

4.5 Main purpose(s) of the pre-test (mark all that apply)
- Check if questions and answer scales were clear, complete and correct: ☐
- Check layout of CAPI programming and routing: ☒
- Check functionality of the survey tool suite: ☐
- Check the translations: ☐
- Cognitive testing of survey questions: ☐
- Check willingness of people to take part in the survey in context of COVID19 pandemic: ☒
- Other:

4.6 [If 3.10 Optional COVID19-module full/subset ticked off] Were questions from COVID19-module tested as part of the pre-test? ☐

5 Contact Form

5.1 What type of contact form was used
- Standard ESS contact form: ☒
- As is: ☒
- Adapted by NC/FWO (select if any alterations from the standard ESS contact form, even if minor)
  - Individual (no respondent selection): ☐
  - Dwelling – Random CAPI respondent selection: ☐
  - Dwelling – Birthday respondent selection: ☐
  - Dwelling – Rizzo respondent selection: ☐
  - Address – Random CAPI respondent selection: ☒
  - Address – Birthday respondent selection: ☐
  - Address – Rizzo respondent selection: ☐
### 6 Interviewer Selection

**Please do not include any telephone interviewers from the contacting phase in these numbers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.1 Total number of interviewers in ESS round 10</th>
<th>233</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What number of interviewers</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… are free-lance interviewers: 233</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… work exclusively for the survey agency responsible for the ESS Fieldwork (i.e. they do not conduct interviews for another survey agency): n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, please specify:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What number of interviewers**

…have worked on previous ESS rounds: 59

…have interviewing experience, but not done any face to face interviewing work before:

…have no previous interviewing experience whatsoever:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.1.1 Number of interviewers used for video interviews</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The interviewers used for video interviews:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… are a separate panel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… overlap with the face to face interviewer fieldforce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.2 Payment of interviewers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per completed interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per hours worked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A regular fixed salary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per completed contact form/timely reporting of contact attempts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement of travel expenses (e.g. mileage allowance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonus payment scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.3 What method was used in the briefing of interviewers?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Only face to face briefings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only virtual briefings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix of both face to face and virtual briefings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7 Contact schedule, information for respondents, and response rate enhancing procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.1 Call schedules, visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be on a weekend:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits required to be in the evening:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only for countries qualified for first contact by telephone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.2 Call schedules, telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be on a weekend:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of calls required to be in the evening:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.3 GDPR Information Sheet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How was the ESS10 GDPR Information Sheet made available to the sample units?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailed out with an advance letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Made available by interviewer on doorstep</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other (please specify):

### 7.4 Use of respondent incentives

- No respondent incentives
- Unconditional monetary incentives, paid independently of an interview
- Conditional monetary incentives, paid upon completion of the interview
- Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid independently of an interview
- Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), paid upon completion of the interview

Please specify further details on your incentive scheme:

£5 Love2Shop voucher included with advance letter. In addition, each interviewer had 2 x £25 gift vouchers they could use as conditional incentives at their discretion. In the last few months of fieldwork, due to low response, £25 was allowed for all cases.

### 7.5 Re-issue strategies

- Refusal conversion
- Extending the number of contact attempts (in case of non-contacts)
- Using different interviewers

Other: Please describe:

### 7.6 Use of additional response enhancing measures

- Call-center
- Web-pages

Other: Please describe:

### 8 Quality control back-checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Ineligibles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units selected for back-check:</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks conducted</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of back-checks achieved:</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was confirmed:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units where outcome was not confirmed:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode of back-check: In person (P), Telephone (T), Mail (only in exceptional circumstances) (M), Video (V)

### 9 Region

#### 9.1 Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

Yes

If 9.1 ticked off: Please specify the NUTS level used for the regional variable REGIONxx in the data file:

NUTS level: 1

#### 9.2 Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value (cont.)</th>
<th>Label (cont.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UKC</td>
<td>North East (England)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKD</td>
<td>North West (England)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKE</td>
<td>Yorkshire and the Humber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKF</td>
<td>East Midlands (England)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKG</td>
<td>West Midlands (England)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKH</td>
<td>East of England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKI</td>
<td>London</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKJ</td>
<td>South East (England)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKK</td>
<td>South West (England)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKL</td>
<td>Wales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKM</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKN</td>
<td>Northern Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.3 If your country is not part of the NUTS nomenclature, please give a short description of the regional level used in the data file:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suggested grouping of the regional categories:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The sample design stipulated a representative sample of those aged 15+ in the UK. Given the varying population sizes across regions, the sample sizes in each region also vary. Owing to smaller sample sizes we do not recommend analysing by region.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.4 Statistical inference possible at the regional level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If 9.4 not ticked off, please document how the regional categories can be grouped to produce representative samples for each regional unit.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

9.5 Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxxx) since the last ESS round?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If 9.5 ticked off, please describe:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

10 Occupation coding and procedures

**10.1 Classification used in coding of occupation (mark one only):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**10.2 Occupation coding procedures:**

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place?

| At the time of the interview |
| Later on |

**10.3 Number of occupation coders: 9**

**10.4 Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding)?**

| Yes |

**10.5 Was an adjudicator used?**

| No |

**10.6 Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process?**

| Yes |

**10.7 Please give a short description of the procedures for coding and the routines for checking the coding (e.g. checked against other coders, against a list of titles, against coding software):**

After the job information coding files are received, they are loaded into a coding tool, CASCOT. The coder goes through each case and apply a SOC code leaving any difficult or hard to code questions as a 'No Conclusion' plus any where we don’t have enough information to code. Once complete a CASCOT auto-coded file is provided to the Data Manager (DM). The DM processes the files and send back any that have been coded as a 'No Conclusion' plus 10% of cases where the coder code and the CASCOT auto code do not match for quality checking purposes. There are then quality checked and sent back to the DM for processing.